Dirty Diesel
How Swiss Traders Flood Africa with Toxic Fuels
Executive summary

Swiss commodity trading companies take advantage of weak fuel standards in Africa to produce, deliver and sell diesel and gasoline, which is damaging to people’s health. Their business model relies on an illegitimate strategy of deliberately lowering the quality of fuels in order to increase their profits. Using a common industry practice called blending, trading companies mix cheap but toxic intermediate petroleum products to make what the industry calls “African Quality” fuels. These intermediate products contain high levels of sulphur as well as other toxic substances such as benzene and aromatics. By selling such fuels at the pump in Africa, the traders increase outdoor air pollution, causing respiratory disease and premature death. This affects West Africa, in particular, because this is the region where the authorised levels of sulphur in fuels remain very high. West Africa does not have the refining capacity to produce enough gasoline and diesel for its own consumption, and so it must import the majority of its fuels from Europe and the US, where fuel standards are strict.

Fuels have been on the agenda for some time already. Beginning in 2002, the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP) conducted a ten-year campaign that led in most countries to a ban on lead in gasoline. However, fuels still account for other severe health issues. The issue of sulphur content must be urgently addressed.

This report is the result of three years of research by Public Eye (formerly the Berne Declaration). It highlights the contribution by the commodity trading industry to outdoor air pollution in Africa and the related health effects.
Operating behind the Shell and Puma Energy brands, two big Swiss trading companies Vitol and Trafigura have a dominant position in the import and distribution of petroleum products in many African countries.
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THE ISSUE: SULPHUR, A TICKING BOMB THAT NEEDS DEFUSING

African mega-cities such as Lagos or Dakar already have worse air quality than Beijing. Rapid urbanisation, the growing numbers of cars, and the poor quality of these cars, which are mostly second hand, partly explains the worsening air pollution in African cities.

The crucial factor though is that most African countries still permit the use of high-sulphur diesel and gasoline. On average, African sulphur limits in diesel are 200 times above the European limit, in some countries this figure is as high as 1,000.

Sulphur in fuels is crucial to air pollution because of its direct health-damaging effects but also because it destroys emissions control technologies in vehicles. As long as fuel sulphur content remains so high, any efforts to reduce air pollution (for example, by modernising Africa’s car fleet) will be in vain.

Without rapid and meaningful improvements in fuel quality, traffic-related air pollution will soon be a major health issue (see chapter 3). Respiratory diseases such as asthma, chronic obstructive lung diseases, lung cancer and cardiovascular diseases will rise.

On the other hand the use of ultra-low sulphur fuels (10 parts per million [ppm] sulphur) would immediately halve the emissions of pollutants. If done together with the introduction of cars that use existing emissions control technologies, the emission of pollutants could be reduced by 90 percent.

THE PLAYERS: THE SWISS TRADING COMPANIES

The fuel business in Africa is very opaque. Over the past decade, important shifts have happened, almost unnoticed. As oil majors pulled out from Africa’s retail business, Swiss trading companies moved in, expanding downstream to control key assets such as storage facilities and hundreds of petrol stations across Africa (see chapter 4). Hidden from view by operating behind the Shell and Puma Energy brands, two big Swiss trading companies Vitol and Trafigura, together with smaller Swiss companies, have a dominant position in the import and distribution of petroleum products in many African countries, especially in West Africa. Other heavyweights, namely Glencore, Mercuria and Gunvor, that don’t own petrol station networks, are equally important in supplying African markets. To access markets and increase their market share, they often rely on dodgy local door-openers or other politically exposed persons (see chapter 5).

THE TEST: SAMPLING AT THE PUMP

Public Eye tested fuels sold at the pump by Swiss trading companies (see chapter 6). Countries were selected based on their weak fuel standards and on the presence of petrol stations owned by Swiss trading companies. We analysed samples from eight countries: Angola, Benin, the Republic of the Congo, Ghana, Côte d’Ivoire, Mali, Senegal and Zambia. The trading companies sampled were Trafigura (operating through Puma, Pumangol, Gazelle trading, UBI), Vitol (Vivo Energy with Shell brand), Addax & Oryx Group (Oryx) and Lynx Energy (X-Oil).

More than two thirds of the diesel samples (17 out of 25) had a sulphur level higher than 1,500 ppm, which is 150 times the European limit of 10 ppm. The highest level of sulphur was in a diesel sample from one of Oryx’s petrol stations in Mali, where the sulphur content was 3,780 ppm. Almost half of the gasoline samples (10 out of 22) have a sulphur level between 15 and 72 times the European limit of 10 ppm. Worryingly, we also detected other health damaging substances in concentrations that would never be allowed in a European or US fuel. These substances include polyaromatics (diesel), aromatics and benzene (gasoline). In a number of samples, we found traces of metals that would also contribute to higher emissions of pollutants and damage car engines too.

THE CONTEXT: TOXIC FUELS BROUGHT TO AFRICA

West Africa is a significant producer of crude oil. But due to its lack of refining capacity, the region must import roughly half of its diesel and gasoline, which is high in sulphur, mostly from Europe and the US.

Around 50 percent of the fuels imported to West Africa come from Amsterdam, Rotterdam and Antwerp, collectively known as the “ARA” region (see chapter 8). Trade statistics show 80 percent of the diesel exported from ARA to Africa has sulphur content at least 100 times above the European standard. This figure soared to an average 90 percent for West Africa, with Ghana (93 percent), Guinea (100 percent), Senegal (82 percent), Nigeria (84 percent) and Togo (96 percent) receiving the biggest volumes.

Based on specific cargoes, official documents from Ghana show that, in both 2013 and 2014, diesel imports contained sulphur levels extremely close to the legal limit. This all happened even as specifications were changed between 2013 and 2014. This shows how trading companies are able quickly to adapt to new standards, sticking as close as possible to the limit (see chapter 7).

Swiss trading companies play a major role in transporting fuel from the ARA region, and from the US, to West Africa. In the case of Ghana, these companies delivered most of the known high sulphur cargoes in 2013 and 2014.

THE BUSINESS: BLENDING FUELS

Contrary to what most people might think, fuels such as diesel or gasoline tend not to come straight from refineries. Instead, the refineries produce intermediate products, which are then mixed together, occasionally with intermediate products from other sources (such as the chemical industry). This process is called “blending” (see chapter 9). To make matters more complex, different types of refineries produce different intermediate products or “blendstocks.”
Gasoline is always a blended product because vehicle engines require a particular mix, which usually consists of between six and ten blendstocks. By contrast, diesel does not need to be blended. However, since blending is a profitable activity and since refineries do not produce enough diesel by themselves, diesel is also blended. It usually consists of between four and six blendstocks.

Blending does not require a huge infrastructure. A few pipes and tanks are usually enough to prepare a specific blend of diesel or gasoline. It can be done in tank terminals, onboard ships, or at the interface between the two while still in port.

Having become giants with revenues of hundreds of billions of dollars, Swiss commodity trading companies have more oil tankers at sea and own more storage capacity than the oil majors. Storage capacity is key not only to trading but also to blending.

THE ILLEGITIMATE BUSINESS: MAKING “AFRICAN QUALITY” FUELS

As trading companies (and other blenders) explain, they “tailor” fuels to meet the standards of the country they supply. They call this blending “on-spec”, or according to required specifications. This can refer to the required specification of sulphur content, or to the content of any other regulated substances, such as benzene or aromatics.

Differences between national fuel quality regulations offer opportunity for companies to profit from a form of regulatory arbitrage. With weak standards, Africa is an excellent example. And industry uses the term “African Quality” (see chapter 10) when referring to low-quality fuels, characterised primarily by their high sulphur content, although the term also refers to fuels with other low-quality aspects.

Africa’s weak fuel standards allow traders to use cheap blendstocks, dropping production costs and making the production of low fuels a lucrative business model.

These cheap blendstocks are also of poor quality and, most importantly, they damage health through their high levels of sulphur, aromatics and benzene. Such blendstocks could never be used in European or American markets. Sometimes fuels also contain waste and recycled blendstocks from the chemical industry and elsewhere, posing additional risks.

Traders and other blenders, who have a below specification petroleum product on their hands, will search the market for other blendstocks (nicknamed “tasty juices”) that will enable the production of an on-spec fuel. The closer to the specification boundary the product lies, the larger the potential margin for the trader. On the other hand, if the trader has a product that is above the specification, then it may be able to purchase cheap, low-quality “juices” to blend in. The process of lowering product quality is known in the industry as “filling up quality give-away”.

In principle, blending is a legitimate and necessary technical process, but there is a large margin for abuse when it comes to blending low-quality blendstocks – a practice we call “blend-dumping”. We consider this to be an illegitimate practice. Contaminants present in any blendstock, such as sulphur and benzene, should be minimised or fully eliminated by further refining, not diluted to meet the weak standards of African countries.

THE HUB: WHERE AFRICAN QUALITY FUELS ARE PRODUCED

While African Quality fuels could never be legally sold in Europe, they are produced in Europe nevertheless. The ARA region has become the main hub for the blending and shipping of fuels, especially diesel, to West Africa for a number of reasons, including its extensive refining and blending capacity, its strategic position (which allows it to receive petroleum products and blendstocks from the UK, Russia and the Baltic countries), and its geographic proximity to West Africa (see chapter 11). The Swiss trading companies own or hire extensive blending facilities in ARA and we can prove for the first time that they dominate the export of African Quality fuels to West Africa.

Besides Europe, the blending is also done offshore the West African coast. Most West African ports are too small to receive a large number of tankers or have limited draft, which prevents the larger European tankers from entering. Mostly coming from the ARA region, these oil product tankers sail across the Atlantic Ocean and meet in the Gulf of Guinea. Mostly in Togolese waters, they transfer petroleum products from one vessel to another in an operation known as ship-to-ship (STS) transfer. The usually smaller tankers then sail off, discharging the products to different countries in the region. These STS operations are also a common way to blend products.

THE CONCLUSION: BAN ALL DIRTY FUELS

Now is the time for African governments to act. They have the chance to protect the health of their urban population, reduce car maintenance costs, and spend their health budgets on other pressing health issues. By moving to ultra-low sulphur diesel, Africa could prevent 25,000 premature deaths in 2030 and almost 100,000 premature deaths in 2050.

An examination of past experience, the price structure of diesel, and recent developments on the continent show that African leaders shouldn’t fear significant price increases from improving the standards of fuel (see concluding chapter 12). In
January 2015, for example, five East African countries adopted low sulphur fuels with no impact on prices at the pump, or on government spending through subsidies.

A limited increase of prices at the pump should in any case be balanced with the health and associated savings of reducing air pollution from high sulphur fuels. The savings from better health are by far higher than the effects of the potential costs of cleaner fuels.

Four different sets of actors should take decisive steps immediately:

African governments (and others with weak fuel standards) should set stringent fuel quality standards of 10 ppm sulphur for diesel and gasoline, and introduce European limits on other health damaging substances. Whether or not they have sufficient refining capacity in the country or can only import, governments should be strict with implementing fuel standards. If not, their fuels will quickly contain bad blendstocks. The blenders know exactly which standards apply where, and how best they can dump their African Quality blends.

Swiss trading companies should stop abusing Africa’s low fuel quality standards, recognize that if left unchanged their practices will kill more and more people across the continent, and immediately produce and sell to African countries only fuels that would meet Europe’s high fuel quality standards.

Governments of export hubs for African fuels (such as Amsterdam, Antwerp or the US Gulf) should prohibit the export of any health damaging fuels or blendstocks, which would never be used in their own country.

The Swiss government should implement mandatory human rights and environmental due diligence requirements for Swiss companies, covering the entire supply chain and including potentially toxic products.
In 2012, the World Health Organisation (WHO) categorised air pollution as “the world’s largest single environmental health risk”, saying that exposure to air pollution contributed to one in eight deaths around the world.
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Introduction

“Double, double toil and trouble;
Fire burn, and caldron bubble.”

William Shakespeare, Macbeth
1.1 – SULPHUR IN FUELS: A TICKING BOMB

With their populations increasing at breakneck speed, African cities are becoming megacities. By 2050, the continent’s urban population is expected to triple, while cities such as Lagos will have reached 20 million inhabitants long before that. Africa’s urbanization comes with a fast growing car fleet too. In Accra, the number of cars doubled between 2005 and 2012. The continent’s economic development and lack of public transport can only accentuate the problem.

No wonder that traffic-related air pollution is becoming a major health issue in many African cities. Images of Beijing’s frightening smog may have struck many around the world, but Dakar and Lagos have air quality that is worse. And while more cars are driven every day in Paris or Rome than in most African cities, outdoor air pollution is undoubtedly much worse in parts of Africa. That is because the average level of particulate matter (PM), one of the most damaging atmospheric pollutants emitted by vehicles, is five times higher in Accra than in London. Compared with London, the population of Lagos breathes thirteen times more particulate matter.

This particulate matter comes from several sources, but some of the main culprits are fuels, or to be more accurate, dirty fuels. Improving fuel quality has already been on the agenda for some time now. Beginning after the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg in 2002, the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP) ran a ten-year clean air campaign based on the fact that “lead petrol poisoning is one of the world’s most serious environmental health problems.” The success of this campaign led most countries to introduce a ban on lead in petrol – only three countries still allow it – but other severe fuel-related health issues still remain.

Sulphur is a ticking bomb. Let’s call it the “lead of the 21st century”, following the framing of the International Council on Clean Transportation, the NGO that revealed the recent VW scandal in which the car manufacturer manipulated software to cheat US emission tests on its diesel vehicles.5

By increasing air pollution, high sulphur fuels have direct consequences for public health. In 2012, the World Health Organis-

As long as high-sulphur fuels are sold at the pump, modernising Africa’s car fleet, many of which are old second-hand cars, would not therefore improve air quality.

One key difference between lead and sulphur is that the former is an additive that can be banned (and replaced), while the latter is naturally present in crude oil. The only solution is to refine and de-sulphurise the crude oil in order to lower the sulphur content of gasoline and diesel as much as possible. The good news is that it is possible. It’s already being done.

Confronted with evidence showing a causal relationship between sulphur in fuels, exposure to traffic-related particulate matter, and respiratory diseases in adults as well as children, particularly in the asthmatic subpopulation, Europe and North America were the first to address this issue. They dramatically lowered the authorised limits on sulphur in fuels to 10 parts per million (ppm) or 0.001 percent of the volume in European fuels, and 15 ppm in the US. In Switzerland, traffic-related particulate matter emissions fell by nearly half between 1990 and 2010 even as the number of cars increased by 33 percent. During that period, Switzerland moved from an authorised level of sulphur in fuels of 2,000 ppm to 10 ppm, reducing traffic-related SO₂ emissions by 98 percent. In Europe, sulphur in fuels is no longer considered a problem.

Engaged in its own mortal battle against severe air pollution, China has also decided to adopt ultra-low sulphur standards for diesel and gasoline, acknowledging that high sulphur fuels, especially diesel for trucks, is a main contributor to the shocking smog that plagues its cities. By January 2017, 10 ppm will be the rule for both gasoline and diesel nationwide, as is already the case in the Eastern provinces.

Beyond these significant achievements, however, many regions lag behind. Some countries in Latin America, Asia and the Middle East have only just begun the path to ban high sulphur levels, though the situation has improved somewhat at a continent level. Despite encouraging progress in Africa, the continent’s average sulphur limit remains 200 times higher than in Europe. In some countries, this figure is as high as 1,000 times the European limit.

In other words, the differences between African, European, and North American fuels show how some are tolerating an obvious double standard. Nothing justifies this situation. There is no technological challenge, no restrictions on the availability of low sulphur fuels, no significant economical impact related to their adoption. Jane Akumu, who leads the African campaign at UNEP’s Transport Unit, explains: “On the contrary, the adoption of ultra-low sulphur fuels will save costs for governments. For example in Kenya, vehicle emissions have been estimated to cost the country about US$ 1 billion annually. This is the economic loss due to vehicle emission pollutants related illnesses and deaths in monetary terms for patients treated. In countries where low sulphur fuels have been introduced, there was no price differential. Moving to ultra-low sulphur fuels may come at a small premium, but the benefits outweigh the costs.”

Without rapid and thorough improvement in fuel quality, Africa is facing a dramatic increase of illnesses and death from urban air pollution.

While UNEP and African governments continue to discuss the improvement of fuel standards, with notable successes such as in East Africa, these discussions still emphasise the improvement of local refineries and do not pay enough attention to imports of high sulphur fuels to the continent. For the first time,
this report looks at the intercontinental trade in fuels. It shows how industry profits from these double standards. It also shows how industry operates under the radar screen of public attention, profiting from the deliberate and illegitimate producing and supply of dirty fuels at the expense of people’s health. This report highlights the responsibility of an industry, whose managers live in places such as Geneva or Amsterdam. Sulphur isn’t a problem in these cities any more. But it is still a lucrative business.

1.2 – AN ILLEGITIMATE BUSINESS MODEL THAT MUST BE CALLED TO ACCOUNT

Two entirely different developments triggered Public Eye to look closer at the business of African fuels.

First, in 2006, Trafigura dumped toxic waste in Côte d’Ivoire. The waste had been created in an improvised refining operation aboard a tanker chartered by the Swiss-based trading company. Just like everybody else who examined this enormous scandal, we focused initially on the waste, which caused a catastrophe of environmental health. But then we asked ourselves: Why was Trafigura improvising a refining operation aboard a tanker? We now know that the company was processing a very highly sulphurous intermediate product to be blended into the gasoline it was producing. This high sulphur gasoline could never have been sold at a pump in Europe, but it was good enough for the African market.

Then, in about 2010, Swiss oil trading companies began to buy networks of petrol stations across Africa. Switzerland is home to the biggest commodity trading hub with a global market share of 25 percent for all commodities and of 35 percent for crude oil and petroleum products.6 Traditionally acting as an intermediary between buyer and seller, trading companies are expanding along the supply chain right down to the end-consumers. Giants such as Vitol and Trafigura have become the biggest shareholders in companies owning more than 2,200 retail points across the continent. And the African fuel business is incredibly dynamic. “In Africa we have 660 retail stations, and I can tell you that those statistics are typically valid only for a week”, says Christopher Zyde, Chief Operations Officer of Puma Energy, Trafigura’s downstream arm. Again, we had questions. Why would trading companies decide to invest in such a high-risk, low-margin activity? Why were they so keen to buy petrol stations, especially in Africa?

These two elements prompted a further line of questioning, core to this report: what if there was a profitable business model that exploited weak fuel standards in Africa by dumping cheap intermediate products from refiners, the chemical industry, and elsewhere, into gasoline and diesel for sale in Africa?

1.2.1 – DIVING DEEP INTO THE MECHANICS OF AN OPAQUE INDUSTRY

We began our research more than 3 years ago to see whether our suspicions were valid. We had to start from zero. Even the most basic data was not available. One researcher with long experience in the global oil and gas markets told us: “This is one of the most opaque sectors I’ve ever had to deal with.” This statement may be indisputable, but it should also be surprising, because the downstream sector is a key economic and commercial sector. Ensuring a constant supply of petroleum products, such as gasoline and diesel, via infrastructure such as storage tanks or pipelines, is of vital significance to all economies and a matter of national security for governments around the world. In Ghana, for example, the downstream sector accounts for more than 10 percent of GDP. Often subsidised, fuel prices are a constant and controversial subject of public debate in many African countries.

Despite this opacity, we gathered a minimal amount of information from official statistics, trade authorities, and the companies themselves in their annual reports and bond prospectuses for potential investors. We talked to dozens of industry insiders, supervisors, port personnel and even the crews of ocean-going tankers. When we were able to talk with industry sources, they generally agreed to share their insights on condition of anonymity. Where statistics were lacking or incomplete, we found that tracking individual tankers was a useful way to understand the flows and patterns of trade. We also visited several African countries to speak with authorities, regulators, and civil society organisations.

But our first challenge was to test the assumption that the levels of sulphur in fuels on sale in Africa were as dirty as the standards allowed them to be (and hence the double standard). That is, we had to test the quality of these fuels. And here, we had to make some choices. We couldn’t sample the gasoline and diesel sold in every country nor could we analyse the fuels sold by all the retail companies in a country that we visited. These tests are expensive and they require the services of specialized logistics support to transport the samples and an accredited laboratory to test them.

As a Swiss corporate watchdog, we focus on Swiss-based trading companies. This is not an arbitrary choice, however. These actors dominate the fuel business in many African countries. We do think, though, that other companies outside the focus of our study, such as the oil majors and state-owned companies, would also be worth a closer look.

We used two criteria in deciding where and what to sample. We singled out the countries that have both weak sulphur standards and petrol stations owned, partly-owned or supplied by Swiss trading companies. Samples from eight countries were analysed: Angola, Benin, the Republic of the Congo, Ghana, Côte d’Ivoire, Mali, Senegal and Zambia. For other parts of the report we also looked at Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, Togo, and Zimbabwe. With the assistance of a renowned independent laboratory, we analysed the sulphur content as well as other health-damaging substances that can be regularly found in gasoline and diesel sold at African pumps. None of the fuels sampled were even close to the qualities of fuel being sold in Europe. A large majority of the diesel samples contained sulphur levels several hundred times higher than any authorized limit found anywhere between Lisbon and Warsaw.

The results from our fuel tests are even more shocking when one considers that Africa, especially West Africa, supplies the world with some of the best quality, low sulphur, “sweet”, crude oil. Nigerian Bonny Light, for example, has one of the lowest
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Swiss trading companies involved – namely Vitol and Oryx – indeed producers of the fuels they sell. This disturbing surprise it's not true.

The gap between producers and consumers. “We buy them in one country, ship them to another and, by doing that, the world economy can function. Glencore’s CEO, Ivan Glasenberg has stated, for example: “We are a DHL for commodities. We bring physical goods from a place where the people don’t need them to a place where they are needed.”

We discovered an entirely different industry with a particular business model and many more players involved. In doing so, we uncovered the very disturbing essence of this report: that Swiss-based trading companies as well as others increase their profit by blending low-quality intermediate products, producing fuels that the traders know will damage human health unnecessarily. The industry has a word for these bad fuels: “African Quality”.

Intuitively, one might think that fuels are produced in refineries and then sold at petrol stations owned by the brand names with which we are all familiar. But that does not come even close to the truth. We discovered an entirely different industry with a particular business model and many more players involved. In doing so, we uncovered the very disturbing essence of this report: that Swiss-based trading companies as well as others increase their profit by blending low-quality intermediate products, producing fuels that the traders know will damage human health unnecessarily. The industry has a word for these bad fuels: “African Quality”.

African Quality fuels are characterised primarily by their high sulphur content, though the term also refers to fuels with other hazardous components. Blending is in principle a legitimate and necessary technical process. Gasoline is always a blended product because vehicle engines require a mixture of refining streams. Diesel does not need to be blended, but because blending is a profitable activity and there is limited global availability of directly usable streams from refineries, diesel is also blended. But ‘blend-dumping’ is clearly illegitimate, given that it takes advantage of weak standards and involves deliberately lowering a fuel’s quality to just within the legal limits through the addition of cheap and toxic products. With respect to sulphur specifically, we have called this practice “sulphur dumping”. And our tests revealed that sulphur is not the only hazardous substance present in “African Quality” fuels. These fuels also contain worrying levels of polyaromatics in the case of diesel and benzene in the case of gasoline.

Blending African quality fuels is a form of regulatory arbitrage (taking advantage of weak standards) and it’s done at the expense of people’s health. A whole range of different players are complicit: refiners, storage owners, blenders, chemists, “additive doctors”, testers, ship owners, oil majors, and, of course, the traders themselves. In other words, there is a business model behind the making of African Quality fuels. It is an industry by itself.

Indeed, it is an industry in which Swiss trading companies play a decisive role. Having developed into giants, companies such as Vitol, Trafalgar, Mercuria, Gunvor and Glencore now own more oil tankers and storage facilities than the oil majors. They not only sell and supply dirty fuels to the African market, but, as this report will show, also produce them in search of bigger profit.

Unwilling to tolerate profit over human life, we invite readers to come with us on our journey through the silent, and deadly, world of dirty fuels.
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The 2006 Probo Koala scandal focused public attention on a single incident – the dumping of toxic waste by a Swiss commodity trader, Trafigura, in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire.

But what happened aboard the Probo Koala was not an isolated incident. The production of bad quality fuels for African markets is a lucrative part of the commodity trading business.

By selling these toxic fuels, the traders continue to take risks with public health and the environment.
At Public Eye (formerly the Berne Declaration), we first came across the sale of toxic fuels to African markets while working on our 2011 book, “Commodities: Switzerland’s Most Dangerous Business”.2 Like everyone else, we focused on the waste, which was dumped in Côte d’Ivoire in August 2006 and caused a sanitary catastrophe. But the dangerous process aboard the Probo Koala, which created the toxic waste in the first place, had been done to produce blendstocks, semi-finished products used for making gasoline. And a niggling question remained: What happened to that gasoline?

Consensus at the time seemed to be that activities aboard the Probo Koala and the Probo Emu, another vessel chartered by Trafigura, were unique, the “first known incidence of gasoline being washed with caustic soda aboard a ship”.2 But we learnt that this process has occurred at least one other time, in 2013 in European waters. And while these incidents are not so numerous that we can call them a business model, they nevertheless illustrate the risks some Swiss commodity traders are willing to take to produce fuels of “African Quality”.

The commercial aim of Trafigura’s caustic washings was clear: to make cheap blendstocks for African Quality gasoline.3 So while the Probo Koala ended up dumping its waste in Côte d’Ivoire, it had already offloaded its product, a blendstock called naphtha, onto six other tankers4 while still in the Mediterranean. Our research used shiptracking software to show that all six tankers sailed straight to West Africa, transporting the toxic naphtha, with its sulphur levels up to 700 times the European limit, for further blending, then sale on the African continent.

So, while attention rightly focused on the human tragedy caused by Trafigura’s dumping of waste in Abidjan, and on the negligence of governments,5 the incident also casts some light on other scandalous issues: how a leading Swiss oil trader transformed dirty fuel blendstocks to eventually sell toxic gasoline in West Africa; how it conducted a dangerous refining procedure (caustic washing) at sea, effectively “offshoring” national safety regulations by shifting hazardous processes onto ships.

To this day, Africa continues to be a dumping ground for European companies, who knowingly produce and sell fuels that endanger people’s health.

This report puts Trafigura’s Probo Koala operations into a new and controversial context. It tells the forgotten side of the story, which was not, as most people thought at the time, a one-off incident. In fact, the Probo Koala incident just highlighted one experimental method of producing “African Quality” gasoline, despite the availability of better, safer production processes. There is also a regular way of producing those fuels through blending cheap but dirty blendstocks. Most fuel deliveries escape the Probo Koala levels of scrutiny, but they illustrate an industry-wide business model that merits further inspection. Swiss traders and others maximise profits by taking advantage of weak regulations to produce and sell harmful fuels. This form of regulatory arbitrage ignores the serious risks to public health. In this report, we show that selling high sulphur fuels in Africa is done on a daily basis by every industry player. This can happen because although the risks relating to toxic emissions from dirty fuels are well known, this business model is hidden from the public.

But let’s go back to the Probo Koala, to try to understand what led Trafigura to transport hazardous sulphur molecules half way around the globe from a Mexican refinery to West Africa, and the consequences of this decision.

2.1 – THE COLLATERAL OF TRAFIGURA’S “SERIOUS DOLLARS” ENDS UP IN ABIDJAN

On Saturday, 19th August 2006, soon after residents began to notice the invasive smell of rotten egg, a medical and political crisis began to unfold in Abidjan, the economic capital of Côte d’Ivoire. Some 500 tonnes of toxic waste had just been dumped in various places around the city – waste created by Trafigura aboard the Probo Koala. The government blamed the chemical contamination for the deaths of at least 15 people.6 Another 100,000 Ivoirians sought medical attention for problems such as nausea, headaches, vomiting, abdominal pains, irritation to the eyes and skin, and difficulties with respiration.7

Even before it began the caustic washings which generated the toxic waste, Trafigura was well aware that waste disposal would be difficult and expensive. After all, few facilities would be willing or able to accept the waste. For months, the company hesitated about how and where to get rid of the waste. It rejected a safe disposal option in the Netherlands on the grounds of cost. It finally decided upon Abidjan – by far the cheapest option.8

How was this waste produced? Every month for 16 months, between January 2006 and April 2007, Trafigura bought batches of coker naphtha created at a Mexican refinery, with the intention of turning them into blendstocks for gasoline. This coker naphtha is one of the lowest qualities of gasoline blendstocks and it is created during oil refining from the “bottom of the barrel”. It has two specificities: first, it contains very high levels of toxic substances, namely sulphur and mercaptan sulphur, and second, as a direct consequence, it is very cheap.9 In other words, it is an opportunity for (almost) any creative trader.

“As cheap as anyone can imagine,” James McNicol, a trader from Trafigura, wrote in an email to his colleagues in December 2005, “[this] should make serious dollars.”10 Trafigura’s sole motivation for experimenting with the production process was profit. Company executives had estimated that buying and selling the coker naphtha would generate profit to the tune of US$7 million per cargo.11 But before “making serious dollars”, Trafigura had to convert the product into a suitable ingredient for African gasoline: it had to find a way to lower drastically the mercaptan sulphur content, otherwise its odour would be unbearably strong.
It was the prospect of profits that led Trafigura to show such chemical creativity, onshore at first in the United Arab Emirates and Tunisia (at Tankmed), then aboard the tankers Probo Koala and Probo Emu, and finally at Vest Tank in Norway, although the latter went out (literally) with a big bang on 24th May 2007.12

2.2 – A SHIP TURNED INTO A FLOATING FACTORY

In 2010, four years after the dumping, the Court of Amsterdam, prosecuting Trafigura and others, emphasised that conducting caustic washing aboard was an unusual operation: the process “essentially boils down to the moving of an industrial process from land to sea [...]. The ship was not used for its designated purpose as a ship, but instead as a floating factory carrying out a process for which it was in no way necessary for the ship to be at sea.”13

Driven solely by profits, Trafigura decided not to send the dirty batches of coker naphtha to a refinery for further treatment, which would have cost a significant fee. Instead, the company chose to solve the problem by “caustic washing” at sea, a process banned in many countries because of the dangers involved. This showed the risks that Trafigura was willing to take.

Trafigura opted for a ship considered to be near the end of her operational life. In this way, the damage to the vessel caused by the caustic soda would not be too costly. In any case, the ship needed to be very cheap: “We need dogs [trader jargon for tankers] and cheap ones too,”14 Leon Christophilopoulos, Trafigura’s head of gasoline trading, wrote to colleagues in March 2006.

Further internal email correspondence indicated that certain individuals within the company were indifferent to what would become of the ship. Christophilopoulos went on to describe the state of the vessel:

“The vessel […] is about to be scrapped […] and parked somewhere” in West Africa. This “ship […] doesn’t care about its coatings […] would work very well.”

Toula Gerakis from Falcon Navigation, operating Trafigura’s fleet, replied, warning that the hiring costs would be more than twice as expected: “[This] implies you do not want insurance […] and you do not care if she sinks.”15

Other internal email correspondence between London-based gasoline blender Naeem Ahmed, his colleagues, Trafigura’s founder and chairman, Claude Dauphin, and Jose Larocca, who still holds a senior position at Trafigura16, shows how the company’s leadership knew caustic washing is controversial: “This operation is no longer allowed in EU/US and Singapore. Trafigura finally produced through further blending, but it certainly had to be very high above the European standard.23

Caustic washes are banned by most countries due to the hazardous nature of the waste […] and suppliers of caustic are unwilling to dispose of the waste since there are not many facilities remaining in the market. And I have approached all our storage terminals with the possibility of caustic washing and only two […] are willing to entertain the idea”.17

Trafigura decided to move the caustic washings to tankers that would operate in the Mediterranean. Internal emails raise questions about whether Trafigura was trying to avoid regulator scrutiny of its tankers. An email dated 21st June 2006 suggests that the company considered bringing the coker naphtha [referred to as PMI crap] into the UK port of Milford Haven, but eventually decided against it:

“We should store the PMI crap on a ship in Gibraltar rather than taking it to Milford Haven. Reasons are as follows […]. Milford will require at least one approval. The bucket [tanker] in Gib [Gibraltar] will require no such thing.”18

Trafigura settled upon a strategic position in the Mediterranean Sea, not far from Gibraltar. In its decision on the case, the Court of Amsterdam detailed why: “On the one hand, this was closer to Europe and the Baltic States where many of the shipments destined for mixing originated, and on the other hand, it was close to West Africa, the market for which the shipments to be mixed with the blendstock were ultimately destined.” 19

2.3 – A FIRST LOOK AT THE MAKING OF AFRICAN QUALITY FUELS

In spring 2006, a few months before the toxic waste was dumped in Abidjan, Trafigura washed three batches, a total 85,000 tonnes, of coker naphtha aboard the Probo Koala. The dangerous exercise was an attempt to reduce the high level of mercaptan sulphur by trying to replicate at sea the “Merox treatment”, a process usually done in a refinery.22 Since Trafigura considered it too expensive to entrust this job to a safe refinery, the company did its own experiments with the Merox treatment at sea.21

The batches of coker naphtha contained mercaptan sulphur levels as high as 2,014 ppm, twice the unofficial limit for the so-called African Quality. The caustic washing allowed Trafigura to reduce the mercaptan sulphur levels to around 950 ppm. At this level, the intense stench of mercaptan sulphur was considered just about acceptable and the coker naphtha was commercially suitable as a blendstock for gasoline. McNicol neatly summarised the general idea when he wrote to Claude Dauphin in December 2005: “[We] just have to make them ["super cheap PMI barrels"] more compatible for gasoline blending.”22

But reducing the mercaptan sulphur content did not significantly reduce the overall content of sulphur, the substance that makes car emissions so damaging to human health. We estimate that the washed naphtha still had a sulphur level of around 7,226 ppm, more than 700 times the European limit. We don’t know the sulphur level of the African Quality gasoline, which Trafigura finally produced through further blending, but it certainly had to be very high above the European standard.23

In 2011, the Dutch Court of Appeal characterised the process as “highly unusual.”24 We cannot blame the Dutch court, asked to work on this specific case, for not looking further into the business model behind this “highly unusual” process. Trafigura may have gone further than its competitors by dumping waste in Abidjan, but it is far from being the only company to deliberately produce, supply and sell dirty products across Africa. In fact, every day, the oil trading industry makes sure that African Quality fuels reach their target markets.
In the overwhelming heat and humidity of the Ghanaian capital, Accra, traffic jams persist at all hours of the day.

East Legon Road, Accra, Ghana, June 2016  |  © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
3

A silent killer: air pollution and high sulphur fuels

- Bad air quality in urban areas has become one of the major causes of morbidity and premature death worldwide.

- Air quality is already low in African cities. It will get worse as African cities grow and the volume of traffic increases.

- The low-quality fuels make the urban air pollution in many African cities much worse. High levels of sulphur in fuels destroy vehicle emission control technologies. Emissions of particulate matter (PM) are especially dangerous.

- Africa has by far the weakest fuel quality standards in the world, enabling the sale of high-sulphurous health damaging fuels.

- If African countries were to adopt European standards (10 ppm) for sulphur in diesel, they would immediately cut by 50 percent the traffic-related air pollution from particulate matter. When combined with the introduction of existing emission control technologies these emissions would be reduced by 99 percent.
Sulphur levels in diesel and gasoline used to be high all around the world, at least until the end of the last century. But the sulphur contributed significantly to urban air pollution and damaged people’s health, so industrialized countries took action, gradually reducing the amount of sulphur in fuels. Europe, for example, still allowed diesel with a sulphur content of 2,000 ppm (parts per million) in 1994, but two years later, it dropped the limit to 500 ppm. This limit was gradually lowered further until in 2009, Europe fixed the current limit at 10 ppm, introducing an era of “ultra-low sulphur”.1

Africa, however, still lags behind. African countries have an average sulphur limit of 2,000 ppm, and many countries allow much higher levels than that. Until a few decades ago, this might not have been a major health concern, because the volume of traffic stayed low. But this is changing dramatically. As Africa urbanises and car ownership grows across the continent, traffic-related emissions are growing rapidly.

Meanwhile, fuel standards in many countries, especially in West Africa, have remained the same or improved only very slightly. Without improvements in fuel standards, traffic-related air pollution will cause dramatically more illness and premature deaths. By moving to ultra-low sulphur diesel, however, Africa could prevent 25,000 premature deaths in 2030 and almost 100,000 premature deaths in 2050.2

3.1 – TRAFFIC-RELATED AIR POLLUTION IS GROWING RAPIDLY IN AFRICAN CITIES

In the last two decades, Africa’s urban populations have been growing at an average 3.5 percent per year, faster than any other region in the world.3 Indeed, half of the world’s fastest-growing cities are in sub-Saharan Africa. Between 2012 and 2050, some 13 African cities are expected to double their population, including Lagos, which will be home to 25 million people by 2030.4 By 2050 the continent’s urban population is expected to triple.5

Africa’s urbanisation comes with the rapid growth of car ownership too. The number of cars per inhabitant in Africa remains low by comparison to Europe or the United States. But these figures are growing fast. In Ghana, for example, the number of vehicles more than tripled between 2005 and 2015, reaching more than 2 million vehicles.6 In Accra alone, individual car ownership is projected to increase from 181,000 in 2004 to over 1 million in 2023. And some analysts forecast that Africa will see a four to fivefold increase in the number of cars by 2050.7 With urbanisation and the rapid expansion of the urban car population, more and more people will be exposed to increasing levels of traffic-related air pollution.

Africa’s air pollution shows some of the most alarming trends. Between 2009 and 2012, for example, air quality in African cities exhibited the biggest increase (26 percent) in the level of annual mean particulate matter (PM2.5),8 one of the most damaging atmospheric pollutants emitted by vehicles. In the same period, some 70 percent of Africa’s urban population experienced worsening air pollution, a higher share than any other region in the world.9 As figure 3.1 shows10, African cities are among the most polluted in the world.

The UN Environment Programme (UNEP) generally qualifies vehicle emissions as a major source of outdoor urban air pollution in developing countries.11 Other sources include open waste burning, industry, power generation, traffic-related dust, and contributions from the burning of wood and charcoal for household cooking.12 Few studies exist that measure and identify the sources of outdoor air pollution in African cities.13

In 2005, however, smog in Lagos caused panic among some of the metropolis’ 18 million residents. The smog event also triggered an important study by the Lagos Metropolitan Area Transport Authority (LAMATA), which concluded that vehicles contribute approximately 43 percent of the city’s ambient air pollution.14 LAMATA’s Managing Director, Dr Mobereola Dayo blamed the city’s population of second-hand cars, whose emissions are three to four times higher than in Europe.

Three years later, in 2008, a study by Raphael Arku, a scientist from the Harvard School of Public Health, noted that the “growth in the urban population using biomass, coupled with increasing traffic and industrial emissions that accompany economic development, is likely to lead to even higher air pollution in African cities than observed in large cities in Asia”15. This is already the case today.

The widespread use of second-hand cars in Africa may compound the problem. Indeed, the majority of vehicles in Africa are second-hand cars from Europe and Asia, which are more polluting and less fuel-efficient. In May 2015, UNEP and the Ghanaian Ministry of Transport estimated that, in 2009, 83 percent of cars imported into the country were second-hand16 (the regional average for West Africa is 85 percent).17
But second-hand cars are not the principal cause of traffic-related air pollution in African cities. In fact, even if Africa’s car population consisted solely of brand new cars running on the most modern emission control technologies, air quality would not improve significantly. That is because poor quality fuels inhibit the functioning of these technologies. As we explain below, it will be impossible to tackle the problem of air pollution in African cities without minimising the sulphur content of fuels.

### 3.2 – HIGH SULPHUR FUELS ARE THE MAIN CULPRITS BEHIND AFRICAN AIR POLLUTION

The growing population of (poor quality) cars does not by itself explain the increasing air pollution in African cities. The crucial factor in increasing traffic-related air pollution lies in the fact that most African countries still permit the use of high sulphur gasoline and diesel. Indeed, Africa still has higher average sulphur limits for diesel (see Figure 3.2) and gasoline than any other region in the world. In certain countries, this limit soars to 10,000 ppm for diesel and 1,000 ppm for gasoline. By comparison, the European standard is 10 ppm for both diesel and gasoline (Figure 3.3). Most cars in Africa (and all trucks and buses) run on diesel, which is more polluting than gasoline.

High sulphur fuels not only lead directly to higher emissions of pollutants, they are also corrosive, destroying advanced emission control technologies in vehicles. Diesel particulate filters, for example, perform best with a maximum diesel sulphur content of 10 or 15 ppm. High sulphur fuels therefore lead to much higher emissions of particulate matter (PM), as well as other pollutants, such as nitrogen oxide (NOx).

Even if all cars on the road were brand-new, high sulphur fuels would corrode the most modern emission control technologies and the air quality would not improve. As long as the sulphur content of fuels remains so high, any efforts to reduce air pollution by modernising Africa’s car population will be in vain. On the other hand, the combination of limiting sulphur in fuels and using advanced emissions control technology can reduce emissions of major pollutants by up to 99 percent.

### 3.3 – TRAFFIC-RELATED EMISSIONS AND HIGH SULPHUR FUELS MAKE PEOPLE SICK

Air pollution is a killer, “one of the major causes for morbidities and premature deaths on the globe”, according to a recent epidemiological study. In 2012, the World Health Organisation (WHO) categorised air pollution as “the world’s largest single environmental health risk”, saying that exposure to air pollution contributed to one in eight deaths around the world. Of these air pollution-related deaths, some 88 percent occurred in low-and middle-income countries.

Also in 2012, the World Health Organisation (WHO) classified diesel exhaust as carcinogenic, a move that added to the long list of known negative health effects from traffic-related emissions. Particulate matter is very harmful because it penetrates and lodges deep inside the lungs. It is associated with heart disease, lung cancer, and a range of other harmful health effects. Sulphur in fuel increases the emissions of fine particulate matter. The combustion of sulphur in diesel also produces sulphate particles, which make up a significant share of total fine particulate emissions, known for their toxicity. In countries without stringent fuel policies, diesel sulphur content is typically 500 to 2,000 ppm, and sulphates make up 15 to 50 percent of diesel PM2.5 emissions.

When fuels containing sulphur are burned, sulphur dioxide (SO2) is emitted. SO2 is a pollutant that affects the respiratory system, reducing lung function, causing coughing, mucus secretion and aggravating asthma and chronic bronchitis.

Because high sulphur fuels destroy advanced emission control technologies in vehicles, the negative health effects are increased. Particulate filters control not only PM2.5, but can also reduce the emission of ultrafine particles (PM1). These ultrafine particles are thought to have a greater toxicity than larger particles due to their higher quantity, and their ability to penetrate deep into the lung tissue and therefore into the blood stream. Sulphur also damages systems that control nitrogen oxides (NOx), pollutants that have several impacts, including smog and additional PM2.5 formation. NOx is also the main source of nitrate aerosols, which, in the presence of heat and sunlight, produce ozone. Exposure to ozone causes lung inflammation, in turn leading to chest pain, coughing and nausea, while chronic exposure has been proven to cause permanent damage to the lungs. A particular nitrogen oxide, nitrogen dioxide (NO2), is a toxic gas that at short-term concentrations causes significant inflammation of the airways, reducing lung function and increasing symptoms of bronchitis in asthmatic people.
According to public health experts, reductions in traffic-related pollution can bring down high rates of major respiratory diseases such as asthma, chronic lung diseases and lung cancer. This 27 year old tro-tro driver at La General Hospital, whose work has directly exposed him to such pollution, suffers from a lung infection and has difficulty breathing.
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As air pollution increases across Africa, related illnesses are projected to increase.

Dr Reginald Quansah, a lecturer at the University of Ghana’s School of Public Health, notes “a strong link between air pollution and diseases, such as asthma, cardiovascular diseases, acute lower respiratory infections [e.g. pneumonia], premature deaths and infant mortality. Except for the latter, all these are increasing in Ghana”. In Ghana’s capital, Accra, consultations for acute respiratory infections were the second highest cause of outpatient hospital visits in 2014, according to the UN Environment Programme (UNEP).

In Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire’s sprawling economic capital, Diabate Daouda, a nurse who has worked in a public hospital for 14 years also shared his observations: “We see a lot of people with respiratory problems in our clinic. Besides asthma, we see how air pollution causes throat problems for our patients. This is very frequent. In particular, we see a lot of children with these complaints. We have not studied this in detail, but we did not use to see as many young patients as we see today.”

In Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire’s sprawling economic capital, Diabate Daouda, a nurse who has worked in a public hospital for 14 years also shared his observations: “We see a lot of people with respiratory problems in our clinic. Besides asthma, we see how air pollution causes throat problems for our patients. This is very frequent. In particular, we see a lot of children with these complaints. We have not studied this in detail, but we did not use to see as many young patients as we see today.”

Air pollution still causes less health problems in Africa than in regions such as Asia, Europe and the US, but the health impacts of air pollution are increasing in Africa. Urbanisation, large increases in vehicle ownership, and slow progress in reducing the sulphur content of fuels and vehicle emissions, make Africa a region of significant concern when it comes to the future impacts of vehicle emissions.

On the other hand, better policy could rapidly have an immediate and positive effect. The International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT) compared the health effects of a business-as-usual scenario with an “accelerated policy scenario”. With business as usual and diesel standards remaining at 2,000 ppm, the ICCT projected 31,000 premature deaths from traffic-related air pollution in Africa in 2030. Under the accelerated policy scenario, however, Africa adopts a 500 ppm limit for diesel in 2015, tightening to a 50 ppm limit by 2020, then reaches European standards for sulphur levels in diesel by 2030. In this scenario, Africa will prevent 25,000 premature deaths in 2030 and almost 100,000 premature deaths in 2050.

The ICCT focused their analysis on traffic-related emissions in 2013, but restricted this analysis to “tailpipe emissions of primary PM2.5 in urban areas”. They have acknowledged that their results present an underestimation of the health gains from mitigating other pollutants such as NOx, secondary PM and ozone. Measured in financial terms alone, the benefits of saving lives and preventing health costs clearly outweigh the costs of moving to low sulphur fuels, as we show in the concluding chapter.

Overall, the ICCT concluded that Africa stands to benefit more than any other region from the introduction of higher standards on fuels and vehicle emissions. Without changing policy on sulphur standards in fuel by 2030, Africa will have the world’s fastest growing rate of premature deaths due to traffic-related air pollution.
3.4.1 – THE FIRST STEP TO CLEAN THE AIR? REMOVE SULPHUR FROM FUELS

With urbanisation and a growing car population, the number of people whose health and lives are impacted will only increase. For years, UNEP has campaigned for sub-Saharan governments to adopt more stringent regulations on the sulphur content of the fuels they import and consume. In the words of UNEP, “it is impossible to clean the air or reduce air pollution from the transportation sector, without getting sulphur out of fuels”. So far, only five sub-Saharan African countries, all in East Africa, have adopted low sulphur standards (e.g. on diesel 50 ppm). The adoption of stringent national standards on the sulphur content of fuel is critical to any efforts for the reduction of vehicle emissions (see Figure 3.4). The use of ultra-low sulphur diesel alone would immediately cut PM emissions by 50 percent at least (even with the existing car fleet). The introduction of existing emissions control technologies in new cars and trucks would reduce PM emissions by 99 percent. 

Figure 3.4 – Lowering sulphur reduces vehicle emissions

**Box 3.1 – BLACK CARBON (BC)**

Black carbon (BC) is the most light-absorbing component of fine particulate matter (PM2.5). It not only damages people’s health but also contributes to global warming. BC contributes to climate change in two ways. First, it absorbs sunlight and re-emits the energy as heat into the atmosphere. Second, when deposited on ice or snow, it directly warms the surface and nearby air. It also reduces the surface albedo (reflectivity), causing the ice or snow to absorb more sunlight and, therefore, to heat up. To date, black carbon is the second largest contributor to human-induced climate warming after carbon dioxide. Because it is short-lived, remaining in the atmosphere only a few weeks, a reduction in BC emissions could have a rapid and significant effect on slowing down the rate of global warming.

When black carbon mixes in the atmosphere with other particles, such as sulphates and nitrates, the mix of man-made particles is sometimes referred to as an “atmospheric brown cloud”. The climate effects of brown clouds are estimated to be particularly large over Asia, Africa, and the Arctic. Indeed, studies have linked these atmospheric brown clouds to drought in the Sahara. PM2.5 and associated pollutants, such as tropospheric ozone, can also harm precious crops and ecosystems. In turn, this damages critical livelihood services, such as the production of food and raw materials, the filtering of air and water, and protection against natural hazards such as floods.

Black carbon represents about 10 percent of total PM mass. An estimated 19 percent of global BC emissions come from the transport sector, with a relatively large share coming specifically from diesel engines where the share of BC in total PM emissions reaches 80 percent.

If transport is typically the largest source of black carbon emissions in developed countries, it contributes a lower share of total black carbon emissions in developing countries, where vehicle ownership has been relatively low. But black carbon emissions are projected to rise in developing countries due to growth in the transport sector. By 2010, Africa already accounted for 10.8 percent of the global black carbon emissions from road vehicles. Of all the BC mitigation options available, the control of emissions from diesel engines offers the best opportunity to reduce near-term warming, according to an independent group of scientists advising the Global Environment Facility. Diesel particulate filters widely used in developed countries have substantially reduced both PM2.5 and BC emissions. However, the effectiveness of diesel particulate filters depends on the use of low sulphur diesel. By 2030 under a business-as-usual scenario, Africa’s contribution will rise to 16.4 percent, more than the US, the EU and China combined. In short, reducing BC emissions in African countries by reducing the sulphur content in fuels could slow the rate of climate change, reduce local air pollution, and improve human health and the security of food and water supplies.
What are currently the most pressing health concerns with regards to the emission of pollutants by road traffic?

Road traffic-related pollutants remain an important concern and challenge, particularly due to the fact that these emissions usually occur very close to people, be it pedestrians, cyclists or all those who live on busy roads. Exhaust-related pollutants such as carbon monoxide, ultrafine particles, nitrogen oxides, diesel soot particles and many others are highly concentrated along busy roads and enclosed streets. As a consequence, exposure can be several times higher than in alleys or parks that are only 50–100 meters away.

As research progressed in the past decades, the list of health problems known to be related to these pollutants has become longer and longer. Major respiratory diseases such as asthma, chronic obstructive lung diseases and lung cancer would be less common if there was less traffic-related air pollution. The same is true for cardiovascular diseases, including the major underlying cause of these diseases – atherosclerosis, or the calcification and stiffening of the arteries. Air pollution accelerates these processes, thus, health problems may occur earlier in life and be more severe.

Novel research findings indicate that air pollutants may adversely affect brain development as well as metabolic disorders such as diabetes or obesity. These chronic diseases are also strong determinants of life expectancy. People living close to traffic-related air pollution have, on average, a shorter life expectancy and higher risk of disease than those living in less polluted locations highlighting the urgent need to control emissions to reduce the health effects of traffic-related air pollutants.

There is some good news: policymakers can have a strong influence on air quality. As seen in most Western countries over the past three decades, emissions controls and other clean technologies have led to substantial improvements in air quality. These improvements are resulting in better health. The famous Swiss SAPALDIA study showed that the “normal” process of aging (including continued loss of lung function) was slowed down among those study participants who experienced an improvement in local outdoor air quality during the years of the study.

How does sulphur content in fuels influence the emission of pollutants and their health effects?

One should be cautious in assigning the health effects mentioned above to single pollutants, as they are the consequence of complex pollutant mixes carried most effectively and deeply into the lungs by fine and ultrafine particles. These “carriers” are directly emitted by dirty engines, but also formed in the atmosphere from traffic-related precursor gases (including sulphur). Sulphur is therefore just one of many problematic pollutants in fuel. However, what makes it a particularly serious problem relates to the fact that the most advanced exhaust technologies are not functional in the presence of high sulphur fuels. Thus, the problem extends far beyond the issue of having higher sulphur concentrations in the air to include the disabling of a wide range of cleaner technologies available on the market and indeed the legal default in all vehicles sold in Europe.

How relevant are traffic-related emissions for urban areas in Africa today and how relevant will they become in the future?

Africa is as much in transition as the “global South” in general. This also means that urbanisation and car ownership are dramatically increasing. It should be a policy priority to ensure that the growing vehicle fleet is at least as clean as the newest generation of cars sold in Europe, Japan or the US. It is unacceptable that the dirty cars forbidden on our streets are ending up on the streets of African megacities instead. These trends will continue to increase for many years to come. The disease burden related to air pollution, already particularly high in these countries, will also continue to increase. If countries in the South do not make substantial changes to their air quality management, air pollution may soon rank as the primary cause of morbidity and mortality. According to the Global Burden of Disease, air pollution from indoor and outdoor sources currently ranks as the second most important cause of mortality and morbidity, after poor nutrition and diet, and above smoking and malaria. This highlights the enormous opportunity for governments to implement clean air policies as a primary strategy to protect public health.

What should be done?

Governments around the globe have a major responsibility to enforce stringent fuel standards in their countries. Some hesitate because refineries are owned by the state, so investments must be made by the state as well. This is very short-sighted because the health consequences of pollution are an order of magnitude costlier than the investment required to modernise fuel production. It is unfortunate that many governments continue to believe that pollution is the price to pay for economic prosperity. The opposite is true and indeed the most developed economies in the world understood this long ago. These countries have seen the success of pro-active clean air policy making. Switzerland is one of many countries where air quality is far better today than 30 years ago. It is extremely unfortunate that the most polluted countries still see trends in the opposite direction. Solutions to the problem are well known and could be adopted on a global scale. Governments of the most polluted countries should implement 10 ppm sulphur standards for fuels, as well as emission and air quality standards. They should do this to protect their population from companies who continue to produce and sell high sulphur fuels or heavily polluting vehicles in their countries simply because the rules are so weak.
Kate Okine, an asthmatic pregnant woman, complains about Ghana’s air pollution that is directly impacting her chronic disease.

Accra, Ghana. November 2015 | © Fabian Biasio
3.5 – BEYOND SULPHUR: AROMATICS AND BENZENE

Sulphur is not the only health damaging substance we detected while analysing our samples of African fuels. We also found (poly) aromatics in diesel. In gasoline, we found aromatics and benzene.

Aromatics are a naturally occurring constituent of crude oil and also produced in the refinery during cracking. They are high-octane components used for blending gasoline. The most commonly traded aromatics are benzene, toluene and xylenes.

When combusted in fuels, aromatics generate particulate and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emissions. PAHs are an alarming group of substances for living organisms. Many are carcinogenic, mutagenic and toxic for reproduction. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has identified sixteen PAHs as “priority pollutants,” including chrysene and benzo(a)pyrene. Benzo(a)pyrene, in particular, is known to harm the unborn child. The International Agency for Research on Cancer lists fifteen PAH compounds as probable, possible or known human carcinogens. Some PAHs are persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic for living organisms. Substances that combine these three characteristics, known as PBTs, represent a particular level of concern, as, once released, they can no longer be removed from the environment.

PAHs come from several sources, including an oil product that is incompletely burned. They are found in the exhaust from diesel engines. Studies in the United States and Europe found that motor vehicle emissions account for between 46 percent and 90 percent of the mass of individual PAHs in ambient air particles in urban areas. The well-documented harm from PAHs has led Europe to introduce new standards that restrict PAH content in diesel. In 2003, this was set at 11 percent (by weight in diesel), later dropped to 8 percent in 2009. With the rare exceptions of countries such as Angola, African countries place hardly any limits at all on aromatics in diesel.

The combustion of gasoline containing aromatics in a car engine leads to the formation of carcinogenic benzene in exhaust gas. According to European and US studies, lowering the level of aromatics in gasoline significantly reduces the emissions of toxic benzene in vehicle exhausts. In Europe, aromatics in gasoline are restricted to 0.5 percent. In sub-Saharan Africa, however, there are almost no limits on how much aromatics are allowed in gasoline, although once again Angola is one of the few exceptions.

Benzene is not only produced when aromatics in gasoline are burned, it is also a naturally occurring constituent of crude oil and is produced during catalytic reforming in refineries. It is toxic to humans. According to the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, benzene is classified as a known carcinogen with presumed mutagenic properties. It is highly volatile and human exposure occurs mainly through inhalation or absorption through the skin, for example “during contact with a source such as gasoline.” Acute direct exposure to benzene may cause, among other things, headaches, dizziness, drowsiness and loss of consciousness, while chronic exposure may result in leukaemia, cardiac abnormalities, cancer, and more. Benzene can also cause excessive bleeding and can affect the immune system, increasing the risk of infection. Long-term exposure has been associated with reproductive disorders in women.

Regulators from many countries recognise that an effective way to reduce human exposure to benzene is to control the legal limits on benzene levels in gasoline. In Europe, benzene in gasoline is restricted to 1 percent. In many African countries, limits do not exist. Where such limits do exist, they can be as high as 5 percent.

3.5.1 – A MAJOR HEALTH CONCERN FOR AFRICA

Africa is of special concern regarding pollution from aromatics exhaust. This is because catalytic converters, which minimise PAH emissions, are rarely installed in vehicles and, when they are installed, they don’t work due to the corrosive impact of high sulphur fuels. Diesel and gasoline engines without catalytic converters are reported to have the highest PAH emissions. In 2014, WHO identified benzene and PAHs as major health concerns in the African region. “Exposure to harmful hydrocarbons, including benzene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), has been reported in a number of countries in the African Region,” the WHO wrote. A biomonitoring study conducted in Kinshasa in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, for example, found higher levels of benzene in urban blood samples than in samples from a sub-rural population. The urban area had high levels of population density, motorisation, old vehicles and car traffic, whereas the sub-rural area had a higher percentage of green areas. Another study conducted in Cotonou, Benin, assessed non-smoking taxi-motorbike drivers for exposure to benzene and PAHs in ambient air. The study found that city-dwelling drivers were exposed to levels of benzene 15 times that of the maximum limit set by the WHO (5 µg/m3), while the exposure of villagers remained within the limits. PAHs analysed from urinary excretion was also higher from the city dwellers compared to the people living in the villages.

The WHO survey also noted that occupational exposure to benzene is “very common” in Africa. Automobile mechanics and petrol station attendants are at special risk mainly because they lack proper guidance and are therefore less likely to observe proper safety procedures. A study in Calabar, Nigeria, investigated the potential risk of benzene exposure from gasoline among car mechanics and station attendants. It found that mechanics often exposed themselves to benzene when they siphoned gasoline from vehicle tanks by using their mouths to suck gasoline through a tube. In addition, they did not use gloves when cleaning vehicle parts with petrol. Petrol station attendants dispensed fuel into vehicles without protective gear. Such practices are common in many parts of Africa and raise serious public health concerns.
Swiss commodity trading companies have become big players all the way down to the pump. But few African car owners will know this, because most traders run their stations under different names. For example, Trafigura’s network is run by its retail arm, Puma. Puma main office in Accra, Ghana, June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Swiss traders: empire-building in Africa’s downstream sector

- As oil majors began to pull out from Africa’s downstream business in the past decade, Swiss trading companies have moved in, expanding downstream to control key assets and numerous petrol stations in Africa.

- Swiss traders have a dominant position in the import and/or distribution of petroleum products in West Africa.

- Despite its strategic importance, petroleum products trading and distribution is a very opaque sector.
Public Eye has shone new light in recent years on the importance and role of Switzerland as the world’s biggest commodity trading hub. Active in all commodities with important market shares, Swiss trading companies account for one third of the global trade in crude oil and petroleum products. The larger companies such as Vitol, Trafigura, Glencore, Mercuria and Gunvor operate globally, but have a strong focus on Africa. Nicknamed “the known unknowns” by a UK regulatory agency, these trading companies are famous for their opacity, a business model that has repeatedly confirmed our fears about the risks associated with the lack of transparency when they buy from African countries. But these trading companies are not only buying crude oil on the continent. They also supply the major share of its petroleum products, including the diesel and gasoline that is fuelling African cars. Over the past decade, bigger players such as Vitol and Trafigura have expanded downstream, buying assets including storage and petrol stations. In 2015, Trafigura had revenues of US$14.4 billion from Africa, making the continent its second largest market after Europe. Its competitor, Vitol, also operates widely on the continent. Thought to be the world’s largest commodity trader, Vitol might be expected to give some information about its activities if only in the public interest, but the company does not disclose its annual results. Many other Swiss companies also supply fuels to Africa.

And although Swiss commodity trading companies are rarely associated with African petrol stations, some of them have become big players all the way down to the pump. But few car owners will know this, because most traders run their stations under different names. Trafigura’s network is run by its retail arm, Puma, while Vitol’s stations still carry the iconic “Shell” logo (though Shell is only a minority shareholder). Focused exclusively on Africa, the Geneva-based Addax and Oryx Group (AOG) is the only exception, running petrol stations branded “Oryx Energies”.

How did this happen? Seismic changes in Africa’s downstream markets have certainly played a role and two factors have prompted these changes. First, demand for petroleum products has been growing rapidly across the continent. At the same time, the world’s oil majors, traditional sources of African fuel, have been selling their assets too. Taken together, these factors opened new opportunities, which the Swiss commodity traders have gladly seized.

4.1 - AFRICA’S DOWNSTREAM MARKET: A PROMISING FUTURE FOR TRADING COMPANIES

Sub-Saharan Africa’s oil sector appears full of contradictions. On the one hand, Africa is an important exporter of crude oil. On the other hand, it remains a net importer of petroleum products, such as gasoline, diesel and kerosene. The reason for this is that – with a few exceptions, such as Côte d’Ivoire and Senegal – the continent lacks capacity to refine.

This situation creates favourable prospects for Africa’s downstream players, especially those that own significant storage facilities. These facilities will become even more important with rapid economic growth, which is expected to double the demand for fuels in Africa between 2000 and 2020. More importantly for investors, the gap between this demand and the output of African refineries is growing exponentially. Despite the desperate need to upgrade the continent’s refining facilities, financial institutions such as the IMF or the African Development Bank do not back such investments. Crucially for trading companies, therefore, African nations must rely more and more on imports to satisfy their domestic demand. Soon the continent will import more products than its refineries produce. In West Africa, the ratio is already negative.

Africa’s downstream sector has a bright future, but the oil majors had made up their minds: they wanted to get rid of these activities. So, despite the opportunity, international oil companies (the “majors”) have been pulling out of the continent for the past ten years, selling assets such as petrol stations and storage facilities.

4.2 - MAJORS OUT, TRADERS IN

ExxonMobil was the first major to pull out, closely followed by the other American giant Chevron in 2008. Chevron, which remained present in South Africa and Egypt, sold around one thousand petrol stations to an African consortium composed of Côte d’Ivoire’s state-owned Petroci and a Nigerian group called MRS. In 2010, BP and Shell sold most of their sub-Saharan networks too.

Total remains the single exception, increasing its retail market share across the continent. In 2005, the French company bought ExxonMobil’s network of petrol stations in 14 African countries.

The majors said they wanted to focus on their core business – exploration and production – and to get rid of the activities with lower margins. As Chevron’s executive vice president, Mike Wirth, neatly put it: “We are increasing efficiency and improving returns by shrinking our market footprint to better align with our refining operations.” For BP, the sale of its network across five countries in southern Africa was a consequence of the Gulf of Mexico oil spill. And Shell, which sold 80 percent of its assets in 14 countries, wanted to “significantly reduce our capital exposure in line with our strategy to concentrate our global downstream footprint”, explained Mark Williams, Shell’s downstream director.

PFC Energy, a leading provider of oil and gas information, provided an additional reason: “The majors increasingly viewed their positions as ever more threatened by factors over which they have no control and by competitors who sometimes enjoy political support and lax law enforcement.” These new competitors arrived on the market as a result of the liberalization policies that took place in the noughties in many African countries.

PFC Energy further reported that the “withdrawal [of the majors] permitted smaller, opportunistic and aggressive operators to penetrate the downstream sector or consolidate their existing operations.”

Enter the Swiss commodity traders. As figure 4.1 shows, independent trading companies, mainly based in Switzerland, didn’t miss the opportunity. By acquiring networks of petrol...
stations and storage facilities, they were the main beneficiaries of the majors’ withdrawal. Trafigura bought assets from BP through its downstream arm, Puma Energy, which is also building new petrol stations. Vivo Energy, a consortium composed of Swiss trader, Vitol, and an African-focused private equity group, Helios Investments Partners bought from Shell. In the same period, other Swiss-based traders such as Addax and Oryx Group have also been expanding their retail networks in several African countries.

So why did the Swiss trading companies decide to step away from their original business model by acquiring hundreds of petrol stations across Africa?

Over the past two decades, trading companies have been expanding along the supply chain, purchasing physical assets, such as oilfields, storage tanks and refineries. With the acquisition of petrol stations, some now control the entire supply chain.

Facing a changing operating landscape, they needed to reassess their strategy. Stéphane Graber, secretary-general of the STSA, says that “as markets became increasingly transparent, their margins shrunk.” Ian Taylor, Vito’s CEO, explained that shrinking margins in traditional trading have caused this trend: “I do expect to see a continuation of trading companies buying selective assets to try to increase their optimisation possibilities.28

New investments in storage facilities for fuel imports (will and do) occur first in coastal countries that fulfil certain criteria, explains Mark Elliot, chairman of CITAC, an Africa-focused downstream consultancy. These criteria include high fuel demand and a shortage of refining capacity; the feasibility of private imports; entry points to landlocked countries; and, finally, the presence of unregulated markets.

Industry consultants further stated that, confronted with “fierce competition”, traders need “to pick up new assets that provide the most optionality.” Optionality refers to the range of possible options on time, location, quality, lot size and logistics of sourcing or delivering that traders mix and match to maximise profits. These traders try to answer basic questions such as: where should I buy (location)? When shall I sell (time)? How much (size)? And what kind of product is demanded on which market (quality)? That is optionality.

Storage facilities open up a range of possibilities, giving the traders more options and helping them to maximise their profits. When the oil majors sold their networks of petrol stations, they were also selling their storage facilities. The latter enable a trader to fulfil three wishes.

First, access to storage allows a trader to “freeze-positions” in a given country, as a Geneva-based trader explains. “By owning local storage and petrol stations, when you deliver, you don’t have to worry anymore about demurrage cost while waiting in the port to discharge, finding immediately a buyer for your product, availability of depots. You just deliver home and outplay competitors,” one Addax & Oryx Group employee says, under condition of anonymity. As if to confirm the statement, Trafigura’s downstream arm, Puma Energy, explains further, claiming, in its 2014 Bond prospectus, that the company targets an “approximately” 30 percent market share in every country where it operates.29 This goal can only be achieved through storage.

The second purpose of owning storage facilities is to use them as part of a global network of hubs. Pierre Eladari, Chairman of Puma Energy, said the storage capacity acquired from BP will be used predominantly to supply regional markets but it could also support Trafigura’s international flow of oil products.30 This is a key advantage with respect to options, particularly time and size. For example, in the recent “contango”, a market situation where the futures price of a commodity is higher than the expected spot price, access to storage enables traders to refrain from selling and wait until prices go up again. That’s how Trafigura’s gross profits soared by 28 percent to reach US$2.6 billion in 2015.31

The third reason to buy storage is to maximise another option: quality. Oil depots offer the opportunity to blend petroleum products according to the fuel quality required by the country (see chapters 9 and 10). With that respect, an advisor close to the BP-Puma transaction assumed Puma Energy was, among other reasons, buying petrol stations in order “to sell surplus of dirty products in Africa.” He was not the only one. A market analyst from Petroleum Intelligence Weekly also mentioned the “compromise” in fuel quality that could occur with the arrival of the traders.32 Weak regulation on fuel quality standards (particularly for sulphur) is a crucial factor in any analysis of the economic potential of petrol stations in Africa. As we show below, many high sulphur, low-quality intermediate products are available that can be blended into “African Quality” diesel and gasoline. Playing with qualities is a lucrative strategy and nothing else than a form of regulatory arbitrage.

The advisor thought of another reason why Trafigura might have engaged in the BP-Puma transaction. In addition to increasing its market share through petrol stations and increased access to important storage facilities, Puma could take over BP’s

---

Figure 4.1 – Changes in market of petrol stations owners in Africa (2004–2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companies</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Oil Companies</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Companies</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Traders</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: PFC Energy
contracts to supply diesel to copper mines and jet fuel to airports, he said. These storage assets also opened the possibility of “sweetheart deals,” such as the one Trafigura enjoys in Angola with a politically exposed person (see chapter 5). Just like his assumption on fuel quality, this one is backed by PFC Energy when it referred to the majors’ new competitors “who sometimes enjoy political support.” We demonstrate in the next chapter that trading companies indeed have no problems building alliances with politically connected players or even directly with politicians. Commodity traders also like opacity. And in this respect, the African downstream industry, despite its crucial importance for African economies, gives them exactly what they need (see box 4.1).

Overall, it all went well for the Swiss commodity trading companies in the context of their dire need to adapt their business model: the conjunction of Africa’s downstream changing market and the desire of the majors to pull out occurred at the same time. Figure 4.2 (pages 34–35) shows the market shares they managed to create for themselves by following their new strategy. It clearly demonstrates the importance of major commodity trading companies such as Vitol, Trafigura, and the Addax and Oryx Group. Having introduced these companies, we turn to the lesser-known Swiss traders, such as SARPD-Oil, Augusta Energy and Lynx Energy, which have established themselves in niche markets.

4.3 – VITOL BECOMES AN AFRICAN GIANT OVERNIGHT

Vitol, the world’s largest trader, expanded into African distribution networks by buying up large amounts of Shell’s infrastructure in 16 countries in 2010. Since then, it has consolidated its

---

**Box 4.1 – OPACITY IS A PROPERTY OF OIL**

Public Eye and its partners have long advocated for more transparency in the oil trade, arguing that transparency is critical to protect the best interests of citizens of resource-rich countries.

But finding consistent and comprehensive data on Africa’s fuel sector has proved extremely difficult, even for the basics, such as production, consumption and imports. Finding information on trade flows – for example to answer questions about the origin of imported products, a company’s market share in the distribution of petroleum products, its assets and/or business relationships, etc. – is sometimes nearly impossible using traditional research methods.

We researched online, going through most of the publicly accessible statistics and leading market analyses. We also looked at ship-tracking databases and dug into companies’ annual reports, their bond prospectuses (where they exist). We visited most of the countries under review to obtain data directly from the relevant ministries. And we systematically cross-checked all the information to obtain the best possible data on the downstream sectors of our focus countries.

We also commissioned London-based CITAC, a respected industry consultancy, to tell us about Angola. The former Portuguese colony is of particular interest due to the magnitude of Trafigura’s activities there (see chapter 5). But citing the sector’s opacity, CITAC was unable to answer some basic questions. It could tell us, for example, that Trafigura imports “the majority” of Angola’s petroleum products, but when we requested a more specific answer, it replied: “We do not believe anyone else supplies the country.” The answer to our next question on the origin of the products delivered was that they could come from any refining hub in the world, including north-west Europe, the US Gulf, the Arab Gulf, India’s west coast or Singapore.

We next commissioned a distinguished freelance researcher to find data on national production, consumption, import volumes, and market shares for Swiss trading companies for both gasoline and diesel in our focus countries. Despite gathering important information, large gaps remained and the quality of the data collected varied from country to country. The researcher explained:

“This is one of the most opaque sectors I’ve ever had to deal with. There’s a lethal combination of limited government capacity for collecting or publishing data from a sector that is operated by a vast number of mainly private, sometimes small and often non-resident companies. There is also a larger than usual degree of secrecy surrounding shipping operations that I think is down to the fact that more trades are with private sector companies that are not obliged to release detailed reports than is the case with crude and to the lack of trade reporters covering product shipping (so there are limited ways for the information to leak out). The result of this combination is an almost total absence of coherent data on even the most basic fundamentals in most countries. This big black data hole obviously facilitates vested interests and questionable commercial behaviour, and in turn hurts consumers, but it’s not clear that information flow is being blocked to any greater extent by vested interests than by capacity failings. I got the impression that a lot of national organisations are collecting elements of product consumption and trade data, but that it is not in most cases being put together to create a unified picture.”
physical African presence in Ghana and Nigeria. Despite a 38 percent drop in annual turnover in 2015, Vitol still pulled in US$168 billion making it Switzerland’s second largest company by revenue after Glencore (with four other commodity traders close behind).\(^{15}\) In 2014, it was the ninth largest company in the world.\(^{16}\)

Owned by its senior employees, the group has offices in 40 countries and publishes neither its annual report nor its profits. Every day, it has 200 ships at sea, carrying the equivalent of half the daily output from Russia, the world’s second biggest producer country.\(^{17}\) By comparison, oil giants Exxon Mobil and BP own 54 and 44 tankers respectively.\(^{18}\)

Originally a pure trader, Vitol has acquired stakes in production assets since then, including a 100 percent ownership of oil fields in Ghana and minority stakes in Côte d’Ivoire. Through a minority share in another company, the London-based Arawak Energy, Vitol also has permits to exploit oil and natural gas fields in Russia, Ukraine and Azerbaijan. Its biggest revenue stream is crude oil, but Vitol is also a key player in a wide-range of petroleum products such as gasoline and diesel. Through its logistics branch, VTTI, and its mid-stream arm, Varo Energy, Vitol owns major storage facilities, including refineries in Switzerland, Belgium and the United Arab Emirates (UAE). It is able to refine more oil in one year than the entire production of a country like the Republic of the Congo.

In 2010, Vitol and its associate Helios Investment Partners, an Africa-focused private equity group, each bought a 40 percent share in Shell’s distribution networks in 16 countries across sub-Saharan Africa, while Shell held on to the remaining 20 percent. Over one thousand of these petrol stations continue to display the Shell brand, which explains why few African consumers have heard of the operating company, Vivo Energy. Shell only kept its assets in four African countries – Botswana, Namibia, Tanzania and Togo – which are “under review for potential inclusion in the deal at a later date.”\(^{19}\)

In July 2016, Vitol and Helios expanded their foothold on the continent by acquiring 49 percent of Oando’s assets in Nigeria.\(^{20}\) For US$ 210 million, the consortium added 350 petrol stations and large storage facilities to its portfolio, operating under OVH Energy. Vitol and its partners thus became the second biggest downstream entity in Africa’s largest economy after Total, with a market share of 12 percent.

While, today, Vivo Energy is the market leader in the distribution of petroleum products in many African countries, Vitol also enjoys a privileged position in the market for imported petroleum products. In Mozambique, for example, it became the sole importer of fuel in 2014.\(^{21}\) Vivo’s Côte d’Ivoire manager told Jeune Afrique that all the products he sells at the pump are bought from Vitol, highlighting the synergies between the group’s trading and retail activities.\(^{22}\)

In its corporate brochure, Vivo Energy states it is “fortunate that, through Vitol and the fast-growing storage and terminal business, VTTI, we benefit from unique access to a truly global integrated supply chain with the world’s largest physical energy trader”.\(^{23}\) Hardly can one be clearer about the synergies between Vitol and Vivo. Responding to our detailed questions, Vitol however said it only supplies “a small proportion of the petroleum products sold by Vivo Energy”.

### 4.4 – Trafigura Brings an Aggressive Puma in the Continent

For most (West) Africans, the very mention of Trafigura brings back memories of “Probo Koala”, the ship caught dumping toxic waste in Abidjan in 2006, a scandal for which the former CEO Claude Dauphin spent five months in an Ivorian jail.\(^{24}\) Locals must have been quite surprised, therefore, to discover in Jeune Afrique that Trafigura had become the biggest foreign company operating on the continent.\(^{25}\) The group makes over a quarter of its revenues (US$24.3 billion in 2014\(^{26}\) by buying crude oil and selling petroleum products between Casablanca and Johannesburg. The commodity price slump reduced this figure to US$14.4 billion in 2015, though Africa remained the company’s second biggest market after Europe. And Trafigura enjoyed its “best trading year,” thanks to extremely volatile prices.

Trafigura was founded by Claude Dauphin and five partners in 1993 as a spin-off from Marc Rich International when the latter became Glencore. Dauphin was a textbook student of his mentor, Marc Rich, the iconic commodity trader who moved to Switzerland in 1983 when charged in the United States for tax fraud and 65 other criminal charges. Dauphin brought the same taste for risky environments to his new company, of which he owned “a little less than 20 percent” until he passed away in September 2015.\(^{27}\) The remaining 80 percent belongs to Trafigura’s managers. Despite its global operations, Trafigura remains highly secretive. Jeremy Weir made Bloomberg’s headlines in April 2015 as the company’s first ever CEO to speak in public.\(^{28}\)

Like other trading companies, the group, whose ultimate parent company is based in Curacao in the Caribbean, began its life as a pure trader. Like Vitol, most of Trafigura’s income (67 percent of its net turnover in 2015) comes from crude oil and petroleum products, though it also claims to be “one of the world’s largest metals and mineral traders.”\(^{29}\) Today, Trafigura is more than a simple intermediary. It owns physical assets worth US$39 billion, including mines, ships, storage tanks, petrol stations and pipelines.

Downstream and retail activities are operated through Puma Energy, who developed along the same aggressive path paved by its parent company, Trafigura (48.4 % share of Puma). Puma’s shareholders include the Angolan state-owned Sonangol and the privately-held Cochon, holding respectively 30 % and 15 % each (see next chapter). The remaining shares belong to offshore companies owned by Trafigura’s main managers, which puts them *de facto* in possession of the majority of Puma, as Trafigura is also privately held by the same group of individuals, although according to Puma the two companies “operate independently.”
Figure 4.2 – Swiss trading companies presence in Africa’s downstream sector

**CORPORATE OWNERSHIP**

- TRAFI GURA
- Sonangol
- Cochan
- PE Group of companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OPERATIONS**

Countries in which Swiss traders own major downstream assets (petrol stations, storages, terminals, airports)

- Vitol
- Helios
- Shell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Addax
- Oryx

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Market shares and infrastructures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Shares of Imports</th>
<th>Owned Storage Capacity (M³)</th>
<th>Market Shares of Sales to End Consumers</th>
<th>Owned Petrol Stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANGOLA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptfigura/DT Group 100 %</td>
<td>Pumangal 236,300</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Pumangol 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BENIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 55,000</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 20 %</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puma Energy 74,300</td>
<td>Puma Energy/Gazelle Trading 7 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy/Gazelle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trading 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO</strong></td>
<td>SARPD-OIL 60 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Puma Energy 43 %</td>
<td>Lynx/X-Oil 29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lynx/X-Oil 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CÔTE D’IVOIRE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 95,831</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 34 %</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puma Energy 176,600</td>
<td>Puma Energy Unknown</td>
<td>Puma Energy 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GHANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore/Fueltrade 12 %</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 11,000</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 13 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy/UBI 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura/Chase Petroleum 9 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 62,700</td>
<td>Puma Energy/UBI 2 %</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitol/Cirrus Oil 6 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura/Blue Ocean 5 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MALI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryx Energies 40 %</td>
<td>Vivo Energy 3,200</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vivo Energy 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOZAMBIQUE</strong></td>
<td>Vitol 100 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puma Energy 276,700</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Puma Energy 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NIGERIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura/Delaney 10 %</td>
<td>Vitol/Oando 84,000</td>
<td>Vitol/Oando 12 %</td>
<td>Vitol/Oando 420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore 4 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oryx Oil Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitol 1 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 17,400</td>
<td></td>
<td>Company Nigeria Ltd. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercuria 1 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura/Blue Ocean 5 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TANZANIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addax Energy 42 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 94,800</td>
<td>Puma Energy 12 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta Energy 25 %</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 150,000 (+100,000)</td>
<td>Puma Energy 12 %</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ZAMBIAM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura 15 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 12,000</td>
<td>Puma Energy 24 %</td>
<td>Puma Energy 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only cover gasoline and gasoil (2013)</td>
<td>Oryx Energies unknown</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 2 %</td>
<td>Oryx Energies 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ZIMBABWE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafifura/Sakunda Energy 50 %</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Puma Energy/Redan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Petroleum 87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** Market, independent consultant, respective government ministries, statistics institutes and company sources.  
See endnotes for respective status of data.
Founded in 1997 and initially focused on Central American markets, Puma now claims to be present in 19 African countries, be it through petrol stations, storage facilities or market shares. In Mozambique, for example, Puma holds about 27 percent of the storage tank capacity. Overall, the company achieved a US$13.4 billion turnover in 2015.

Trafigura may not be Puma’s majority shareholder, but it still plays a major role in the downstream company. In 2015, around 65 percent of the products Puma sold were originally purchased from Trafigura under a “strategic commercial partnership”. The composition of Puma’s key staff also shows who leads the company: Five out of eight Puma Energy executive committee members are former Trafigura employees. Some worked at the same time for both companies, such as Christophe Zyde who from 2010 to 2012 was head of Trafigura’s metals trading for Africa and Chief Operations Officer of Puma Energy, or José Larocca, current head of oil trading for Trafigura, who joined the board of Puma Energy in October 2015.

In 2002, however, Puma got off to a slow start, entering the continent via the Republic of the Congo (see next chapter). In 2007, just one year after Trafigura’s Probo Koala scandal, the company managed to expand into Côte d’Ivoire and Angola. But it was not until 2010 that Puma really dug its claws into the continent, through what it calls “organic growth.” That same year, the group also settled into the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Mozambique. A few months later, it bought BP’s network in five southern African countries (Botswana, Malawi, Namibia, Tanzania and Zambia) and it has since acquired stakes in Zimbabwe, Ghana, and others.

Puma either builds its own petrol stations or rebrands existing ones. Sometimes the group operates under other brands or sells its products to a retailer through exclusive agreements. In Benin, it operates through Gazelle Trading. In Ghana, it works through shares in the UBI Group (see chapter 7).

4.5 – ADDAX & ORYX: TWO ANTELOPES LOOKING FOR A COMMODITY CALLED RESPECT

Just like Dauphin, Jean Claude Gandur, the founder of the Addax & Oryx Group (AOG), is a billionaire. He built his fortune in the 1990s, mainly by acquiring Nigerian oil fields for a pittance under Sani Abacha’s brutal and extremely corrupt rule. “Trouble is my business” was how Forbes described Gandur’s approach in 2007 in an article explaining how two of the company’s employees were convicted of laundering embezzled funds tied to Pres-
ident Abacha. In the same article, written several years after Addax became one of the first companies to invest in Iraqi oil fields after the 2003 invasion; Gandur described his working context: “Peace has no value to our assets.”

All these assets turned to cash when, in 2009, Gandur sold his upstream empire, Addax Petroleum, to China’s state-owned Sinopec for more than US$7 billion. But the group kept its trading operations and downstream assets. AOG does not disclose its financial statements. Its corporate records in Malta where the group has incorporated its holding show a turnover of US$4.7 billion in 2014.

When, in 2011, Gandur failed to sell the rest of AOG (by this time a fully-integrated company, playing up-, mid- and downstream, with assets in real estate too) the Geneva-based firm announced its intentions instead to invest US$400 million in Africa from 2013 onwards. Gandur’s master plan was to build or buy assets, such as gas terminals, lubricant factories and petrol stations, across 22 countries on the continent. At this point, Oryx Energies, AOG’s retail subsidiary, already had a respectable presence in 16 sub-Saharan African countries, plus a “commercial presence” in 6 others. The Group’s activities range from trading most types of petroleum products, including diesel, to distribution, whether through imports or its petrol stations. Although the company claims only “just over” 100 petrol stations across the continent, the size of its retail network and market share varies widely from one country to the next.

AOG has an import market share of 40 percent in Mali. It is also a major player in Benin, where it is one of only three private companies to own storage facilities plus more than a dozen petrol stations with plans to build more. AOG is among the major distributors in Tanzania too. In Nigeria, it owns petrol stations through its majority stake in Phoenix Oil Company Nigeria Ltd. And in other countries, such as Sierra Leone, it doesn’t have a retail network, but enjoys a quasi-monopoly over imports of petroleum products (see next chapter).

Like the other traders, Oryx delivers most of its oil products to Africa using chartered tankers from Europe and the United States through its subsidiary Nyala Shipping. As its website states, the group relies strategically on storage based in regional hubs, such as Benin, Togo, Senegal or Tanzania, to supply landlocked countries such as Mali, Burkina Faso or Zambia. AOG has also a huge new storage facility in the Canary Islands, in order to supply its markets. Since June 2016, Oryx is also actively looking forward to entering Côte d’Ivoire fuel distribution market.

The company aims “to be the most respected independent oil and gas company” in the region; a “vision” that is a “natural extension of our achievements over the past 25 years,” according to its website.

4.6 – OTHER SWISS TRADING COMPANIES

Other Swiss traders, including the commodity giant Glencore and the pure oil traders Mercuria and Gunvor, have also been building their operations on mainland Africa, supplying petroleum products across the continent. Except for Glencore in Zimbabwe, they do not own petrol stations, so they were not the focus of our study. But monthly reports by industry analysts at CITAC often list them as tender winners across the continent. In its 2013 bond prospectus, Gunvor claimed to own a 65,000 MT floating storage facility offshore Cotonou (Benin), using it to store and blend diesel for the regional market. In 2014, Mercuria lifted petroleum products from Côte d’Ivoire’s refinery, SIR, to other West African countries, such as Benin or Equatorial Guinea, according to CITAC’s monthly reports. Glencore, which has partnerships with downstream operators in Zimbabwe and Ghana, also delivers products regularly to Nigeria. Analysis of physical trade flows between Europe’s main exporting hub (Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp) and West Africa, especially in Ghana and Nigeria (see chapters 7 and 8), shows clearly that Russian oil giant, Lukoil, is a leading supplier of petroleum products to African countries through its Geneva-based trading arm, Litasco.

Besides these giants, other smaller Swiss players also operate in Africa’s downstream business. Among them is Lynx Energy Partners, founded by former traders from Mercuria Energy. The Geneva-based company trades globally, but its downstream activities are focused exclusively on the Republic of the Congo. In 2011, it acquired local X-Oil’s network of 19 petrol stations, “accounting for nearly 29 percent of retail segment sales in the country,” according to its website. Lynx claims third place in Congo, just behind Puma, in this reputedly corrupt market (see chapter 5). Big Swiss trading companies have fairly sound economic explanations for purchasing retail assets, but it remains a mystery why a small trader such as Lynx Energy Partners would invest in a retail network in one country alone.

Another is SARPD-Oil, which also operates mainly in Congo. Incorporated in the secretive British Virgin Islands, the company is owned by Wilfried Etoka, an individual who acknowledged his close relationship with the Sassou’s ruling clan.

Also in Geneva is Augusta Energy, created in 2010 and run by former AOG employees. Capitalising on AOG’s success in Tanzania, Augusta is now AOG’s main competitor in the country. Following its rapid expansion, Augusta is now looking closely at West Africa. CITAC’s monthly reports show that they frequently participate in calls for tender, sometimes successfully. In 2014, Augusta Energy exported from Côte d’Ivoire to Cameroon. They also won a tender to supply Gabon.

In the next chapter, we provide examples to show how Swiss trading companies have managed to access certain markets, either to invest in storage or retail networks, or to supply countries with products.

“Peace has no value to our assets.”
Addax and Oryx Group’s CEO
Jean Claude Gandur.
Vitol’s headquarters in Geneva. Vitol, the world’s second largest trader by revenue, expanded into African distribution networks by buying up large amounts of Shell’s infrastructure in 16 countries in 2010. © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Dancing with devils: Swiss trading companies and their policies of market access

- Swiss traders use aggressive strategies to access markets and to become dominant in those markets.

- They work with politically connected individuals and do business with politically exposed persons.

- This happens in notoriously corrupt countries such as Angola, the Republic of the Congo or Zimbabwe.

- The provision of credit to local companies allows the traders to gain indirect control in situations where it would not otherwise be possible.
Market access means everything to commodity traders; and some will do almost anything to get it. Previous studies have highlighted the opacity and governance risks relating to the export of crude oil, when national oil companies (NOCs) sell to Swiss-based traders. For imports too, traders follow similar, albeit less well-known, rules to supply petroleum products to sub-Saharan African countries. In fuel markets across the continent, traders commonly use strategies such as a reliance on local tycoons, dodgy door openers with access to rulers, unholy alliances with public officials. Some traders have been accused of bribing politicians in order to win tenders. As with crude oil, public money is at stake here. Many governments subsidise imports of cheap fuels for the population. In short, the import of these products is strategically important to governments and their economies.

Below we highlight a few cases that are emblematic of the “aggressive” business model set by Swiss trading companies. These cases focus on Angola, Sierra Leone, the Republic of the Congo, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Readers should know that this selection is by no means a complete picture. For example, we left aside Puma’s acquisition of the retail network of state-owned Petroci in Côte d’Ivoire, where Puma chose President Alassane Ouattara’s nephew, Ahmadou Touré, to act as local chairman.

5.1 – ANGOLA: A WELL-CONNECTED POLITICIAN HELPS TO BUILD THE MONOPOLY

When we visited Angola in December 2013, giant colour posters of a serenely smiling President José Eduardo Dos Santos surrounded by happy crowds, lined roads across the country. Stamped across the posters in capital letters was “Obrigado Povo Angolano” – “Thank you, people of Angola.”

...For allowing me to rob the country since 1979,” our driver added dryly.

According to a Western diplomat based in Angola’s capital, Luanda, Dos Santos is still seen as the country’s liberator, a man who, in 2002, put an end to almost three decades of civil war. Despite this, the diplomat says, anger is growing among the population. Angola’s oil has generated billions of dollars in the past decade, but Angola’s citizens barely see any of it (except in the immense palaces that literally overhang the slums in which they live). Meanwhile, Dos Santos’ daughter, Isabel, is Africa’s richest woman. In terms of GDP, Angola ranks 65th worldwide. In terms of life expectancy, however, it remains at the back of the pack, ranked 207 out of 224 countries. Blatant corruption by Angola’s elite who monopolise their country’s main source of revenue, oil, is one of the prime reasons for this stagnation. Angola’s downstream sector is no exception.

When it comes to petrol stations, just three brands exist. One of them is Puma Energy, which runs 77 retail sites across the country. Puma Energy is often represented as the downstream and retail arm of Trafigura, but this is only partly true. It is true that Trafigura founded Puma, but the Swiss trader only holds a 48.4 percent share. Since 2013, Angola’s state-owned oil company, Sonangol, acquired a 30 percent stake, covering not just Angolan operations but global operations too, while other shareholders – Cochán Holdings LLC (15 percent), PE Investment Limited (5.6 percent) and other offshore companies – hold minority stakes.

5.1.1 – GOOD “KNOWLEDGE”... OF THE PRESIDENT

Cochán is worth a closer look. Puma’s 2014 bond prospectus, issued in Luxembourg, explains to potential investors that “Cochán is an investment company organised under the laws of the Marshall Islands in 2010”. The prospectus further states that Puma benefits “from the local market knowledge of Cochán”. If by “knowledge” Puma means direct access to the Angolan President through Cochán’s Chairman, General Leopoldino Fragoso do Nascimento (alias “Dino”), then we’d certainly agree.

Indeed, in September 2010, the very same year that Cochán received its 15 percent stake in Puma, Dino was quietly appointed “Consultant to the Minister of State and Chief of the Military House,” a very senior position within the government. A month later, President Dos Santos authorised a US$931 million contract with Puma, enabling the company to pour huge sums of investment into the country. We’ll probably never know the connection between these events, despite Angolá’s law on “administrative probity,” which defines an act of corruption as the receiving of economic advantage from a party that seeks to benefit from an action arising from the duties of a public servant. Dino is the perfect example of how conflicts of interests can arise when a public official also acts as a private investor and benefits from contracts with the State.

For Puma, the problem is history. The Puma 2014 bond prospectus argues that Dino “no longer serves” in his public capacity. But we are not so sure about that. As an Angolan investigative journalist, who must remain anonymous, explained to us: “Dino’s appointment was published in the ‘Diario da Republica’, the official journal, as it should have been, but his resignation still hasn’t been (published).”

5.1.2 – A MAN WORTH US$750 MILLION

Cochán’s business with Trafigura is not limited to Puma. Through Cochán (Singapore), Dino also benefits from a 50-50 joint-venture with the Swiss trader, which has a monopoly, through the Singapore-based DT Group on imports of petroleum products into Angola, the second largest petroleum products market in sub-Saharan Africa. Is it “D” for Dino and “T” for Trafigura? Either way, the deal was clearly important enough that Trafigura’s late founder and (former) main shareholder, Claude Dauphin, sat on the board of the DT Group together with the General. Energy Compass, which describes itself as an independent data provider, estimated that in 2011 this monopoly was worth US$3.3 billion linked to the imports of 3.25 million tonnes of products, such as diesel and gasoline. The owner of Cochán’s (Singapore) shares in the DT Group is a Bahamas-based shell company, called Cochán (Bahamas). From there, it is impossible to trace the true beneficial owner of this company, though it is established that Dino is the founder of the group. But Puma’s bond prospectus specifies that Cochán is “ultimately owned” by Dino. Asked to comment on its business with General Dino, Trafigura declined to do so.
Based on a 2013 investigation by Public Eye, Foreign Policy assumes that Dino is the owner of Cochan and that he has become a very rich man. Having calculated the value of Cochan's stake in Puma, the magazine even nicknamed him "the 750 million dollar man." And that fortune does not include his other business in banking, telecoms and oil exploration. He could be a billionaire.

But Trafigura never seems bothered by the obvious conflicts of interest surrounding Dino's public and private activities. On the contrary, the Swiss trader seems right at home. One day, the CEO of Trafigura might also have his smile on a poster thanking the people of Angola.

5.2 – SIERRA LEONE: ADDAX & ORYX GROUP CONTROL THE COUNTRY

Early in 2015, a Geneva-based commodity trading company sent a business developer on a trip to Sierra Leone to open a new market there. But he returned disappointed. "It's dead," he told us. "The entire country is controlled by Addax & Oryx Group (AOG)," he added, estimating that Jean Claude Gandur's company imports around 80% of the country's petroleum needs. Asked about its market share, AOG states it supplies "around 60%" of the products consumed in the country. Let's have a look at how the two antelopes, after which the group is named, managed to create the lion's share for themselves in the country.

In 2003, the year after the civil war ended, Oryx Energies, AOG's downstream arm, entered Sierra Leone. It did so through Petrol Leone, a joint-venture with the local Leonoil, in which Oryx Energies has a majority shareholding. Oryx however claims it has had commercial relations in the country since 1991. Petrol Leone operates five strategic oil storage facilities in the port of Freetown with a total capacity of more than 65,000 m³. According to Tank Storage Magazine, a specialist publication, this puts Oryx "in an excellent position in the country – there are limited other storage possibilities, the economy in Sierra Leone is expanding rapidly, and the location serves as a great route to land-locked countries such as Mali." Oryx also owns assets in Mali, where it supplies 40 percent of the domestic demand for fuels.

Another of Oryx's local subsidiaries, Petrojetty Co., is also building new storage capacity in Sierra Leone as well as a jetty to discharge petroleum products. The project is worth US$40 million and had been due for completion by the end of 2015. When finished, the jetty should enable the country to receive larger oil tankers, thus facilitating the import of petroleum products. The jetty might also have been a means to export bioethanol produced by the trader's sister company, Addax Bioenergy, though the Swiss company has recently abandoned this project.

5.2.1 – A CLOSE FRIEND OF THE PRESIDENT

And AOG looks set to hold onto this quasi-monopoly. Key to AOG's dominance in Sierra Leone, at least until he passed away in February 2016, was local tycoon Vincent Kanu who chaired Oryx's partner Leonoil. Also a board member of Addax Bioenergy SA in Geneva, Kanu was, according to The Africa Report, "close friends" with the President of Sierra Leone, Ernest Bai Koroma. They both came from the north of the country.

But Vincent Kanu didn't wait on President Koroma for his influence. Having worked for foreign companies in the downstream sector, Kanu then became managing director of the partly state-owned Sierra Leone National Petroleum Company (also known as "NP"). And when, in 1997, the government sold its 60 percent stake in NP, as part of a Bretton Woods-imposed structural adjustment plan, Mr Kanu was the biggest winner. Supervising the privatisation, Kanu was caught up in an obvious conflict of interest. While a 5 percent portion went to former employees, some 55 percent was granted to Mr Kanu's Leoneoil ...by himself. The remaining 40 percent equity stayed with the privately-owned Precious Minerals and Mining Company (PMMC).

Besides Vincent Kanu, AOG hired another equally useful local agent for its Sierra Leone-focused bioenergy business: Martin Bangura. The two of them, Kanu and Bangura, formed a joint company, Vinmart Security (formed by combining their two first names), which was commissioned by AOG.

When Addax launched its project in 2008, the "Honourable" Martin Bangura was a member of parliament. And so, besides sitting on committees related to AOG activities, such as Energy and Power or Local Government and Rural Development, Bangura was also "representing" Bombali, the district where Addax Bioenergy intended to grow crops. In the local media, Mr Bangura described himself as a "champion" for Addax's plan, spending up to two or three days a week in his district convincing people there of the project's benefits. In a blatant conflict of interest, he did this while his security company was on AOG's payroll.

Asked about Vinmart, AOG said it hired the security company after M. Bangura left parliament, to protect AOG's assets which were facing "extensive theft and vandalism". AOG first hired another security firm, which failed to reduce the damages. The handover to Vinmart then became effective in 2015. Finally, AOG states "it did not particularly concern" the company that "Mr. Bangura promoted a project that would bring jobs and local development to his constituency".

Looking at this situation, there is little doubt that AOG will seem to have handled the task with ease. They both enjoy a significant share of the domestic market from Brazzaville to Pointe-Noire. But Vincent Kanu didn't wait on President Koroma for his influence. Having worked for foreign companies in the downstream sector, Kanu then became managing director of the partly state-owned Sierra Leone National Petroleum Company (also known as "NP"). And when, in 1997, the government sold its 60 percent stake in NP, as part of a Bretton Woods-imposed structural adjustment plan, Mr Kanu was the biggest winner. Supervising the privatisation, Kanu was caught up in an obvious conflict of interest. While a 5 percent portion went to former employees, some 55 percent was granted to Mr Kanu's Leoneoil ...by himself. The remaining 40 percent equity stayed with the privately-owned Precious Minerals and Mining Company (PMMC).

Besides Vincent Kanu, AOG hired another equally useful local agent for its Sierra Leone-focused bioenergy business: Martin Bangura. The two of them, Kanu and Bangura, formed a joint company, Vinmart Security (formed by combining their two first names), which was commissioned by AOG.

When Addax launched its project in 2008, the "Honourable" Martin Bangura was a member of parliament. And so, besides sitting on committees related to AOG activities, such as Energy and Power or Local Government and Rural Development, Bangura was also "representing" Bombali, the district where Addax Bioenergy intended to grow crops. In the local media, Mr Bangura described himself as a "champion" for Addax's plan, spending up to two or three days a week in his district convincing people there of the project's benefits. In a blatant conflict of interest, he did this while his security company was on AOG's payroll.

Asked about Vinmart, AOG said it hired the security company after M. Bangura left parliament, to protect AOG's assets which were facing "extensive theft and vandalism". AOG first hired another security firm, which failed to reduce the damages. The handover to Vinmart then became effective in 2015. Finally, AOG states "it did not particularly concern" the company that "Mr. Bangura promoted a project that would bring jobs and local development to his constituency".

Looking at this situation, there is little doubt that AOG will seem to have handled the task with ease. They both enjoy a significant share of the domestic market from Brazzaville to Pointe-Noire. For that, following the rules of business in Congo, they had to rely on well-connected persons to serve as interfaces between the companies and the ruling family. Many investigations surrounding oil deals in the Congo have shown that
their purpose is to enrich President Sassou Nguesso’s relatives, rather than bringing desperately needed cash to the treasury. Despite Congo’s membership of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), which has slightly improved the transparency of crude sales, the country’s downstream sector remains highly opaque and, therefore, fertile with opportunities for fund mismanagement.

5.3.1 – A MARKET DESIGNED BY KIKI’S REFINERY AND IMPORTER FRIENDS

Congo’s demand for petroleum products is satisfied by two sources. The first source is the state-owned refinery, Coraf, which is run by the President’s son Denis Christel Sassou Nguesso, nicknamed “Kiki”. This refinery gets its oil from the State and provides diesel and gasoline to the local market. Coraf’s dodgy deals with a Swiss front company, Philia, have been the subject of a previous report by Public Eye.30 Congo’s most recent EITI report, covering 2013, shows that the refinery processed 600,000 barrels of the state’s crude oil to generate product sales worth US$600 million, but this money never reached the national treasury. PWYP-Congo and others raised serious concerns about the destination of the money.31 Under Denis Christel’s management, the refinery appears to be nothing less than a financial black hole. And, on the production side, its annual output is “largely insufficient for the national market”.32

That’s why remaining gaps in the national market are filled by imports. The importers sell the products to the state-owned Société Nationale des Pétroles Congolais (SNPC), which then transfers these fuels to a logistics consortium, Société commune de logistique (Sclog). The latter in turn sells them to retailers, such as Total, Puma and Lynx. Sclog has an interesting history (see box 5.1).

Among importers, SARPD-Oil dominates some 60 percent of the fuel supply, according to its owner Claude Wilfried Etoa, a man who acknowledges his proximity to the Sassou family.33 Incorporated in the British Virgin Islands34 though its operations are conducted from Morocco and Switzerland, SARPD-Oil came under the spotlight recently together with another Swiss trader, Glencore. Together, they contracted a questionable oil-backed loan with the state-owned SNPC. This was despite Congo having committed to the IMF in 2010 to cease such pre-payment deals, after investigations uncovered their use as a vehicle for the embezzlement of public funds.35

To find out about other importers of fuels, one has to rely on trading sources and ship tracking databases. They all reveal that the Orion Group also delivers products to Congo. Orion is owned by a close contact of President Sassou Nguesso, Lucien Ebata. The two are so close, in fact, that the Swiss Federal Prosecutor indicted Philippe Chironi, director of an Orion Group office near the Swiss town of Geneva, for aggravated money laundering after he created a complex web of offshore companies to hide the Sassou family’s allegedly ill-gotten wealth. French investigators said the funds in question “may have [...] resulted from corrupt practices in Africa (notably in the Republic of the Congo [...]”).36

Another known importer is the Africa Oil and Gas Corporation (AOGC). Although there are no publicly available corporate records to establish its true human ownership, AOGC’s oil fields, trading activities and petrol stations all seem to belong to Denis Gokana. In its impressive database of corruption cases, the Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative quotes a British Commercial Court judgment, in which the judge claims he was “entirely satisfied that the oil business carried on in the name of AOGC, was in fact carried on by Mr Gokana”.37 That would make him the head of the Congo’s oldest and biggest indigenous private company in the oil sector, created back in 2003 when the state liberalized part of the oil sector.38 Then and now, Mr Gokana is also the chairman of SNPC, the state-owned oil company. In other words, he led the part-privatisation of his country’s oil sector and benefited directly from it too.

Box 5.1 – A LIVELY CONSORTIUM TO ENSURE DISTRIBUTION

Handling the bulk distribution of petroleum products in Congo, Sclog39 shows how public and private interests overlap and even collide.

All retailers present in the country have a share in the consortium. Today, the consortium is composed of Total (25 percent), Africa Oil and Gas Corporation (25 percent) and state-owned SNPC (25 percent), while Puma Energy and X-Oil, which belongs to Lynx Energy, share their 25 percent ownership.

But the shareholding of the Sclog has changed over the years. As a retailer, Chevron once had a stake, but then sold it to Africa Oil and Gas Corporation (AOGC), a private company founded by Denis Gokana. In a blatant conflict of interest, Gokana is also the chairman of SNPC. In its early days in the country, Puma was once in a partnership with X-Oil, which belonged at the time to another Swiss trading company called Tacoma.40 Tacoma and its Congolese subsidiary X-Oil have both been paying “consulting fees” to an offshore shell company belonging to Denis Christel Sassou Nguesso, the Congolese President’s son and head of trading operations at SNPC, according to a 2006 Hong Kong court judgment.41 The shell company, Long Beach Limited (Aunguilla), was part of a broader scheme set up by Denis Christel Sassou Nguesso to syphon off part of Congo’s oil wealth to private coffers, in collusion with Denis Gokana’s AOGC.42
5.3.2 – Lynx Enters the Beautiful Game

The Congolese context has not discouraged the newly formed Lynx Energy from entering this country too. In fact, Lynx started in Congo, in 2011, by buying X-Oil and its network of petrol stations, as well as its share in Sclog. Today, Lynx, which was founded by former employees of the Swiss trading company Mercuria, claims a 24 percent share in Congo’s retail market.

Lynx hired a well-connected local agent called Donatien Mpika. Despite having no prior experience in the oil sector, he is currently head of Lynx Energy Trading Congo. Lynx makes no attempts to hide Mr Mpika’s previous positions: technical consultant to the Congolese Presidency’s Minister of Defence and consultant to the minister responsible for cooperation, humanitarian aid, and solidarity.

Mr Mpika is considered by Congolese media to be close to Denis Christel Sassou Nguesso. He even participated in the organisation of a big event for the glory of the President’s son in 2009, the “Forum pour la consolidation de la paix au Congo”. He is, therefore, a useful business partner to have in Congo’s downstream sector. For this particular event, he was part of the working group that alone managed to spend well over three quarters of the event’s total budget of 3.6 million euros (of public funds, no less).42

Besides hiring Mr Mpika, Lynx wisely sponsors a football club dear to Brazzaville’s elite – “Les Diables Noirs”. Until 2013, the club was chaired by Hugues Ngouélondélé, who is currently in his third term as Mayor of Brazzaville, as well as being the President’s nephew and the brother-in-law, through his sister, of Edgard Nguesso, currently under investigation in France in a case known as the Biens mal acquis affair, meaning “Affair of the ill-gotten gains”.43

This football club has other murky histories, unrelated to the beautiful game. Until recently, it was managed by General Jean-François Ndenguët, head of the Congolese police force, the same man who, in 2004, was arrested in France for alleged participation in the “disappearance” of at least 353 Congolese (DRC) refugees during the civil war in 1999. Thanks to his diplomatic immunity, he was quickly released.

 Asked about its activities in Congo, Lynx didn’t answer to our questions.

5.3.3 – Trafigura’s Seat at the Heart of the Regime

When Puma Energy, Trafigura’s retail arm, entered Congo in 2002, it was taking its first steps onto the continent.44 Today, it claims a 43 percent market share in the country with 37 petrol stations. More than a decade after putting down roots in the country, Puma still benefits from a “tax exemption”, its 2014 Bond Prospectus says.

Trafigura also knows how to position itself favourably with the ruling family – this time, through hiring a lady called Aurelia Mendes. Mendes described herself to Radio France International as the “Project Manager” in Congo for both Trafigura and Puma.45 Press reports have also said she works for the Swiss trading company, though we could not find her in either of the companies’ organograms. Asked to comment about Aurelia Mendes’ role within Trafigura and Puma, Trafigura declined to do so.

Aurelia Mendes also happens to be a close friend of Congo’s first lady, Antoinette Sassou Nguesso. This places Trafigura at the very epicentre of the family in power, as well as smack bang in the middle of the first family’s gross misappropriation of public funds: the President’s wife is cited in France’s Biens mal acquis affair as a beneficiary of the offshore companies discovered during investigation.

France is also where Antoinette Sassou Nguesso chose to celebrate her 68th birthday, inviting no less than 150 guests, mostly from the Republic of the Congo, for five days to Saint-Tropez in May 2013. For an estimated cost of one million euros, reportedly paid by the Congolese treasury, they ate in the best restaurants in town, slept in five stars hotels and some or all of them were granted pocket money between 10,000 and 30,000 euros to shop in the luxury boutiques of Saint-Tropez.46

For some, this birthday was a double provocation. Many people, especially that half of Congo’s population which lives below the poverty line viewed the ostensible demonstration of (illegitimate) wealth as an insult. Adding to the insult was the irony that the party took place in the very country where the Congolese ruling family was (and still is) under investigation.

Meanwhile, just as the party was underway, Congolese state TV chose to broadcast a report that showed the first lady proudly assisting the country’s poorest through her foundation, Congo Assistance.

Congo Assistance may fund social support and healthcare, including partnerships to fight against dreypanocytes, a disease that is widespread in Central Africa. But behind its noble aims, the foundation appears to be part of a propaganda machine to support President Sassou Nguesso. Why else would its website state that Congo is a nation where “free elections are held” when Antoinette’s husband (described by the website as a “genius”) has been ruling the country since 1979?48

Congo Assistance certainly has several controversial individuals on its board. Maxime Gandzion, a former advisor to the President, received millions of dollars in commissions for acting as an intermediary between Gunvor and the SNPC in a crude oil contract that led the Swiss Federal Prosecutor’s office to open another money laundering investigation.49 Georgette Okemba is another controversial board member: her husband Jean-Dominique Okemba is head of Congo’s secret service and Chairman of the BGFI Bank Congo, in which the Gabonese Presidential family, the Bongos, have shares.50

Finally, one of Congo Assistance’s board members is... Aurelia Mendes,41 Trafigura’s key figure in Congo. Just like Antoinette, Mendes wasn’t busy with Congo Assistance when the party took place in Saint-Tropez: she was among the happy few to benefit from the autocratic clan’s “generosity”. But was she there as a friend of the first lady or on duty for Trafigura?

5.4 – Zambia: Fiddling with the Tenders

“Commodity trading [sic] wins contracts mainly through commercial public tenders,” wrote Stéphane Graber, Secretary-
Figure 5.1 – Family businesses in Congo’s downstream market
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General of the Swiss Trading and Shipping Association (STSA). But we are not convinced by this statement. The examples of Angola and Sierra Leone show Mr Graber’s claim to be misleading at best. And even when such tender results do exist, there is no guarantee of their integrity.

Allegations of irregularities in the procurement tenders have surfaced, for example, in Zambia, where Puma and Oryx operate a network of petrol stations. In June 2012, members of the opposition party, the Movement for Multi-party Democracy (MMD), wrote an open letter to “the donor community of the Republic of Zambia” in which they highlighted situations where the mismanagement of public funds could have occurred. Among the questions they raised were: “In the recent oil procurement contract awarded to Trafigura (…), why were the more competitively priced bids overlooked? Who acted as agent for these suppliers, and does this person have a relationship to any political figures?”

A non-oil producing country, Zambia imports its oil, some of which it refines itself at its ageing refinery, Indeni. However, with a maximum output of 24,000 barrels per day and usually operating at 50 percent of that capacity, this refinery does not meet all of Zambian demand. So the government also uses international tenders, awarding two-year contracts for the supply of diesel and gasoline. Glencore won the bid for 2010–2012, then Trafigura secured the deal in August 2012. Under the terms of this deal, Trafigura would deliver 216 million litres of diesel and 21 million litres of gasoline, worth US$500 million, until 2014.

5.4.1 – HOT TIMES FOR WYNTER

Allegations of corruption in the Trafigura contract emerged quickly. As if power was synonymous with money, Zambia’s then Justice Minister, Wynter Kabimba, set up Midland Energy, of which he was a board member and shareholder, in January 2012, just four months after the head of his party, Michael Sata, was elected president of Zambia, The Guardian reported. Then, in December 2012, media reported that Zambia’s Anti-Corruption Commission had called Kabimba “to respond to allegations that Trafigura paid his company, Midland Energy Zambia,” in order to win the tender. At the time, Wynter Kabimba also served as Chairman of the Commission of Inquiry of the Energy Regulation Board (ERB) and as Secretary-General of the Patriotic Front, the governing party.

Wynter Kabimba was cleared of the charges in 2013 after a preliminary investigation, while still heading the ruling party. But President Michael Sata dismissed him nevertheless in August 2014. This case echoes Jamaica in 2006 when Trafigura was accused of funding the People’s National Party (PNP) in order to win contracts for the supply of crude oil through the PNP’s Secretary-General and national Information Minister, Colin Campbell. Campbell admitted the accusations and was forced to resign. In both the Zambian and Jamaican cases, however, Trafigura denied all wrong-doing.

Zambian press reports allege that Trafigura’s “agent” in the country was businessman Rajan Mahtani, a close friend of Wynter Kabimba and known funder of the Patriotic Front (PF). He was also Chairman of Finance Bank until his arrest in June 2015 for forgery and the illegal acquisition of a cement company’s shares. By then, he had already been implicated in a separate case related to PF funds. A spokesperson for Trafigura said Rajan Mahtani is “neither an agent nor an employee or a consultant” of the company, but would not say – despite being asked specifically – whether that had also been the case at the time of the deal. The spokesperson did say, however, that Trafigura “welcomed the investigation by Zambia’s Anti-Corruption Commission (ACC) in 2012 where they found no evidence of corruption”.

5.4.2 – ANOTHER QUESTIONABLE CONTRACT IN ZAMBIA

In November 2014, Trafigura won another controversial US$28 million contract to supply petroleum products into Zambia. It attracted suspicion, because it was “hastily executed (…) on 12th November 2014, apparently “at a very high price” before the normal consultative procedures had been completed.” President Michael Sata had previously rejected the contract before he passed away.

National media claimed the price was high because, although oil prices had crashed in the second half of 2014, Trafigura requested and “apparently obtained” a price based on more favourable months. According to the same report, the Anti-Corruption Commission is investigating the deal and the police have questioned a South-African based employee of Trafigura. Responding through its local law firm, Trafigura confirmed the contract and said that it had been approved by the relevant authorities. It failed to mention the pricing issue.

The contract had indeed been approved by the relevant authorities. But how? Two senior government officials of the Ministry of Mines, Energy and Water development are due in court for having “illegally awarded” the contract to Trafigura. A company spokesperson said he could not “comment on legal proceedings to which Trafigura is not a party.”

5.5 – ZIMBABWE: TRADERS ADAPT TO THE LOCAL CONTENT LAWS

In Zimbabwe, the fuel industry is dominated by three players, Sakunda Holdings, Redan Petroleum and Zuva Petroleum. Local content laws require petroleum companies to be at least 50 percent owned by nationals. But Swiss trading companies Glencore and Trafigura partly own all three, thanks to loans they granted to local purchasers of retail networks.

Glencore, for example, is using “fronts” to conceal its interests in the distribution sector, a 2013 report by the National Indigenisation and Economic Empowerment Board (NIEEB) concluded. The report said that Glencore used a company called Alveir Management, which it owned 100 percent and registered in the British Virgin Islands, to provide a US$22.2 million loan to Woble Investments Ltd, a local company which bought Zuva Petroleum. Zuva, in turn, claims to be the country’s “biggest oil company” after acquiring BP and Shell assets in 2010.
In June 2012, the loan was converted into equity in Woble, putting the Swiss commodity trader in indirect control of 72 petrol stations across Zimbabwe. The NIEEB stated that such a move reduced the “effective indigenous interest” in Zuva to less than 26 percent, well under the threshold required by law. Despite NIEEB objections, Zimbabwe’s government approved the transaction.69 One explanation is that the owner of Woble, John Mushayavanhu, is not only a successful banker but also an “influential” member of the ruling party ZANU-PF.70

Asked to comment, Glencore denied owning a stake in Woble Investments and said it owns “a minority equity interest in Zuva Petroleum, in line with the requirements of the Indigenisation and Economic Empowerment Act”. With respect to politically exposed persons, the company said it “conducts appropriate due diligence as required to ensure that it acts in line with the Glencore Corporate Practice (GCP) and its Code of Conduct”.

Trafigura begin its acquisitions in Zimbabwe with a loan. At the end of 2013, it guaranteed a US$120 million loan by French bank Société Générale to Sakunda Holdings.71 In December of the same year, the company bought a 60 percent stake in Redan Petroleum, also in excess of local content requirements.72 A few months later, Trafigura concluded a US$262 million deal to buy 49 percent of Sakunda Holdings too.73

With both Redan and Sakunda in its pocket, Trafigura controls more than 125 retail outlets74 and imports about 50 percent of the country’s petroleum product needs. Through exclusive agreements, it controls the Feruka-Msasa pipeline, connecting Trafigura’s important storage facilities in Beira, Mozambique, to the Zimbabwean capital, Harare. That same deal also allowed Trafigura to become an important supplier for Malawi and Zambia, and won the company a leading position despite fierce competition in the scramble for Southern Africa.

5.5.1 – POLITICALLY CONNECTED PARTNERS

Just like Zuva Petroleum, Sakunda Holdings is a very politically connected company. The chairman of the board, Willard Manungo, is also head of the state-owned Infrastructure Development Bank of Zimbabwe and was for several years a financial advisor to President Robert Mugabe.75 The list also includes a shareholder who is formally head of Zimbabwe’s central bank, and current ministers too, says Africa Confidential which talks of Trafigura’s “excellent links with government officials and ZANU-PF”. Asked to comment on how the company handled the risk of working with political figures, Trafigura declined to do so.

Such links may also explain why, in January 2016, the government awarded a tender to Sakunda for the supply of 200 megawatts of electricity in order to mitigate power shortages. The company would cumulatively inject US$2 billion to build an Emergency Diesel Power Station. Besides its shares in Sakunda, Trafigura is explicitly described as a partner to the project, though its role is not specified.76 It could be by providing funding and/or supplying the heavy fuel oil to run the station.

Zimbabwe shows how the provision of much-needed credit in African economies, especially risky ones, is an effective way for commodity traders such as Glencore and Trafigura to enter these markets.
Puma Energy petrol station in Accra, Ghana, June 2016. | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Across Africa to sample Swiss fuels

- By analysing fuel samples taken from petrol stations in eight African countries, all owned, partly-owned or supplied by Swiss trading companies, we know for the first time the quality of fuels sold in those countries.

- In diesel, we found sulphur levels up to 380 times the European legal limit and up to 630 times the average levels of diesel sold in Western Europe.

- In gasoline, we found sulphur levels up to 70 times the European legal limit and over 100 times the average levels of gasoline sold in Europe.

- We found other worrying health damaging substances in concentrations never allowed in a European or US fuel, such as polyaromatics (diesel), aromatics and benzene (gasoline).

- Metals we found in a number of samples we tested for that, not only damage car engines, but also contribute to higher emissions of pollutants.
The European winter seemed far away on 9th December 2013, as we enjoyed dinner on a mild evening in Luanda, Angola’s capital, with a local contact and a magnificent sunset view over the Ilha do Cabo, the city’s peninsula that juts into the Atlantic Ocean. It’s an exceptional experience and not just for the view. The average US$40 per person puts this restaurant way out of reach for most Angolans. Prices in this city are often higher than in Tokyo, New York, or Geneva. And Angola has become one of the most unequal countries in the world.1

We had views in two directions. We could look out towards the distant Atlantic horizon. Or we could turn towards the city, where our eyes immediately fell upon several fancy buildings, dominating the skyline. Flanked by yellow flags stamped with a capital “S” in red and black, these buildings belonged to Sonangol, Angola’s almighty national oil company. Back at ground level, just next to the restaurant, a brand new Puma petrol station stood proud. Surrounded by palm trees, the pavements of the red and green branded wildcat company sparkled – almost as much as the shop windows next door, but not quite. This place was also out of reach for most Angolans, since the prohibitive prices mean they would not have dreamed of owning a car.

And yet the government continues to heavily subisidise fuel. Gasoline and diesel are perhaps the only cheap products2 in this country, even though, like most consumer goods, they are largely imported. Like many other oil-producing countries with inadequate refining capacity, Angola’s government thinks fuel should cost less here than on world markets.3

When we arrived, we already knew how billions of dollars of Angolan public funds are wasted to subsidise products that most people cannot afford. We also knew that endemic corruption still haunts the country’s oil sector. But we had come to Angola to find out whether, on top of all this, these fuels are also risking people’s health.

To do so, we just rented a car, hired a driver and travelled across the country collecting samples from petrol stations along the way. We chose Angola as one of our first countries, since it was easier to check there, whether fuel at the pump was supplied and sold by a Swiss trading company. Although the issue is shrouded in total secrecy, industry experts believe that Trafigura is Angola’s sole supplier of petroleum products. In other words, it has a monopoly over imports (see chapter 5). As if to prove the point, the trader also runs a large network of petrol stations across Angola through its downstream arm, Puma Energy (which operates under the Pumangol brand). Most of the petrol stations we visited were new, and Puma Energy’s website confirms the speedy expansion of its network: growing from 15 petrol stations in 2011, to 52 in 2013 when we were on the ground, and then to 77 in 2016.4

We took samples from petrol stations along a 2,000 km journey, from the southern port of Lobito to Huambo, hidden deep in the hinterland, and Soyo, a tropical town lying at the mouth of the gigantic Congo River. We then delivered the samples to a logistics company in Luanda for export to the Netherlands, where an independent accredited laboratory did the petrochemical analysis on six samples.

Very little information is publicly available on fuel quality in Angola and elsewhere in the region. But we can get an idea just by checking the national fuel quality regulations and requirements as set out in various fuel tenders, information that is already difficult to access. By comparing these “African standards” with the European ones, we can see very clearly that a clear double standard exists between these two parts of the world. We still did not know for sure, however, until we did the analysis, what actually was contained in the fuels sold at West African pumps.

We know of at least one inspection company, which frequently samples fuels around the world, including in West and Central Africa. But their results and analysis never see the light of day. Instead they are bought by car manufacturing and oil companies under confidentiality agreements only for internal use.

So while a handful of people have the answers to these questions – including the suppliers, usually the same people as the fuel producers, the buyers, the surveyors and the regulatory authorities – the region’s publics do not know the quality of their fuels. Fuel sampling is the only way to find out what they really contain and to answer the basic question: are these fuels dangerous for people’s health?

Besides Angola, we collected gasoline and diesel samples from petrol stations owned, controlled or linked to Swiss trading companies in seven other sub-Saharan Africa countries. We carefully followed the methodology described below to make sure our results could not be disputed.

To this day, our sampling research and analysis is the most extensive publicly available data on the fuels sold in West and Central Africa, even if the number investigated is inevitably small compared to the volumes sold. And given that all our samples were taken on a single trip, the results might have been different a month before or after. So these results represent a snapshot rather than a comprehensive picture.

But the results do show us that high sulphur and aromatic fuels are being sold in countries with weak, or non-existent, regulation on sulphur and aromatics. And these findings are supported by the other evidence that we have, notably from our investigation in Ghana (see chapter 7) and statistical analysis of the fuels being transported from Europe to West Africa (see chapter 8).

We are therefore confident that, despite the limitations of our analyses, the results represent an accurate picture of products sold in the countries which we visited. The gasoline and diesel that we tested in these sub-Saharan African countries could never be sold at the pump in Switzerland, in any EU coun-

Many of our samples show much higher sulphur contents than what refineries in West Africa often produce.
try, in the US or in many other countries around the world. The gasoline and diesel that we tested is very bad for people's health and for the environment.

Our results show a clear strategy of “blend-dumping” by Swiss trading companies. This strategy involves mixing intermediate products to turn them into low-quality fuels for the African market (see chapter 10). This strategy is not restricted to any particular trader.

6.1 – SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY OF THE SAMPLING

Between November 2012 and February 2016, we analysed a total of 47 fuel samples – 25 diesel and 22 gasoline – taken in the following eight West and Central African countries: Angola, Benin, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Mali, Republic of the Congo, Senegal and Zambia. The fuels were bought at petrol stations either belonging to, or linked to, or exclusively supplied by Swiss trading companies. Our chapters 4, 5, and 7 give more detail of their corporate structures.

Other Swiss traders such as Glencore, Gunvor, Litasco and Mercuria, are also actively supplying fuel markets in West and Central Africa (see chapter 8), but they do not own any petrol station networks in these countries. Given how difficult it is to identify their supplies, we have left them out of our sampling. If it were possible to identify and test their fuels, we expect we would find very similar results.

Some traders are expanding their networks of petrol stations and supplying other retail companies too. Puma is one of them, as it says in its 2014 Offering memorandum: “Most of our contractual arrangements with wholesalers require the wholesaler to use unbranded trucks and to resell our products to unbranded retail stations. This limits our reputational risk and exposure to incidents at the distributor or final customer level.” While this could shield Puma from the reputational impact of improper handling of their fuels at those stations, it could equally protect their reputation when delivering low-quality fuels. We asked Trafigura to explain to us the reasons why most of Puma’s contractual arrangements with wholesalers require the wholesaler to use unbranded trucks and to resell their products to unbranded retail stations, but neither Trafigura nor Puma Energy responded to our specific questions on this, giving instead a more general statement.

Finally, we note that a diesel sample taken from a Puma Energy petrol station, for example, doesn't necessarily mean the diesel was produced or delivered by Puma Energy or its mother company, Trafigura. Another supplier/producer could have sold this product for Puma Energy to sell it retail. But as Puma Energy states itself, it is "ultimately responsible for the fuel supplies it handles." We also sampled a few petrol stations attempting to find a link with a Swiss trading company. This could not be done with certainty, so we excluded the findings of these three samples from the interpretations in this chapter. Instead, they are reported in Annex 3.

We used sturdy transparent 250 ml glass bottles for the sampling. The rinsing procedure for these bottles ensured that the substances analysed actually came from the samples and not from any contamination present in the bottle.

The bottles have a wide opening to allow easy filling. Petrol station employees filled the bottles to around 80 percent capacity. The bottles were opened just before filling, then immediately closed. We followed a careful labelling protocol, noting the time and date of the sample together with the address and brand of the petrol station. We then sent the bottles to the Netherlands using an independent and internationally operating company specialising in the shipment of dangerous goods. Once in Amsterdam, these bottles were dispatched to an independent accredited laboratory, which performs petrochemical analyses for samples from all over the world. At the request of this laboratory, we are not disclosing its name. The laboratory was concerned about possible repercussions in case the samples were taken from petrol stations owned by their regular clients.

### Table 6.1 – Selected trading companies and their downstream companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swiss Trading Company</th>
<th>Retail Arm</th>
<th>Petrol Station Brand</th>
<th>Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trafigura</td>
<td>Puma Energy</td>
<td>Pumangol</td>
<td>Angola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gozelle Trading</td>
<td>Benin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Puma</td>
<td>Republic of the Congo, Côte d’Ivoire, Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UBI</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>Vivo Energy</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana, Mali, Senegal,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
<td>Oryx Energies</td>
<td>Oryx</td>
<td>Benin, Mali, Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
<td>X-Oil</td>
<td>X-Oil</td>
<td>Republic of the Congo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.1 – FOCUS ON SULPHUR AND OTHER TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Hundreds of test methods exist to analyse different parameters of fuel quality. We focused on the substances that have the most direct implications for health and the environment. All samples of diesel and gasoline were analysed for sulphur con-
In reality in Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parts per million (ppm)</th>
<th>EU legal limit</th>
<th>US legal limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congo No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo No. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte D’Ivoire No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte D’Ivoire No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte D’Ivoire No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysed African diesel samples contained up to 630 times more sulphur than average diesel sold in Europe and were up to 378 times above EU regulatory limits.

In addition to testing for environmental and health-damaging substances above, we also tested a few samples for a range of metals and bacteria that impact motors. We tested for bacteria, because we saw slimy substances present in some of the samples. In several diesel samples bacteria contamination was indeed the cause.

Technical details of all the individual fuel samples analysed (date of sampling, test methods used, etc.) can be found in Annex 3.

### 6.2 – UP TO 380 TIMES THE EUROPEAN LIMIT ON SULPHUR

"The findings are spectacular. We found very high sulphur levels in the diesel up to 3,780 ppm. We have only ever seen these kinds of levels in the old days," the laboratory supervisor told us, looking at analysis results from a sample of Malian fuel. We had taken the sample while on a multi-country trip in August 2014, which also included Zambia and Côte d’Ivoire.

The supervisor’s comments would work well for the other countries we visited. Diesel samples from Ghana, Senegal and Benin, for example, also contained very high levels of sulphur, as Figure 6.1 shows below. Not a single drop of the diesel that we sampled could legally be sold in Europe. Even the “best” diesel samples, all of them from the Republic of the Congo, were more than 25 times higher than the European legal limit of 10 ppm.

More than two thirds of the diesel samples (17 out of 25) have a sulphur level higher than 1,500 ppm. In a diesel sample from one of Oryx’s petrol stations in Mali, sulphur content was as high as 3,780 ppm.
Table 6.2 – Sulphur concentration of the diesel samples and legal limit, by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY (TRADER INVOLVED)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES</th>
<th>SULPHUR CONCENTRATION RANGE (PPM)</th>
<th>MONTH OF SAMPLING</th>
<th>LEGAL LIMIT AT THE TIME OF SAMPLING (PPM) (based on data from Stratas Advisors, Feb 2016)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola (Trafigura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,000–1,600</td>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>3,000 (1,500 since Sept 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin (Addax &amp; Oryx Group, Trafigura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,230–2,740</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo (Lynx Energy, Trafigura)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>273–304</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (Vitol, Trafigura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,610–2,354</td>
<td>July – August 2014</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>February 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana (Vitol, Trafigura)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,560–2,730</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali (Vitol, Addax &amp; Oryx Group)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,710–3,780</td>
<td>July – August 2014</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal (Vitol)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,340–2,940</td>
<td>November 2012</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>July 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia (Addax &amp; Oryx Group, Trafigura)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>440–2,850</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500 (LSGO spec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>273–3,780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.2 – Sulphur concentration ranges of the 25 diesel samples

6.2.1 – DIESEL SAMPLES WITH CARCINOGENIC “PRIORITY POLLUTANTS”

As we explained in chapter three, sulphur is not the only health-damaging substance contained in diesel. Some 15 polyaromatics (Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, or PAHs) are listed by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as probable, possible, or known human carcinogens, while the US Environment Protection Agency considers 16 of them as “priority pollutants”. PAHs are an alarming group of substances for living organisms. Besides being carcinogenic, many are also mutagenic and toxic for reproduction.

So, we also tested for PAHs in our African diesel samples. However, we only present here the results for 22 of these 25 diesel samples, since we got the Angolan diesel samples to be analysed by another laboratory using different test methods to focus on a small group of polyaromatics. The results are therefore not comparable with the European diesel standard.

Before proceeding with the analysis of the samples from Ghana and Benin, the Dutch laboratory supervisor told us: “Except for two of them, all these diesel samples are very dark. And all of them seem to have sediment problems [...]. You have got these through the tank pistol from a petrol station? I have never seen such samples from a petrol station. They look like our bottom samples [from the bottom of a tank]. Can I ask you where these are from? Ah, Africa. We also sometimes load ships for Africa from here. Cracked products, like LCO (Light Cycle Oil),
Diesel samples from Ghana contained sulphur levels up to 273 times the European limit. A Shell (Vivo Energy) petrol station in Accra, Ghana. June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
that have a very high aromatic content, are often blended into African fuels. These samples are rather extreme."

With the exception of Angola, none of the African countries, where we took samples, have regulations on levels of polyaromatics or total aromatics in diesel. That makes these countries a perfect dumping ground for high aromatic blendstocks, despite their proven health-damaging effect. When combusted in fuels, aromatics generate particulate and PAH emissions.

As with sulphur, most of our samples (12 out of 22) had PAH levels higher than the European legal limit, almost double in the case of Vitol in Senegal (see Figure 6.3 above). Industry experts think the actual PAH content in European diesel today is much lower than the legal limit, often around 3 percent of mass (3%.m). So the actual gap between the African and European samples is even wider. Indeed, a study showed that the level of PAHs contained in diesel sold in Germany had an average of 2.73 percent of mass in 2013. So Vitol’s diesel, as sold in Senegal, has more than five times more PAHs than the diesel sold in Germany. Worldwide, the average of PAH in diesel is estimated to be 3.7 percent of mass, according to CONCAWE. This is certainly lower than what we found in Africa. Only two of our 22 samples, found at Oryx in Zambia and Trafigura in Côte d’Ivoire, are lower than the global mean.

Recent global figures for the average total aromatics in diesel are not available, but CONCAWE, a scientific research organisation, estimates the European average to be between 20% m and 25% m. This estimate matches the levels found in Germany, of 24.39% m in 2013. This means that 18 of our 22 (82 percent) diesel samples had total aromatics above the actual European level (see Figure 6.4). The reason why African diesel fuels have high aromatic and polyaromatic content can easily be explained: almost no sub-Saharan African country regulates them. And so the trading companies who import these fuels are tempted to use cheaper, lower quality, high aromatic blendstocks for diesel in the African markets. This tactic might have commercial advantages, but for the people and for the environment where these fuels are sold, this “blend-dumping” is a very unhealthy practice. The business model behind this blend-dumping is described in chapter 10.

6.3 BLEND-DUMPING IN AFRICA: WHAT GOES INTO DIRTY GASOLINE?

In their natural states, gasoline contains less sulphur than diesel. This is because a smaller share of the sulphur present in crude ends up in gasoline or naphtha. But gasoline can have high sulphur levels if the crude oil that was refined had high sulphur levels, or if the gasoline contains naphtha blendstocks produced during catalytic or thermal cracking in the refinery (see chapter 10). The sulphur content in the gasoline product is usually not higher than 1,000 ppm to 1,200 ppm. But this is still a high level, more than 150 times the sulphur content found in Europe (7 ppm). Moreover, some countries like Senegal and

Figure 6.3 – PAH levels in African diesel samples, by trading company (%m)
Table 6.3 – Polyaromatic concentration ranges of diesel samples, by trading company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADER INVOLVED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE GLOBAL AVERAGE (3.7 %m)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE EUROPEAN STANDARD (max 8 %m)</th>
<th>PAH CONCENTRATION RANGE (%m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.5 – 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafigura</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6 – 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3 – 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.3 – 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20 (91%)</td>
<td>12 (55 %)</td>
<td>1.6 – 15.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.4 – Levels of total aromatics in African diesel samples, by trading company (%m)

Ghana still have very high sulphur standards (1,000 ppm or more). That is why we also tested sulphur in our gasoline samples collected at pumps in the eight African countries.

As observed with diesel, it is clear that none of the sampled gasoline could be sold in Europe, as they are all above Europe’s 10 ppm limit. Nevertheless, all the samples are within the legal limits of the countries of sale, except the gasoline taken from a Shell station in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire, where the value for sulphur was just 5 ppm above the limit of 150 ppm.

Almost half of the gasoline samples (10 of the 22) have a sulphur level between 15 and 72 times the European limit (see Figure 6.5). But if we compare the average sulphur levels in European gasoline (7 ppm) with the highest sulphur sample of gasoline from a station in Ghana belonging to UBI, a subsidiary of Puma Energy, then that discrepancy increases to a factor of 193. More generally, we found the highest levels of sulphur in Ghana and Mali. In Ghana, we found between 275 and 718 ppm sulphur in the four gasoline samples. This is within the legal limit, but the limit itself is very high (1,000 ppm), one hundred times higher than the European legal limit. Many of our samples show much higher sulphur contents than what refineries in West Africa often produce. The Tema refinery in Ghana produces an average 127 ppm gasoline. In any case, when we took the samples from Ghana, in May 2015, the refin-
ery was hardly operational, which means these products had
been imported.

We could not sample gasoline in Benin, because when we
visited in May 2015, the petrol stations were running out of it. In
any case, Benin’s gasoline supplies are mainly smuggled from
neighbouring Nigeria, where the fuels are heavily subsidised.
This fuel is known as “Kpayo”. Price differences between the two
countries are such that Benin importers hardly touch the gaso
line market, because they cannot compete with the Kpayo.

6.3.1 – HIGH AROMATICS IN GASOLINE

“This is a very nice one, clear and bright,” the laboratory supervi
sor said, while looking at the batch of samples taken in Zambia,
“Exhaust-related pollutants such as carbon monoxide, ultrafine particles, nitrogen oxides, diesel soot particles and many others are highly concentrated along busy roads and enclosed streets,” asserts Prof. Nino Künzli. Accra, Ghana, June 2016. © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Mali and Côte d’Ivoire. In this specific case, he was looking at a gasoline sample taken at an Oryx petrol station in Lusaka. But we should not judge a book by its cover. Analysis of this sample, received a few days later, showed that in fact it had a very high aromatic level than the average European gasoline. Also 9 of the 22 sampled gasoline fuels could not legally be sold in Europe, even if the sulphur was within the European standard, because they contain more than 35% aromatics. One Oryx and one Puma gasoline sample each showed an aromatic content of over 40%.

Figure 6.7 Levels of aromatics in African gasoline samples, by trading company (%v)
6.3.2 – DANGEROUS LEVELS OF TOXIC BENZENE

The majority (16 of the 22) of sampled gasoline fuels could not be sold in Europe, even if the sulphur or aromatics content were at the European level because they contain more than 1%v benzene. One gasoline (a Puma gasoline sampled in Congo) even contained 3.84%v benzene (see Figure 6.9 above). The second highest one (3.82%v) was also from a Puma gasoline. The sample had been taken from a Puma petrol station in San Pedro, Côte d’Ivoire, in February 2016. All the gasolines analysed had a benzene content higher than the European average of 0.6%v.55

6.3.3 – METALS IN DIESEL AND GASOLINE

“In European fuels we hardly find any traces of metals. You don’t want metals in the fuels. Fuels without metals have the best combustion leading to the least particles,” a laboratory supervisor told us on the topic of metals in fuels.54 Metallic contaminants damage car engines and their exhaust emission control equipment too, so the car industry recommends that gasoline and diesel should be free of metals. According to Dorothee Lahaussois, manager of fuels and energy for Toyota, the quality of gasoline depends on three key factors – the absence of metallic additives, a sufficiently high octane level, and a very low level of sulphur.55 “Ash-forming fuel additives, such as organo-metallic compounds [like manganese and iron], and metallic contaminants, such as calcium, copper, phosphorous, sodium and zinc, can adversely affect the operation of these systems […] in an irreversible way that increases emissions,” warns the most recent worldwide fuel charter developed by the car industry on metals in gasoline. Metals can contribute even at levels as low as 0.1 ppm to the formation of deposits in fuel injector surfaces and nozzles.56 We tested ten of our fuel samples (five diesel and five gasoline) for the presence of metals. In nine of the ten samples, we found traces of metals. In six, we found levels of 1 mg/kg or higher. For further details of our worrying finding of manganese and silicon, and for all the metals that were detected, see Annex 3.

While Europe introduced restrictions on the use of lead, an alternative additive emerged to replace it—an octane enhancer called Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT). Based on a heavy metal, manganese, MMT in the fuel irreversibly reduces the efficiency of exhaust emission control systems. It is also a neurotoxin. Like lead, the use of MMT damages public health.

So in 2009, the European Union amended its fuel quality directive, setting an interim limit of 6 mg of manganese per litre (mg/l) of fuel, falling to 2 mg/l in 2014. It also demanded that both diesel and gasoline should be clearly labelled to show which metallic additives they contain.57 Since a minimum of 8 mg/l is typically necessary to increase octane by 1 RON, the use of MMT to increase octane levels cannot be a cost effective strategy. The European regulations effectively banned MMT in gasoline.

But when we tested the gasoline that we collected in Africa, four out of four analysed samples tested positive for manga-
### Table 6.5 – Aromatics concentration ranges of the gasoline samples, by trading company

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADER INVOLVED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE EUROPEAN AVERAGE (29%v)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE EUROPEAN STANDARD (max 35%v)</th>
<th>AROMATICS CONCENTRATION RANGE (%v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.8 – 39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafignura</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.4 – 44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.4 – 41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.5 – 39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 (73%)</td>
<td>9 (41%)</td>
<td>24.8 – 44.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.6 – Benzene concentration ranges for the gasoline samples, by trading company

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRADER INVOLVED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE EUROPEAN AVERAGE (~0.6%v)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES ABOVE THE EUROPEAN STANDARD (max 1%v)</th>
<th>BENZENE CONCENTRATION RANGE (%v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.62 – 2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafignura</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.92 – 3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.08 – 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.66 – 2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 (100%)</td>
<td>16 (73%)</td>
<td>0.62 – 3.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6.7 – Benzene concentration range for the gasoline samples, by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY (TRADER INVOLVED)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SAMPLES</th>
<th>BENZENE CONCENTRATION RANGE (%v)</th>
<th>MONTH OF SAMPLING</th>
<th>LEGAL LIMIT AT THE TIME OF SAMPLING (based on data from Stratas Advisors, Feb 2016) (%v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola (Trafignura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.92 – 0.96</td>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>not regulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo (Lynx Energy, Trafignura)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.99 – 3.84</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>– to be reported (local production spec) – max 1 ppm (import spec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (Vitol, Trafignura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.21 – 3.82</td>
<td>July–August 2014</td>
<td>February 2016 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana (Vitol, Trafignura)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.25 – 1.31</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali (Vitol, Addax &amp; Oryx Group)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.92 – 2.47</td>
<td>July–August 2014</td>
<td>not regulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal (Vitol)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.62 – 1.66</td>
<td>November 2012</td>
<td>July 2013 – not regulated (regular gasoline spec) – to be reported (super gasoline spec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia (Addax &amp; Oryx Group, Trafignura)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.08 – 2.24</td>
<td>August 2014</td>
<td>not regulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.62 – 3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sulphur in fuels destroys emission control technologies. It is therefore impossible to reduce air pollution from the transportation sector without first getting sulphur out of fuels. Ghana, November 2015 | © Fabian Biasio
those countries where manganese is (or was) allowed, the maximum application is (or was) 18 mg/l.58 Our findings suggest samples show sulphur levels at least 100 times the European people’s health. With the exception of Congo, all our diesel companies show a willingness to maximise profits at the cost of sulphur content close to the legal limit, the Swiss trading companies offer to African consumers. By selling diesel with a high sulphur content, the traders maximise profits while meeting the minimum legal requirements. In five of the ten samples, we also found traces of silicon. While our diesel samples showed low levels (less than 1 mg/kg of silicon), we found a level of 15 mg/kg in a gasoline sample taken from Puma’s petrol station in February 2016 in Senegal, Côte d’Ivoire, raising serious questions about where this contamination came from. The source of this silicon contamination could have come from the blending of coker naphtha or from waste in a different industry (see chapter 10).59

6.4 – “HIGH-QUALITY FUELS” – REALLY?

So how do the Swiss trading companies perceive their role as suppliers and sellers of fuels in Africa? Well let the traders have the first word.

Vivo Energy says it aims to create “a new benchmark for quality, excellence, safety and responsibility in Africa’s downstream energy marketplace.”60 The company is proud to make “truly world-class products available to all our African customers.” In Côte d’Ivoire, Vivo declares that it “uses all the means and tools necessary to ensure the highest international standards of quality [...] so that Ivoirian consumers benefit from what is best in terms of fuel when going to a Shell petrol station.”61

Trafigura also expresses pride, stating on its website that: “Across Africa and other developing regions, our supply of affordable high-quality fuel products empowers local businesses.”

Clearly, such statements don’t come even close to the reality that we found at their pumps in African countries. Analysis of our samples showed a very high sulphur content for both diesel and gasoline, providing accurate insight into the products they offer to African consumers. By selling diesel with a sulphur content close to the legal limit, the Swiss trading companies show a willingness to maximise profits at the cost of people’s health. With the exception of Congo, all our diesel samples show sulphur levels at least 100 times the European 10 ppm limit. More than half of our diesel samples were 200 times the European limit. This is no coincidence: it demonstrates a deliberate strategy to convert weak regulation into healthy profit.

Our results correspond with a 2012 study from Nigeria which analysed five samples of gasoline for sulphur. Interestingly, the study focused on the origin of the product, highlighting, as we do in chapter 8 and beyond, the role of the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp region as a key source of bad fuels to Africa. “The result of the sulphur content analyses [...] shows that Hollandian gasoline has the highest sulphur concentration [...]”62 The ‘Hollandian’ gasoline contained 810 ppm followed by Brazilian gasoline (400 ppm) and Nigerian gasoline with the lowest sulphur content (250 ppm). The ‘Hollandian’ gasoline was therefore very close to the allowed limit of 1,000. The study notes that “in Nigeria, where most of the gasoline being consumed is imported through independent marketers, there is the temptation of profit maximisation through either adulteration or importation of substandard products.”63 This is exactly the same as one of this report’s conclusions, though we add that these “independent marketers” are mostly supplied by trading companies based in Switzerland.

We asked the trading companies to share with us the levels of sulphur in the gasoline and diesel fuels that they produced, supplied and sold to African countries in 2015 and 2016, but none of them were willing to give that information.

Through our samples, we also demonstrate the presence of high levels of other components, potentially dangerous to health, including PAHs and aromatics in diesel, and benzene and aromatics in gasoline. All of these components have been detected in different countries and at petrol stations run by different trading companies, indicating that the results of our findings are closer to being the rule than the exception.

The fact that we did not find any substances above the legal limits, except one (Shell in Côte d’Ivoire)64, shows how traders stay strictly within the legal limits. This makes sense given the potential commercial risks involved with the supply and sale of products off-specification (‘off-spec’). But it also means that countries really get what they allow. Mali is a good example. Côte d’Ivoire has slightly better legal standards on sulphur than Mali. So Mali might expect that its fuel, which is often imported from Côte d’Ivoire, would be the same quality as in Côte d’Ivoire. But actually it gets worse. The traders know they can sell lower quality fuel in Mali, so they do.

The best summary on this issue comes from Jane Akumu, who leads the Africa sulphur campaign for the Transport Unit at the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP): “Regulators, you need to be on top of your standards. Otherwise, any product that doesn’t meet the specifications in other countries will end up in yours.”

In the next chapter, we show how importers easily adapted to new standards in Ghana by blending to the specification. Further chapters also lend strength to the conclusions that we draw from the analysis of our samples. These chapters include the dominant role of Swiss trading companies in the supply of high sulphur fuels from Europe to West Africa, and the blending strategies they use to produce “African Quality” fuels.
Petrol and politics, two sides of a same coin. Ghana, June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
A blend of dirty fuels and dirty politics in Ghana

- Of all the countries between Senegal and Angola, Ghana is the largest recipient of high sulphur diesel from the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp region, a major exporting hub of petroleum products to West Africa.

- The fuel cargoes delivered by Swiss traders meet Ghana’s national sulphur standard very exactly, but this standard allows for 300 times more sulphur than in Europe. And sometimes these fuel imports do not meet the standard and cannot be legally sold at the pump without further blending.

- Swiss traders partner with local companies – Ghanaian importers which profit from storage capacity at the almost non-functional state-owned refinery.
In the overwhelming heat and humidity of the Ghanaian capital, Accra, traffic jams persist at all hours of the day. Testimony to urban population growth and the rising number of motor vehicles since the turn of the century, these interminable queues comprise a motley mix of trendy saloons, battered cars, old goods lorries, and buses overflowing with passengers.

The cars that groove the streets spit thick black fumes subsequently inhaled by hordes of hawkers, drenched in sweat, scraping a living selling trinkets, cold drinks and SIM cards to the prisoners of the infernal traffic. The city’s highways are interspersed with middle and lower class residential areas. Over four million people are exposed to these harmful emissions, 24/7.

In the previous chapter on the samples, we showed the high levels of sulphur in diesel sold at the pump by Swiss trading companies in eight African countries. In Ghana, we found diesel at Vitol and Trafigura operated petrol stations with average sulphur levels between 240 and 260 times higher than the European standard. But were these fuels exceptional or do they represent what is sold every day in the country? Who brought these fuels to Ghana? Where do they come from? And why do African drivers continue to buy dirty fuels, with a sulphur content several hundred times higher than the authorised European limit?

After spending time in Ghana and gaining access to exclusive documents, we have been able, for the first time, to fully trace the sulphur route from European ports to African pumps. We have also been able to establish the identities of international players supplying Ghana. We show that Swiss trading companies are dominant in this dirty business and that most of the petroleum products they deliver come from Europe and the United States. These industrialised nations would not have allowed these same products to be sold at the pump due to their damaging effects on health and the environment.

Ghana relies almost exclusively on imports to satisfy its domestic fuel demand and these imports are secured by a small club of importers known as bulk distributing companies (BDCs). Local content laws require BDCs to be indigenous, though many of the bigger players team up with Swiss trading companies, which supply them with products and cheap credit.

Together with their Swiss partners, these importers purposefully deliver diesel with sulphur content as close to the legal limit as possible. At times, the sulphur content goes above the legal limit. Organised as a powerful and politically-connected lobby, the BDCs do not supply higher quality fuel if this cuts into their profit margins. Indeed, despite robust evidence that shows the public health impact of high sulphur diesel, the importers’ association, the Ghanaian Chamber of Bulk Oil Distributors (CBOD), argued against the adoption of higher standards in 2012, when the country discussed lowering the legal sulphur limit from 5,000 ppm to 3,000 ppm. Today, the latter figure is still the standard, which is 300 times higher than in the European Union. Supported by the industry’s opacity, importers have argued in bad faith against better standards, as we will show. But let’s start with an overview of Ghana’s market players.

### 7.1 Imports Supplied by a Cartel of Swiss Uncles and Ghanaian Nephews

Ghana is sub-Saharan Africa’s fifth largest market for petroleum products, and today it depends almost exclusively on imports to satisfy its domestic needs. By 2014, more than three quarters of the national demand for petroleum products was for gasoline and diesel – due largely to the fact that road traffic accounted for 97 percent of transport in the country. Ghana’s diesel consumption has also been growing in the past decade, jumping 64 percent between 2010 and 2012.

“We import 98 percent of the country’s needs,” declares Senyo K. Hosi, CEO of the Ghanaian Chamber of Bulk Oil Distributors (CBOD). Highly strategic, the import sector alone accounts for over 10 percent of Ghana’s GDP, according to Imani, a policy and education centre. “It is the most lucrative business you can do in Ghana,” states Mohammed Amin Adam, Executive Director of the African Centre for Energy Policy (ACEP).

Ghana’s dependence on imports may appear somewhat paradoxical in an oil producer country equipped with its own refinery, Tema Oil Refinery (TOR). However, for the past two years, the state-owned company has hardly been functioning. This situation – an oil-rich country relying on imports – is commonplace in the region. Nigeria and Angola, sub-Saharan Africa’s two main oil producers, also import almost all of their fuel. Like Ghana, Nigeria’s four refineries constantly operate way below their capacity.

Ghana’s downstream oil sector is supervised by the National Petroleum Authority (NPA), which grants import licenses to bulk distributing companies (BDCs). In turn, these BDCs sell their fuels to oil marketing companies (OMCs) who own the petrol stations. Both BDCs and OMCs are local companies, by virtue of Ghana’s local content policies. In order to obtain an import license, a BDC must fulfill certain conditions, including 50 percent Ghanaian ownership and access to at least 40,000 m³ of storage facilities. That said, only four of the licensed BDCs own tank terminals: Chase Petroleum Ghana Ltd, Cirrus Oil Services Ltd, Fueltrade Ltd and Petroleum Warehouse and Supplies Ltd. Other operators rent facilities from these four or from the state-owned Tema Oil Refinery (TOR), which is why the latter hardly operates, although it resumed activities in February 2016 after two years of almost complete shutdown.

When Senyo K. Hosi said the members he represents as CEO of the Chamber of Bulk Oil Distributors “import 98 percent of the country’s needs,” he quickly added, “but a group of eight [members] runs the show.” In 2014, the country met these needs by importing more than 3.2 million tonnes of petroleum products, worth billions of dollars. According to NPA statistics, 9 of the 29 certified importers accounted for 88 percent of the market that year. And of the four market leaders supplying over half of Ghana’s needs in 2014, three were operating in partnership with Swiss companies: Fueltrade with Glencore, Cirrus Oil with Vitol and Chase Petroleum with Trafigura. The downstream industry is therefore highly concentrated or, in the words of the NPA’s former CEO, John Attafuah, it’s “a cartel.”

Unlike in their neighbouring countries, Ghanaians must retain at least a 50 percent share in every company active in the
Table 7.1 – Oil Trading Companies in 2011 – partnership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWISS TRADING COMPANY</th>
<th>GHANAIAN COMPANY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOT Trading AG</td>
<td>Forester Associates Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadia Petroleum</td>
<td>Sankofa Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geogas SA</td>
<td>Bulk Ship &amp; Trade Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore Energy Uk Ltd</td>
<td>Avalon Petroleum Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore Energy Uk Ltd</td>
<td>Yimpex Ghana Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunvor International Ltd</td>
<td>Fraga Oil Ghana Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litasco</td>
<td>Chells Consult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercurio Energy Trading</td>
<td>Fueltrade Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovlas Trading SA</td>
<td>DAD Energy Resources Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafigura Beheer Ltd</td>
<td>Chase Petroleum Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital SA</td>
<td>Cirrus Oil Services Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: NPA, 2011

oil sector, so no Swiss trading companies appear on official NPA lists of fuel importers as bulk distributing companies. Instead, Swiss trading companies partner with local ones. It is a mutually beneficial arrangement. Indeed, most local companies don’t have international networks from which they can source either fuels or credit. In order to make profits, “BDCs differentiate themselves through their cost management and credit rate. Or, if they work with an international trader on a regular basis, they may save on the cost of a letter of credit,”7 explained Abass Ibrahim Tasunti, Pricing Officer at the NPA. Swiss commodity traders add value to a local company by supplying products and credit. This credit can be used both to buy products and to invest in facilities such as storage.

The NPA lists Ghanaian importers and their partners, including Swiss trading companies too. The list includes a wide range of Swiss trading companies, showing how diverse the sector is in Switzerland. It also includes companies that are smaller and less known than the ones in this report. With the notable exception of AOT Trading, based in Zug, all these companies are registered in Geneva (Arcadia, Geogas and Ovlas).

Some of these partnerships may have expired, however, since they are no longer referenced in the latest list, published by the NPA in March 2015. Only Trafigura’s relationship with the Ghanaian company, Chase, persists. Asked about this partnership, Trafigura declined to say whether it was still in existence. But other Swiss trading companies may continue to operate in Ghana. According to CITAC, Glencore has replaced Mercuria as partner for Ghana’s biggest importer, Fueltrade.8 Glencore confirmed it “owns a minority holding in a fuel storage terminal in Tema, which is majority owned by Fueltrade.”

There are indications that Vital is still working with Cirrus Oil Services, whose parent company is now called Woodfields Energy Resources.9 In a 2014 British litigation procedure between Glencore and Cirrus Oil Services, Mr Anthony Stimpler, a trader from Glencore, testified that “he regarded Glencore’s competitor as Vitol rather than any local company,”10 suggesting that, for him, Cirrus and Vitol form one company. One of our sources adds another reason to believe the partnership goes on: “Vitol’s representative John Taylor is Woodfields’ Executive Chairman.”11 In 2011, Vitol and Woodfields also teamed up with Ghana National Petroleum Corporation to market crude that the state had received from producing companies.12 Finally, both companies used to share the same address in Accra.13 Asked about it, Vitol states it has “never been in partnership with Cirrus”, but has a “commercial relationship” and, “on occasion, supplies them with product.”

Vitol will also add a stake in another Ghanaian BDC, Oando, to its portfolio, as part of a deal to buy Oando’s retail network in Nigeria.14 Oando is not on the list of official BDCs because the company operates through a local partner, Ebony. Ebony was Ghana’s second largest BDC during the first nine months of 2015, and Vitol has confirmed that it acquired a minority share in Ebony. With new shares in Ebony, Vitol is well-placed to become indirectly Ghana’s biggest importer.

None of Vitol, Trafigura or Glencore’s Ghanaian partners publish annual reports or provide the identity of their shareholders, though Chase Petroleum claims an annual turnover of US$500 million.15 Glencore-backed Fueltrade doesn’t have a website anymore, despite being the country’s biggest importer in 2014.

Such alliances between international traders and national importers take diverse forms. They generally comprise of exclusive supply contracts with the local company, which calls upon its foreign partner once it has obtained an import allocation or when it needs to invest in storage. The foreign partner can also buy a stake (up to 50 percent) in the local company, as did Trafigura, a company that is building a little empire for itself in Ghana.

7.2 – TRAFIGURA: ALL ALONE ALONG THE WHOLE SUPPLY CHAIN

“Trafigura … these guys are brutal.”

Why? “Well, you know what they are doing in Angola, no?” said Senyo K. Hosi, CEO of Ghana’s importers’ association, referring to Trafigura’s monopoly over the supply of petroleum products in Angola (see chapter 5). Trafigura “is the only player in Ghana that is present at every stage of the supply chain,” he continued. We don’t disagree.

First, via Tema Offshore Mooring Limited (TOM), Trafigura receives a levy of US$4.9 per tonne16 on all cargoes of crude oil and refined products that enter Tema port via the pipeline system. Set up to compensate for the lack of capacity in Ghanaian ports, this system connects ships to depots onshore. Such cargoes account for 80 percent of the country’s imports, according to Puma’s website.17 But in its 2014 Bond Prospectus, Trafigura’s downstream arm Puma Energy proudly boasted that “all […] refined oil products must be imported into Ghana through” its
80% of all petroleum imports to Ghana are processed in Tema at the CBM / SPM system, which is operated by Puma Energy. These products are then stored at the Tema Oil Refinery or in the nearby storage tanks, which are owned by the Bulk Distribution Companies (BDCs). For example, Chase Petroleum operates a storage tank at Tema Tank Farm. BDCs then sell these petroleum products to their affiliate or to another Oil Marketing Company (OMC) that operates petrol stations in Ghana. The map shows the petrol stations at which Public Eye sampled diesel and gasoline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PE Group of companies</th>
<th>Commercial ties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tema Offshore Mooring Ltd.</th>
<th>Kpone Marine Services Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importer</th>
<th>Retailer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vivo Energy (Ghana) Ltd. operates under the Shell brand</td>
<td>Commercial ties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial ties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Ocean Investments Ltd. 49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of all petroleum imported into Ghana is processed at the Puma-operated system at Tema.
“Move along. Nothing to see here.” Oil pipelines near Tema, Ghana, June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
single point mooring system (SPM) and conventional buoy mooring system (CBM), located 7.3 km offshore. Between its installation in 2006 and the end of 2015, the pipeline earned roughly US$120 million for the Swiss company, according to our conservative calculations, making it a lucrative business given that Trafigura invested US$68 million. An additional US$12 million was financed by the Emerging Africa Infrastructure Fund, a structure that receives state funds, notably from the Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (SECO). Beyond the monetary aspect, the pipeline offers Trafigura a preferential insight into the Ghanaian market (see Figure 7.1). This project was originally conceived under a BOT contract (Build-Operate-Transfer). Under such an arrangement, the ownership of the infrastructure should return to the Ghanaian government after ten years, i.e. in August 2016.

Trafigura also imports petroleum products, initially in partnership with Chase, one of Ghana’s bigger importers. But Trafigura now seems to work mainly with the UBI Group, in which Puma Energy bought 49 percent in early 2014.

A few months later, the Ghanaian President himself, John Mahama, welcomed the move in a speech where he described UBI as the “only fully integrated downstream company in the oil and gas industry.” He thus confirmed Senyo K. Hosi’s analysis that Trafigura is the only player to have interests at every level of the supply chain. Founded by Salma Okonkwo, the group has a retail branch, UBI Petroleum, and an importing arm, Blue Ocean Investments. Africa Energy Intelligence described Salma Okonkwo as a “Mahama favorite” and an “excellent ally [for Trafigura] in its bid to establish a local footprint.” Trafigura declined to comment on that specific point.

When we visited Ghana in May 2015, UBI was still operating under the UBI brand. But in April 2016, Puma Energy officially put its logo on a petrol station in Accra. This followed the announcement made in February 2016 by Puma’s Chief Operating Officer, Christophe Zyde, confirming that he had “received approval from the NPA to change the name UBI to Puma Energy. [...] You will now see the Puma Energy brand coming up in Ghana.” Puma Energy now claims a “retail network of over 40 stations” in the country, which should include UBI’s former portfolio, Zyde said.

Recently established, Blue Ocean only imported a small amount of kerosene in 2014. Over the first two quarters of 2015, however, its business increased, covering diesel, gasoline and kerosene. This growth could be explained by its partnership with Trafigura.

Finally, Puma Energy also owns Kpone Marine Service Limited (KMSL), which runs its own depots. It is unclear on Puma’s website whether Kpone or another entity owns the 62,700 metric tonnes storage it refers to.

7.3 – THE HAZARDOUS MIX OF PETROL AND POLITICS

In Ghana, as in many other countries, the business of importing petroleum products is lucrative, strategic and politically sensitive. “BDCs are critical and will always be, given their role in the economy,” states Senyo K. Hosi. They are also opaque and highly concentrated.

NPA’s former CEO, John Attafuah, recently warned about the risks of concentration: “It is very risky to allow individuals or certain groups of people to dominate the petroleum sector, which restricts competition and eventually makes Ghanaians ‘just pawns in the game’.” [The NPA must] ensure that no player in the field is given an unfair advantage to the extent that it becomes a monopoly or cartels form, especially when politicians become the unseen hands of these industry players.” Mr Attafuah thinks his country is not far from a situation whereby “decisions are not made in the boardrooms but behind the scenes. Therefore nobody gets to know what is going on. It’s just politicians deciding what to do with the industry so that they can make money.”

Senyo K. Hosi also suspects the NPA of protecting interests and questions the need to control this market. When asked about the import process and how the NPA chooses a BDC on the basis of its quarterly lists, he says he doesn’t really understand: for him it is a “discretionary process [...] Everybody should be able to import whatever he wants, as long as there is a demand. But it seems the NPA tries to control market shares of private players.”

According to the African Centre for Energy Policy (ACEP), conflicts of interest between politicians and companies are commonplace in Ghana: state officials, ministers and their entourage use their influence to obtain the right to import. “We call this petro-politics,” says ACEP’s CEO, Mohamed Amin Adam.

7.4 – TEMO OIL REFINERY:
AN ALL TOO COMMON FAILURE

Located in the coastal city of Tema, the Tema Oil Refinery (TOR) is Ghana’s only refinery. It has been operating since 1963 – almost fifty years before crude oil was first drilled in the country. At full capacity, TOR could provide roughly 40 percent of Ghana’s domestic fuel demand. But, in 2014, the state-owned company was operating at 5 percent of its capacity, producing only 3.5 percent of the country’s fuel needs. According to the NPA, the refinery supplied only 1.15 percent of the fuels sold in Ghana. After many months of almost complete shutdown, the refinery resumed its operations in early 2016.

The question of the refinery raises a mixture of embarrassment and mockery. During our research in Ghana, the managers informed us that they were “snowed under and unavailable.” Some well-informed sources nonetheless shed light on the situation: “TOR faces two main challenges,” explained a source from the NPA. “Banks don’t want to lend it money to buy crude, as it is severely indebted. And it makes losses in terms of production.” These production-related losses occur because the state-owned refinery doesn’t earn enough. Until June 2015, the country’s subsidy regime on fuels obliged it to sell products at a price set by the NPA, which led to losses. This induced a vicious circle: the refinery’s debt denied it from accessing sufficient credit to purchase crude oil, yet without crude oil it cannot produce.
Accra’s problem is less the number of cars that groove the streets than the bursts of thick black fumes the vehicles spit, subsequently inhaled by hordes of hawkers, drenched in sweat, scraping a living selling trinkets, cold drinks and SIM cards to the prisoners of the infernal traffic. Ghana, June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Unfair competition arose between the refinery and the importers under the subsidy regime. TOR was trapped in the vicious circle, while the BDCs could make substantial profits with little risk, as public funds were there to limit their foreign exchange exposure (BDCs buy products in dollars and sell them in Ghanaian cedis on the domestic market). With or without the subsidy, the refinery’s problems remain. As Emmanuel Quartey, former refinery worker and consultant for the African Refiners Association, puts it, “TOR is in dire need of restructuring and recapitalisation.” The government contemplated this option several times but never acted accordingly, although the cost would not have been more than one year of subsidies paid to importers.

Unfortunately, “there is lack of political will to resuscitate the TOR and make it functional once again,” concludes Emmanuel Appoh from Ghana’s Environment Protection Agency.

7.4.1 – TOR’S OPPONENTS

In addition to financial constraints and the lack of political will, TOR faces a major logistical issue that hinders it from resuming operations. With the restructuring that resulted from the slow down, or total stop, in production, TOR had to lease its depots to importers. For instance, Vitol-backed Cirrus rents a diesel depot with a 42,000 MT capacity from the refinery, according to NPA data. BDCs are required to ensure they have permanent access to storage facilities, and so if the refinery was to reclaim its tanks for its own production, then the BDCs would risk losing their import licenses. They would also face increased competition for imports, cutting their shares of the market.

In this situation, the importers have zero interest in TOR resuming its activities. As we will see below, many are politically connected and therefore influential. As many in Ghana warned, there is little doubt that they would do their best to prevent the refinery from functioning.

The local press even refers to a “political sabotage” of the refinery. The New Statesman, an opposition newspaper, highlighted how, since 2009 when the National Democratic Congress (NDC) took office, production at TOR has dramatically decreased. The paper goes on to explain how the government “promoted” twelve new fuel importers, while TOR was forced to become simply “a fuel storage depot where private importers store their fuel in return for a modest fee.”

7.4.2 – LESS GAS AND ELECTRICITY EQUALS MORE DIESEL

One European diplomat based in Accra has his own explanation: “Conflicts of interest are the rule in this country. This is particularly the case in the oil sector, whether upstream or downstream. There is no accountability.” The Ambassador said the refinery faces a similar curse to the power plants: “The electric facilities are being damaged by the BDCs’ mafia so that they can import more for diesel powered generators.”

According to CITAC, the sub-Saharan Africa downstream consultant, 25 percent of national fuel oil and diesel consumption is used to generate electricity. This means there are big interests at stake, perhaps even enough for some to sabotage infrastructure, as in Nigeria. In fact, two of Ghana’s three natural gas power plants were sabotaged immediately prior to opening, the ambassador said. (The third is operated by a Chinese company and is operational.) The West African Gas Pipeline, through which Nigeria supplies Ghana with natural gas for power, is often sabotaged too. Raj Kulasingam, a power specialist at Dentons in London, added that there is “pilferage and vandalism” on the transmission networks in Ghana. Constant power cuts have led the Ghanaians to nickname their electricity supply “dumsor”, meaning “on and off.”

As a result, those who can afford it rely on diesel-run generators for their electricity. “First of all this is expensive,” explained Raj Kulasingam, “diesel is 20 percent or 30 percent more expensive than most other fuels. [...] Also, generators are noisy and produce a lot of smoke, entailing noise and air pollution.”

The ambassador refers to this situation as the “Nigerianisation” of Ghana, referring to the mass fraud that plagued the former’s import sector, refineries and power supply. The consequences of the failures of both TOR and the power sector are costly for Ghanaians, in every sense of the word.

7.5 – HIGH SULPHUR DIESEL FLOODS GHANA

Now that the Ghanaian scene is set, we examine the products, focusing on the sulphur content of diesel supplied to the country by this cartel of national importers and Swiss trading companies.

In September 2012, UNEP and Ghanaian authorities organised a workshop with the title: “Cleaner fuel for public transport.” The debate focused on the sulphur content of fuels (particularly diesel) consumed in the country and their impact on public health. At the time, the sulphur limit for diesel was set at 5,000 ppm. However, resulting from a decision taken at the workshop, the standard was improved to 3,000 ppm in 2014.

The industry was represented at the workshop by the importers’ lobby group, the Ghanaian Chamber of Bulk Oil Distributors, which claimed they import diesel with an average 1,000 – 1,500 ppm sulphur content. On the contrary, the Ghana Standard Authority (GSA), tasked with quality controls at the pump, stated that the average for all cargoes was two to three times higher, at around 3,000 ppm.

Public Eye has uncovered evidence showing that what BDCs import into Ghana and what marketers, such as Vivo Energy (under the Shell brand), sell at the pump is of far lower quality than what they claim. We also have evidence that they try to stay as close as possible to the limit in order to maximize profits.

During our visit to Ghana in May 2015, a source from the Ghana Standards Authority confirmed that “it’s not unusual to find up to 3,000 ppm.” A laboratory worker, wishing to remain anonymous, showed Public Eye samples taken in the second half of April 2015 at Shell’s service stations operated by Vivo Energy. The tests revealed sulphur levels of between 2,260 ppm
and 2,280 ppm, already much higher than the figures given by the BDCs.

In May 2015, we took samples in “Swiss” petrol stations, from Shell and UBI Petroleum, the Ghanaian company in which Puma Energy owns a 49 percent share. Our analyses confirm the trend. The five diesel samples show that Swiss trading companies are selling products of 2,410 ppm–2,730 ppm to Ghanaian drivers (see chapter 6). This is just under the legal limit. Although we can’t draw general conclusions from just a few samples, our findings confirm the assessment, which Ghanaian authorities shared at a conference in May 2015, that the sulphur content of imported diesel

“It’s not unusual to find up to 3,000 ppm”, confirmed a source from the Ghana Standards Authority.

in the first months of the year had reached an average 2,480 ppm. Further, Public Eye has obtained official lists of samples of sulphur levels in diesel at the moment of import, that is, when oil tankers discharge into depots. The 2013 list has 30 samples and the 2014 list has 34. These sample lists, belonging to Ghanaian authorities, show that sulphur levels are systematically high. They are certainly much higher than what the industry states.

In 2013, when the limit was set at 5,000 ppm, just two of the 30 samples collected were under 1,000 ppm. The average sulphur content of fuels delivered reached 3,341 ppm (Figure 7.2). And one third of deliveries were over 4,000 ppm (11 cargoes).

The following year, 2014, when the maximum legal sulphur content was lowered to 3,000 ppm, the average was 2,270 ppm, while only three of the 34 samples were under 1,000 ppm (Figure 7.3). Nearly half of all deliveries this year were between 2,500 ppm and 3,000 ppm.

These two years also offer an interesting view of the dynamics of the deliveries. They clearly demonstrate the strategy used by importers and traders. Both adapt comfortably to the standards by ensuring their products have sulphur levels just within the legal limits. More positively, it also shows how rapidly change can be implemented.

It is also worth highlighting the fact that one delivery in 2013 and three in 2014 were over the limit, meaning they could not legally be sold at the pump without further blending onshore.

Besides the details of sulphur content, the two sample lists also give the names of tankers that discharged the diesel in Ghana. With access to this information, we were able to use private industry databases, including Lloyd’s List Intelligence, to find out where the cargo came from and which company chartered the vessel.

7.6 – EUROPE SENDS WHAT IT WOULDN’T ACCEPT TO GHANA

Armed with this information, we found that, during 2013 and 2014, most of Ghana’s diesel imports came from Europe. None of these cargoes could have been sold at the pump between Lisbon and Warsaw, however, due to their high sulphur content.

We faced two main hurdles in pinpointing the provenance of each vessel. First, the vessels tended to stop at some point en route for a long period (often off the coast of Lagos). This introduced uncertainty over the source of the cargo arriving in Ghana. We could not always prove that the cargo came from Europe, therefore.

Second, we only had access to the year of shipment, not the date of discharge. This meant that for ships that travelled to Ghana on multiple occasions during one year, we were unable to identify the precise date of shipment.

For 2013, we therefore had to strike 9 of 30 tankers off our list, leaving us with 22 different cargo samples (multiple cargoes may come from the same ship). For 2014, we had to strike off 9 out of 34 tankers. Figure 7.4 and 7.5 (on page 76) summarise the provenance of the vessels known to have delivered diesel to Ghana in 2013 and 2014, and detail the average sulphur content for each different source.

In 2013, the 11 cargoes above 4,000 ppm came from Europe, mostly from the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp region (ARA). In 2014, vessels came from more diverse locations, including Russia and the US Gulf. The ships often stopped en route off the coast of Lomé, Togo, where we believe the products are blended through ship-to-ship operations before reaching Ghana (see chapter 11).

These findings are backed by Dutch and Belgian export statistics. This data shows that, from Senegal to Angola, Ghana is the single biggest importer of high sulphur diesel (over 1,000 ppm) from the ARA region, one of the main hubs for exports of petroleum products to West Africa (see next chapter). More than 90 percent of Ghana’s diesel imports belong to that category.

7.7 – SWISS TRADING COMPANIES SUPPLY DIRTY DIESEL

We also used the two lists of official 2013 and 2014 samples to identify the companies delivering Ghana’s dirty diesel. Again, we were faced with a number of limitations. First, the lists only refer to samples taken from depots rented by BDCs at Tema Oil Refinery. They therefore exclude private importers that own storage, such as Chase, Cirrus and Fueltrade, three of the largest distributor companies all in partnership with Swiss trading companies. This means that private storage owners have their products tested by independent laboratories. This situation can be problematic, according to a source from the Ghana Standards Authority:

“No one knows what is going on in their storage. As private players, they conduct their own testing and no neutral person is involved. Certificates have been forged before. The importer can easily conspire with a private lab. For example, the lab can provide a certificate before the product is blended with lower qual-
ity. Most often, a small employee of the lab is corrupt rather than the company itself. He mixes samples, for instance. Storage owners also sometimes deliberately lower the quality of the final product by blending in tanks.43

We heard similar allegations in other countries, including Nigeria and Benin. It is particularly problematic in Ghana, however, as the private storage owners double up as the country’s largest importers of diesel and gasoline. The list of samples therefore only provides part of the picture.

Second, when we wanted to identify provenance, we had to strike ships off our list if they stopped in Ghana on multiple occasions, because we could not establish which delivery the list was referring to.

Third, we used a database44 that collects information on two different types of contracts, known as “fixtures”45 and “time charters”,46 to find out which company owns the product of a given vessel. However, as not all shipments are contracted under fixtures or time charters, the database remains incomplete. Indeed, trading companies have other ways to deliver products, for example using their own shipping fleet. We were therefore unable to identify all the companies that delivered fuels. This could explain, for example, why Vitol only appears once per year on the list, while we believe it plays an important role in Ghana’s fuel supply.

As a result, for 2013 and 2014, we have been able to reliably identify the owners of just 17 of 64 diesel cargoes delivered to Ghana. Nevertheless, we can say that almost two thirds of these cargoes were chartered by Swiss trading companies (11 out of 17). Of the other, non-Swiss companies, British Petroleum stands out. Table 7.2 below shows the significant role played by Swiss trading companies in the supply of high sulphur products to Ghana.

Of the 11 deliveries in this table, only three were under 2,000 ppm – already a very high level. All of them are higher than the average claimed by the importers (1,000–1,500 ppm). In 2014, 4 of the 8 deliveries from Swiss trading companies fluctuated between 2,800 ppm and 3,200 ppm, highlighting a possible strategy to stick as close as possible to the legal limit. That same year, both Vitol and Trafigura delivered diesel with sulphur content so high that the product could not be sold at the pump. The product would have been further blended in the depot to lower its sulphur level, unless it ended up being sold off-spec (i.e. illegally) to consumers. Asked to comment about those of their cargoes containing higher sulphur content than allowed at the pump, Trafigura declined to do so while Vitol specified that it “does not comment on specific cargoes as a matter of policy.”

In order to cross-check our findings, we interviewed other relevant stakeholders about the trading companies supplying fuels to Ghana. The majority, including senior officials of the Na-
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Figure 7.4 – Provenance of diesel entering Ghana (2013)

Figure 7.5 – Provenance of diesel entering Ghana (2014)
tional Petroleum Authority and the Ghana Standards Authority, were unable to provide any insights. Only the CEO of the Ghanaian Chamber of Bulk Oil Distributors (CBOD), Senyo K. Hosi, commented, saying that “most BDCs buy from Trafigura, Glencore and Vitol.”

We cannot independently confirm this information. However, we do know that these three trading companies are or were linked to Chase, Fueltrade and Cirrus, respectively – private depot owners that are not covered by our lists of official samples. If Mr Hosi is right, this would mean that the share of diesel delivered by Swiss trading companies is higher than the proportions that we could confirm by using the fixtures database.

Despite the reluctance of interviewees’ to comment, the samples (both at the pump and at the moment of import) prove the significant role played by Swiss trading companies, notably Vitol and Trafigura, in the supply and sale of high sulphur diesel in Ghana.

More worryingly for Ghanaians, the lobby of importers has been arguing against the adoption of better standards, by exaggerating the costs of such a decision for consumers.

7.8 – HOW AND WHY IMPORTERS LOBBY AGAINST BETTER DIESEL STANDARDS

At a workshop held in 2012 on the potential to improve fuel sulphur standards (5,000 ppm at the time), the industry lobby positioned itself strongly “against” an improvement in standards. Minutes of the meeting show they used three arguments:

1. Ghana’s national refinery does not have capacity to conform to higher standards: The lobby argued that, as long as TOR does not have the capacity to conform to improved standards, it makes no sense from a health and environmental standpoint to increase the standards for importers: “If there is any gap between the BDCs and TOR, the objective of achieving the optimal sulphur content will not be met.” The industry, therefore, requested a US$1 billion investment project to modernise the refinery (planned at the time for 2017 but more than unlikely to happen by then).

2. Tighter fuel standards would be expensive for government and/or consumers: The importers claimed that better standards would inevitably lead to higher prices, and that the burden of these price increases would inevitably fall on the government (via subsidies) and/or consumers (via prices at the pump). “The lower the sulphur content required, the higher the costs, and vice versa,” said Sebastian Asem, Vice President of the CBOD. Although the industry would never admit to importing 5,000 ppm products, they nevertheless threaten a price rise if the standard was to become stricter: “Currently, bulk distributing companies (BDCs) [importers] are supplying between 1,000 ppm and 1,500 ppm [...]. If a particular specification is ordered, it binds the BDCs to supplying exactly that and, as a result, the product becomes more expensive.” The CBOD claimed that adopting a 500 ppm sulphur limit “might cost an additional” US$40 per ton “incurred by the government,” which would mean an extra cost of 0.035 dollar per litre.

### Table 7.2 – Identified diesel deliveries by Swiss trading companies in Ghana (2013 – 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DELIVERY DATE</th>
<th>TANKER NAME</th>
<th>CHARTERER</th>
<th>SULPHUR CONTENT (PPM)</th>
<th>PROVENANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 (time charter)</td>
<td>NS Silver</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
<td>4,270</td>
<td>Antwerp or US Gulf via Lomé/Apapa-Lagos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 (time charter)</td>
<td>Mariella Bottiglieri</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>3,090</td>
<td>Lomé/Apapa-Lagos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.08.2013</td>
<td>Melody</td>
<td>ST Shipping (Glencore)</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>Lavera (France)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 (time charter)</td>
<td>Mariella Bottiglieri</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>3,120</td>
<td>Lomé/Apapa-Lagos or Antwerp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.2014</td>
<td>Transsib Bridge</td>
<td>Delaney (Trafigura)</td>
<td>3,110</td>
<td>US Gulf via Apapa-Lagos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.2014</td>
<td>Transsib Bridge</td>
<td>Delaney (Trafigura)</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>US Gulf via Apapa-Lagos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.2014</td>
<td>Transsib Bridge</td>
<td>Delaney (Trafigura)</td>
<td>1,934</td>
<td>US Gulf via Apapa-Lagos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.08.2014</td>
<td>Miss Maria Rosaria</td>
<td>Litasco</td>
<td>2,919</td>
<td>ARA (Antwerp-Amsterdam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.09.2014</td>
<td>BW Panther</td>
<td>ST Shipping (Glencore)</td>
<td>2,849</td>
<td>US East Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10.2014</td>
<td>Iron Point</td>
<td>Litasco</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>US Gulf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.11.2014</td>
<td>Baltic Champion</td>
<td>Litasco</td>
<td>2,492</td>
<td>Tuapse (Russia)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Ghana cannot be the first country in the region to adopt the higher standards: The argument goes that, because Ghana’s market is small, it cannot implement higher standards alone. It needs the collaboration of its neighbours, notably Nigeria and Côte d’Ivoire, because European tankers bring cargoes for the entire region, not just for one single country. There would also be an impact on price. “It [Ghana] would be an isolated market on its own in West Africa and may be compelled to get a normal European used vessel because their sulphur levels are lower. Using them would mean that the vessel has to be completely cleaned after the voyage, which would be factored into the freight cost.”

Not all stakeholders agreed with these three arguments, particularly those within government. Many in Ghana believe that what the importers fear most is falling profits.

7.8.1 – LIES ABOUT THE REFINERY

The refinery argument is perhaps where the industry’s bad faith is the most blatant. Indeed, as previously stated, many importers profit from the refinery’s failure through using its storage facilities. “This situation creates strong opponents to an upgrade of the refinery,” says Emmanuel Quartey. “The oil industry doesn’t want the refinery to work,” added a senior government official wishing to remain anonymous. “The companies are using the storage facilities of Tema, sometimes for free. And if, on top of that, some BDCs are linked to the government... you can understand what I mean.”

Officials from the NPA, GSA and various ministries interviewed during our stay in Ghana all stated off the record that they didn’t expect the US$1 billion refinery upgrade to happen any time soon due to industry lobbying and a lack of political will. It’s hard to prove them wrong: the upgrade was initially planned for completion in 2017, but by 2016 it still hasn’t started.

Many in Ghana believe that what the importers fear most is falling profits.

Moreover, the industry’s argument that the refinery does not have capacity to conform to higher standards is also misleading. Our data shows that, when it operates, the refinery delivers higher quality products than the importers. A source from the Ghana Standard Authority certified that TOR’s diesel output is around 1,000 ppm. Emmanuel Quartey agrees that the average is somewhere between 1,000 and 1,500 ppm. A major EnSys-ICCT survey further cites an average output of up to 1,777 ppm.51

All three estimates suggest that the refinery delivers higher quality products than it receives from the importers. If health was really their concern, the importers could have suggested aligning the standard to the average output of the refinery. Instead, they claimed to be delivering better quality products than the refinery, while simultaneously trying to prevent the refinery from resuming its production. They also deliver products of much lower quality than the refinery.

7.8.2 – THE ULTIMATE THREAT: THE COST

The second argument raised against an improved standard is cost. It remains to be seen how the removal of Ghana’s fuel subsidy, in June 2015, has impacted this. However, as it stood before, the government was essentially subsidising the importers who, in turn, delivered products of a lower quality than the authorities had planned. In short, the importers profited from a double whammy – fuel subsidies plus high margins on the sale of their low-quality products (see box 7.1). As the cost issue is absolutely key in the discussion to improve fuel standards and has relevance for other countries than Ghana, we explain in the conclusion why African governments shouldn’t fear price increases at the pump. Let’s just state here that the importers provided exaggerated numbers on the actual price impact of improved standards. Their calculation on the price impact of an improvement of the standard is twice as high as our calculation, which is based on numerous studies.

7.8.3 – MOVING ALONE OR NOT?

The third argument relates to the size of the Ghanaian market; that it wouldn’t be big enough to import products alone. This argument is only partly acknowledged by experts. In its strategy towards better standards in West Africa, UNEP indeed focuses on the three biggest importers in the region – Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana and Nigeria – with the aim of creating a domino effect across other countries. Emmanuel Quartey agrees that “it is more pragmatic for Ghana-Nigeria-Côte d’Ivoire to move in harmony. Nigeria is presently the biggest importer in West Africa, so their import standards influence the product specifications coming to West Africa.” Another official confirms that “the move towards a lower level of sulphur in fuels is made with Nigeria. All tankers go first to Nigeria and then to Ghana. Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana and Nigeria are key countries to considerably improve the sulphur standards in West Africa.”

Yet, Ghana is sub-Saharan Africa’s fifth largest market in terms of petroleum products consumption. We have further observed that, contrary to the official’s reckoning, most tankers travelling from Europe to West Africa go directly to Tema and Takoradi ports to discharge fuels. Some drop by Nigeria or stop offshore Lomé, Togo, but not the majority. Even if they do, product tankers have several tanks, providing traders with the flexibility to deliver different products to different markets, according, for example, to fuel specifications. And they have to use this possibility, as the fuel standards differ from one country to another.

In addition, several sources, including some traders, acknowledged that products were blended onshore in tank terminals, as well as offshore, mainly in the waters of Lomé (see chap-
As we have seen, trading companies already supply products according to different existing standards across West Africa, so they could easily blend to meet a stricter standard in Ghana, as they do elsewhere. But they don’t do this, because they make more profit by purchasing and blending the cheaper blendstocks (see chapter 10).

These profits are earned “by compromising the health of the population of Ghana,” according to Dr Reginald Quansah of the University of Ghana’s School of Public Health. “The BDCs are putting the lives of many at risk, but I am not surprised – they do what they need to do to make profits. It’s the same with the tobacco industry – they lobbied hard against a ban in public places,” says Dr Kwaku Poku Asante, Head of Research at Kintampo Health Research Centre.

Emmanuel Quartey summarizes it nicely: “If we don’t move on standards, we will remain the dumping place for bad European products.” This situation suits the import lobby, which does everything it can to maintain the status quo.

### Box 7.1 – HOW THE IMPORTERS UNDULY BENEFITED FROM SUBSIDIES

In June 2015, Ghana’s government put an end to a controversial subsidy regime for diesel and gasoline importers. Consequently, world prices now drive prices at the local pump.

Prior to the market deregulation, importers had received subsidies to supply fuels at a price set every two weeks by the National Petroleum Authority. The NPA calculated a profit margin for the distributors, that is, the service stations (OMCs). The OMCs are supplied by importers (BDCs), also at a fixed price calculated according to import costs (freight, credit, insurance, etc.) plus a profit margin. This margin, however, was highly theoretical, as “nobody knows at what price the BDC buys from international trading companies,” explained Abass Ibrahim Tasunti, Pricing Officer at the NPA.

The pricing mechanism for petroleum on which the subsidy was based “has always been shrouded in secrecy and technical jargon,” confirms Imani, a policy and education centre. The formula was supposed to take into account various parameters, including the crude oil price, exchange rate and weather conditions (freight cost). However, without the information essential for calculating an adequate profit margin, the NPA relied on a benchmark price.

The benchmark price for diesel, for example, was calculated based on a cargo with a sulphur level of 1,000 ppm. For gasoline, the benchmark was calculated for 10 ppm products.

“Such a system is great when prices fall. You can earn a lot of money as an importer,” explained a government source. This perhaps explains why, when prices started to collapse in summer 2014, the importers suddenly shifted their position towards maintaining the subsidy regime.

Just like the TOR issue, raising the topic of the subsidies in Ghana generates silence and embarrassment. In the words of an NPA official, “the [amount of the] subsidy is not a secret, but it’s not public either.” For a simple reason: over the past years, the subsidy has been systematically higher than the government budgeted. The Minister of Finance himself noted that, in 2012, the subsidy reached 389 million Ghanaian cedis (GHC) instead of 50 million – 7.5 times more than planned. In 2014, it overran by GHC277 million. In March 2015, the press quoted Senyo K. Hosi stating that Ghana has spent US$1.8 billion in subsidies over the last four years.

While the subsidies drained the public treasury, the BDCs benefited from them systemically delivering lower quality products than planned (<1,000 ppm). Indeed, our findings revealed sulphur levels in diesel that were on average much higher than 1,000 ppm both at the moment of import and at the pump. The price calculated by the government to subsidise the importers therefore didn’t correspond with the quality of products imported. In a totally legal manner, as they were respecting Ghana’s national standards, the importers profited from a system to the detriment of the government (public finances) and the consumers, not to mention Ghanaian health.

Moreover, all major importers benefited (and still benefit) from partnerships with the international traders, which we assume granted them discounts over the products they buy in the form of term contracts. The subsidies were therefore granted according to higher costs than truly incurred by the importers.

Given their market share, Switzerland-linked importers, such as Chase (Trafigura), Cirrus (Vitol) and Fueltrade (Glencore), can be considered as the main beneficiaries of this scheme.
West Africa does not have the refining capacity to produce enough gasoline and diesel for its own consumption and must import the majority of its fuel. Old Tema Oil Refinery, Ghana, June 2016 | ©Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
Dirty trade flows from Europe to West Africa

- West Africa exports mostly high-quality (low sulphur) “sweet” crude. In return, it imports low-quality (high sulphur) diesel and gasoline.

- Swiss trading companies play a major role in transporting fuel from the Amsterdam- Rotterdam-Antwerp (ARA) and US Gulf regions, West Africa’s two main supply hubs.

- Around 50 percent of fuel imported to West Africa comes from the ARA region. Some 90 percent of the diesel has sulphur content at least 100 times above the European standard.
West Africa produces a significant amount of crude oil, but most of this crude is exported. In 2014, the continent’s biggest producer, Nigeria, only refined 3 percent of its production. The rest was sent abroad, mostly (87.5 percent) outside of Africa. Nigeria’s four refineries have hardly ever been able to satisfy domestic demand. And this is true for all other significant oil-producing countries in the region except Côte d’Ivoire (see box 8.1). So while West Africa is a net exporter of crude oil, it must import petroleum products such as gasoline and diesel.

In fact, no other region of the world has such a crooked ratio between exports of crude oil and imports of petroleum products. In 2014, the region exported 213.9 million tonnes of crude oil (while importing 0.2 million tonnes of crude oil) and imported 18.6 million tonnes of products (while exporting 6.5 million tonnes). In other words, West Africa produces more than enough crude oil to satisfy domestic demand for fuel.

The paradox worsens further when one considers the quality of the crude exported and fuels received. With some exceptions, West African countries provide some of the best grades of crude, known as “sweet” due to their low sulphur content. Nigerian Bonny Light has one of the lowest sulphur content of all crudes, known as “sweet” due to their low sulphur content. Nigeria’s four refineries have hardly ever been able to satisfy domestic demand for fuel.

Almost all of West Africa’s 11 existing refineries – many with outdated and inefficient technology – operate far below capacity. Several have been looking for investors to upgrade their plants and desulphurisation capacity, but these efforts remain in vain. While the global average for refinery utilisation was 79.6 percent in 2014, in Africa it remained at 63 percent. As domestic fuel demand grows rapidly in Africa – primary energy consumption grew by 2.8 percent in 2014, above the ten-year average of 2.6 percent per annum – the continent’s refineries cannot keep up. This is not going to change in the near future. As Reuters wrote in 2013, “Africa’s efforts to supply more of its booming demand for fuel are being dashed by fierce competition from foreign oil refiners and traders flooding the US$80 billion market with imports.”

Box 8.1 – AFRICAN REFINERIES CAN’T KEEP UP WITH THE GROWING DEMAND

Africa’s rapid economic growth is increasing fuel demand, which is expected to double between 2000 and 2020. More specifically, and perhaps more worrying, the gap between this demand and the output from African refineries is growing exponentially. This means the region, and especially West Africa, will rely more and more on imports to satisfy its domestic demand. In West Africa, the volume of imported petroleum products has already overtaken the output of refineries.

Almost all of West Africa’s 11 existing refineries – many with outdated and inefficient technology – operate far below capacity. Several have been looking for investors to upgrade their plants and desulphurisation capacity, but these efforts remain in vain. While the global average for refinery utilisation was 79.6 percent in 2014, in Africa it remained at 63 percent. As domestic fuel demand grows rapidly in Africa – primary energy consumption grew by 2.8 percent in 2014, above the ten-year average of 2.6 percent per annum – the continent’s refineries cannot keep up. This is not going to change in the near future. As Reuters wrote in 2013, “Africa’s efforts to supply more of its booming demand for fuel are being dashed by fierce competition from foreign oil refiners and traders flooding the US$80 billion market with imports.”

Robert Turner, a director at PricewaterhouseCoopers, adds that “the constant challenge [for African refineries] is competing with imports, with many global players being long in products and seeking outlets in Africa.”

“Competition to supply Africa is only going to increase,” commented Rolake Akinkugbe, Ecobank’s Head of Oil and Gas Research. “Even if three projects get built, there is no way they can keep up with the demand growth.” Akinkugbe further notes that, over the last decade, only 7 out of 90 refinery projects in Africa were completed. Similarly, the UK-based consultancy CITAC estimates that of a planned 11 million barrels per day of new African refining capacity, only about one third (400,000 bpd) will be built. David Bleasdale, Executive Director for CITAC Africa, said their “view is that growing African demand will by and large be met by imports.”
8.1 – ARA, THE BIGGEST SUPPLIER OF FUELS TO WEST AFRICA

The ports of Amsterdam, Rotterdam and Antwerp that compose the ARA zone form one of the biggest hubs for exporting petroleum products worldwide (see chapter 10 and 11). Their network of ten refineries and massive storage capacity enables them to manage large quantities of crude oil, blendstocks, gasoline and diesel. The ARA zone is strategically positioned to receive petroleum products and blendstocks from the UK, Russia and the Baltic countries. It also enjoys a geographic proximity to the western coast of Africa. As a result, the ARA zone has developed a specialised production stream of so-called African Quality fuels, including high sulphur products (see chapter 10 and 11).

UN trade statistics show that ARA accounted for around 50 percent of the declared volume of petroleum products delivered to West Africa in 2014. Six countries in particular (Mauritania, Guinea, Ghana, Senegal, Togo, and Nigeria) received significant volumes of petroleum products from the ARA zone (see Figure 8.1 below). Countries such as Guinea and Mauritania imported roughly nine out of every ten litres of fuel from ARA. For Guinea, Senegal, Togo, and Nigeria, ARA is the single biggest supplier of petroleum products, accounting for more than 50 percent of imports.

Platts’ ship-tracking software supports these UN trade statistics, showing that between August 2014 and August 2015 more than 70 percent of vessels entering Togo’s waters were originally loaded with oil in northwest Europe. Some 80 percent of this traffic came exclusively from the ARA zone.

West Africa’s biggest market, Nigeria, sources 44 percent of its imported fuels from ARA.

Countries like Guinea and especially Togo, a country that imports far more products than it actually needs for its consumption, are of particular interest because they function as transit hubs to landlocked countries, such as Mali, Burkina Faso and Niger. The waters by Togo’s capital, Lomé, and by Lagos, in Nigeria, also serve as ship-to-ship (STS) hubs. Big product tankers, coming from Europe and elsewhere, gather offshore Lomé and then transfer their cargo onto smaller vessels that fit with the port capacities of the neighbouring countries (see chapter 11). This explains why, in 2014, a country as small as Togo became the number one destination in West Africa for ARA’s petroleum products: Togo’s “imports” are roughly 20 times the size of its domestic consumption, according to our estimates which are based on limited data from the country.

8.2 – THE SULPHUR ROUTE: WHEN “CLEAN” EUROPE SUPPLIES “AFRICAN QUALITY” DIESEL

One of the biggest surprises that we had, while researching trade flows, was that Belgian and Dutch statistics sort diesel exports according to their sulphur content. They provide four categories: under 10 ppm; between 10 and 20 ppm; between 20 and 1,000 ppm; and, finally, above 1,000 ppm.

Statistics on these categories show how Africa is flooded with high sulphur fuels, especially when compared to other destinations. A minimum 61 percent of the total high sulphur diesel (over 1,000 ppm) exported from Belgium and the Netherlands was delivered to Africa in 2014 (see Figure 8.2).

And this figure is likely to be even higher because a further 12 percent of exports went to Gibraltar, which is a transit hub between ARA and Africa or Asia. Overall, this makes Africa the biggest dumping ground for Europe’s bad quality fuel. In 2014, more than 4.1 million metric tonnes of high sulphur diesel were exported from the ARA zone to Africa. And this figure is likely to be even higher because we left out the diesel with sulphur levels between 20 and 1,000 ppm. A large but unknown share of that must have been diesel with over 50 ppm sulphur, meaning it was highly sulphurous. The Netherlands, in particular, accounted for 88 percent of high sulphur diesel exported from ARA to Africa in 2014. Only a tiny proportion of these fuels went to America and Asia. Europe got 1.3 million metric tonnes of high sulphur diesel from ARA, but this fuel is used mostly for heating. For public health and environmental reasons, it is banned in European vehicles.

Between 2012 and 2014, the “quality gap” was constantly increasing. While ARA exported more and more “European quality” diesel (ultra-low sulphur diesel) to Asia and America (calculated as a percentage of total diesel exports), Africa received a growing share of poor quality, high-sulphur diesel. In fact, Africa imported almost exclusively high sulphur diesel from ARA. In 2014, only 4 percent of the diesel sent to Africa from the ARA zone was consid-
Figure 8.2 – Share of ARA high-sulphur diesel exports (>1,000 ppm) by destination (2014)

Europe 20% —— Africa 61%
Gibraltar 12% —— America 6%
Asia 1%

SOURCE: Belgium National Bank and Netherland’s Central Office for Statistics

Figure 8.3 – Share of ARA diesel exports, by main region of destination and sulphur content (2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulphur Content (ppm)</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Americas</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 ppm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20 ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–1,000 ppm</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000 ppm</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: Belgium National Bank and Netherland’s Central Office for Statistics

3. SWISS TRADING COMPANIES DOMINATE THE HIGH SULPHUR ROUTE

Contrary to Africa’s crude oil exports, very little attention is given to the flows of dirty fuels delivered to the continent; almost no information is publicly available. But, of course, behind the frightening Belgian and Dutch statistics, there are companies who charter vessels and make profits out of these deliveries of high sulphur diesel to West Africa.

We wanted to find out about that. To our knowledge, the only way to go beyond anecdotal evidence and to find out for sure who the main players are on the ARA to West Africa route (and any other route, in fact) is to consult a shipping intelligence database that provides the identity of the charterers.16

The database we used has limitations. We were only able to get information on the charterers of oil product tankers travelling from ARA to West Africa when a trading company had chartered a vessel from a shipping company for a single and specific voyage (“spot cargo” or “spot fixture” since a chartering contract is called a fixture). While the database does shed some light on what is otherwise an extremely opaque industry, some fixtures are not declared. Nor does it provide information on tankers owned by a trading company or on any other kind of contractual arrangements between shipbrokers and trading companies, such as long-term contracts (time charters). Another limitation relates to companies’ freight strategy: if a company owns the vessel (rather than chartering one), the voyage will not appear in the database. Vitol provides a good example. It is the largest independent oil trading company, but, because it mostly operates with its own vessels, the company doesn’t appear in the database (for that route). In short, the database provides the best possible picture of the dominant players on a given maritime route, but excludes many cargoes. That’s why we speak about “known” cargoes or tankers. Our results should be understood that way.

Nevertheless, the database shows that Swiss trading companies are major players on the high sulphur fuels route from ARA to West Africa. From 2012 to 2015, Swiss trading companies (or their shipping subsidiaries) chartered more than half of the known tankers that left ARA for West Africa. In 2014, Swiss
trading companies controlled 61 percent of all declared fixtures for the ARA to West Africa route (see Figure 8.5).

Rather surprisingly, Litasco, the Geneva-based trading arm of Russian group Lukoil, appears to be the main player in the route from ARA to West Africa. Under condition of anonymity, an internal source confirmed that Litasco is indeed one of the biggest suppliers to Nigeria, the region’s largest market. He says the company has “continuously three to five tankers on their way to, or in, the Gulf of Guinea, which delivers offshore Lomé and offshore Lagos or, more rarely, go to Tema, in Ghana (see chapter 7)”.

According to the same source, about 70 percent of Litasco products destined for West Africa come from ARA in batches of between 30,000 MT and 60,000 MT. While the company used to rent facilities in ARA, it now mostly buys the products from companies, such as Totsa (Total) and Conoco Philips, or from refineries such as the Zeeland refinery in the Netherlands, a joint venture of Total and Lukoil. The rest comes from the Black Sea, mainly Constantza, in Romania, and Burgas, in Bulgaria, where Litasco owns facilities.

Much less known is Sahara Energy, which appears prominently. The company has a trading branch in Geneva and has some 660 employees along the whole supply chain. Founded in 1996, the Group took off in 2010 after signing an agreement worth US$1 billion with the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation. Under the terms of the contract, Sahara Energy lifts crude oil from Nigeria and delivers petroleum products, which it sources from Côte d’Ivoire’s Société Ivoirienne de Raffinage. Like its competitors, Sahara Energy also supplied West Africa in 2014 with gasoline and gasoil from the ARA region, and to a lesser extent from other hubs such as the US Gulf.

On the route from ARA to West Africa, Trafigura reaches a 7 percent market share, if one takes into account both its own operations and the market share of Delaney Petroleum, a company incorporated in the British Virgin Islands and operating from the United Arab Emirates. We cannot prove Delaney is owned by Trafigura. But several facts and sources indicate that Delaney does indeed work very closely, if not exclusively, with Trafigura. Delaney’s main director and sole beneficial owner is Roald Goethe, a man who was simultaneously Trafigura’s spokesperson in Côte d’Ivoire following the Probo Koala catastrophe20 and the director of Delaney. Before that, he worked for Trafigura between 1994 and 2005, and then again from February 2016 when he held one of the most senior positions in the company: head of Africa oil trading.21 In between these two periods, Goethe worked for an “independent” trading company, according to Bloomberg. This company is, of course, Delaney. But whether or not it is really independent remains an open question since the jurisdictions in which it is registered remain highly secretive. The business links between the two companies are just as tight. Delaney granted loans to Trafigura’s downstream arm, Puma Energy; it bought shares in one of Puma’s subsidiaries, Puma Nigeria Holdings LLC22; and we have seen several bills of lading which show Delaney as a “consignee” for exports from Venezuela while Trafigura is the “carrier”. Roald Goethe is currently also a major shareholder of PE Investment and Global PE Investors, two offshore companies that in turn hold minority shares in Puma Energy. In 2011, another British Virgin Islands company called Quarhess Trading signed an “intermediary agreement” between Delaney and Trafigura.23 The declared aim of Quarhess Trading is to offer and select for either Trafigura or Delaney the best logistics schemes and delivery routes in West Africa. According to LinkedIn, Quarhess is directed by an ex-Delaney and ex-Trafigura Norwegian “marketing consultant” called Yusuf Yahaya-Kwande. Could two truly “independent” companies work as closely as these two companies do? Asked about its relationship with Delaney, Trafigura refused to comment.

Other companies such as BP and Total also deliver significant amounts of fuels from ARA to West Africa, while charterers are unknown for 14 percent of the voyages.

Despite limitations to our findings, we can reasonably conclude that Swiss traders play a decisive role in delivering health damaging products from Europe to Africa. Indeed, since a large amount of high-sulphur products leave ARA for West Africa, and given that Swiss trading companies are dominant on this particular maritime route, we do not doubt the participation of these companies in that trade. Moreover, these findings are consistent with the fact that Swiss commodity trading companies own and rent significant assets in the ARA region, including refineries, storage tanks and terminals, the source of these
products (see chapter 11). These findings are also consistent with the Ghana case study, where we showed that Swiss trading companies were importing significant volumes of dirty fuels from ARA and the US Gulf.

### 8.4 — THE US GULF: ANOTHER SUPPLY HUB FOR WEST AFRICAN FUELS

The ARA region has an American equivalent known in the shipping industry by the acronym USG, standing for “United States Gulf”. Located near Houston, the US Gulf comprises the coastal cities from Corpus Christi to Lake Charles. Similar to ARA, the US Gulf is known to be the home of big volumes of chemical and petroleum products (production, storage and transport). It is another important hub for the exports of petroleum products to West Africa, though it is smaller than ARA, which, as we have seen, supplies the majority of fuels to the region.

While the volume of petroleum products exported from the US to West Africa since 2012 has doubled, West Africa’s fuel demand comes mostly from ARA. For example, in 2014, the volume of diesel exports from USG to Ghana and Togo was only one third of that exported from ARA.

As we did for the ARA region, we began by examining UN trade statistics to assess the flows of petroleum products leaving the US for West Africa. Then we used national statistics to know more about their sulphur content, as we did with the Dutch and Belgian statistics too.

This exercise showed us that US exports differ from European ones, with regards both to the products exported to West Africa and to their sulphur content. While Europe specialised in diesel, the US supplies mostly gasoline and kerosene, which are used for running vehicles and households respectively. Figures 8.6 and 8.7 highlight this difference.

In 2014, the United States were the primary source of petroleum products for Nigeria (followed by the Netherlands and Belgium), the second for Ghana (after the Netherlands, but before Belgium) and the third for Togo (after Belgium and the Netherlands). That same year, 44 percent of the total volume of petroleum products exported from the US to sub-Saharan Africa consisted of unleaded gasoline, destined almost exclusively for Nigeria and Togo. A further 35 percent consisted of dual purpose kerosene (DPK), of which 72 percent went straight to Nigeria, where it is largely used for cooking and other industrial purposes. Diesel accounted for 13 percent, destined exclusively for Ghana and Togo (see Figure 8.8).

Together, ARA and USG accounted for 87 percent of the overall volume of petroleum products exported to Ghana, 72 percent of those exported to Nigeria and 64 percent to Togo. There is no doubt that these two regions are the main suppliers of fuels delivered to West Africa.

### 8.5 — SWISS TRADING COMPANIES PLAY FROM THE US GULF AS WELL

And as we did for the main players on the ARA to West Africa route, we used the same database to find out which companies...
export petroleum products from the US Gulf to the Guinean one. The results have the same limitations as before.

Using the same shipping intelligence database we used for the ARA region, we found that Swiss trading companies appear to enjoy a significant market share on this route too. Between 2012 and 2015, they chartered around 40 percent of the known tankers that left the USG for West Africa. Again, Delaney Petroleum, a shipping and trading entity associated with Trafigura, is preeminent. The company chartered around half of the vessels, destined almost exclusively for the Port of Apapa-Lagos, according to the database. This doesn’t come as a big surprise, since Trafigura benefited from a crude-for-product SWAP agreement with Nigeria, delivering for example more than 15 million barrels of refined products to Nigeria in 2013, worth around US$2.5 billion.27

Other traders, including Mercuria, Sahara, Litasco, Glencore and Gunvor also chartered at least one vessel on that route over the same period.

As we concluded for the situation in ARA, Swiss trading companies play an important role in the supply of products from the US Gulf. And this comes as no surprise. All of the major Swiss trading companies such as Glencore, Vitol, Trafigura and Mercuria have important commercial interests in USG.

In 2013, for example, Mercuria entered into a long-term agreement with a US investment company called KW Express to construct a rail terminal on the Houston Ship Channel. The facility, which has the capacity to unload 210,000 barrels per day (bpd), allows Mercuria to source crude from various locations, including the Bakken shale area, one of the country’s largest oil fields in terms of proven reserves.28 It also connects to the Houston Refinery Distribution System, which has a refining capacity of 2.1 million bpd and is accessible to waterborne traffic.29 Having acquired JP Morgan Chase’s commodity unit in October 2014, Mercuria’s Houston office is second only to its Geneva headquarters in terms of size.30

Trafigura also owns a 20 percent interest in a large oil complex in Corpus Christi, a booming Texas port city and outlet for oil from the Eagle Ford shale formation. Valued at more than US$1 billion in September 2014, Trafigura’s terminal includes crude storage facilities, a condensate splitter (a simple oil refinery) and a massive marine terminal able to host big vessels.31
Blending: the basics

Many petroleum products sold at the pump, such as gasoline and diesel, are not produced at refineries but by blending (mixing) a mixture of substances away from refineries.

Swiss trading companies are big on blending, which they do for both technical and commercial reasons. Blending increases profit margins. Swiss traders do not only trade, they also produce the products they sell.

Blending is conducted “on-spec” to meet the different fuel standards: The differences in national fuel standards allow for profitable regulatory arbitrage.

The global refinery landscape is changing rapidly in terms of geography, products, and ownership. Swiss trading companies have also entered the refining business to increase their optionality.
Contrary to what most people might think, fuels such as diesel or gasoline do not always come straight from refineries. Instead, the refineries tend to produce besides finished petroleum products a lot of intermediate products, which are then mixed together, occasionally with other intermediate products from other sources (such as the chemical industry). This process is called “blending”. To make matters more complex, different types of refineries produce different intermediate products or “blendstocks” (see Annex 4).

Some refineries do produce “straight-run” diesel, which does not need to be blended. However, the amount of directly usable diesel produced by these refineries is limited, and so diesel is usually blended too. Gasoline is always a blended product. The blending ensures that the final product complies with technical specifications, such as minimum levels of cetane (diesel) or octane (gasoline).

Beyond the technical considerations, however, there is also a commercial motivation for blending. Blenders look for the cheapest possible blendstocks to produce a fuel that will be acceptable for any given market. This is why differing national standards for fuels – or “specifications” as they are called – are so crucial for the blending business.

“The objective of product blending is to allocate the available blending components in such a way as to meet product demands and specifications at the least cost, and to produce incremental products that maximize overall profit,” write James Gary and Glenn Handwerk in their book, “Petroleum Refining – Technology and Economics”. A “Dictionary of Oil Trading Jargon” describes the “blending margin” as the profitability of blending: “Profit which is made by buying unfinished products or products with quality give-away. By upgrading or downgrading, profit can be made if the required components are bought at the right value. The blender buys components at a price, which would match economically in his blend. A gasoline with an octane specification which is much higher than the market requirements can be blended with lower octane components that are cheaper than the basis price of gasoline.”

In this chapter, we explain the technical and commercial basics of the blending business. We will show how traders have stepped out of their traditional roles and entered the refining business, constantly increasing their capacity to get the right blendstocks. In the following chapter we focus on the use of low-quality blendstocks to produce so-called “African Quality” fuels – a practice we call “blend-dumping”. We consider this practice to be illegitimate.

9.1 – BLENDING “ON-SPEC”

“Blending is an art, not a science,” Ton Visser, a Dutch blending expert, explains. “A trader doesn’t earn too much just by selling finished products direct from the refineries. They gain when they can blend their own fuel products (see box 9.1). Pure blending is simple. The science is easy if you stick to the rules. What to blend in what ratio, however, can make a big difference for profits.”

“Blending on-spec” – the mixing of products to obtain a certain specification for a certain market – is an omnipresent term in the fuel blending industry and trading companies make no secret of it:

Gunvor: “Gunvor is active in the gasoline market, with a strong focus on blending. As the finished grade specifications differ between countries, the blending of gasoline has to be tailored for each country, which is achieved by mixing many different refinery streams as well as petrochemical components.”

Vitol: “Our detailed knowledge of specifications [...] gives us a real advantage in achieving better trading performance.”

Trafigura/Puma: “We offer a full range of refined oil products and operate blending facilities in order to tailor our products to regional demands and specifications.”

As variations in fuel quality regulations are at the heart of the arbitrage business model, regulatory changes create opportunities. “Any changes in regulations, new rules, will effectively disrupt flows and create opportunities,” commented Trafigura’s CFO Pierre Lorinet. “It’s more about the arbitrage it generates.”

9.2 – THE TECHNICAL NECESSITY TO BLEND

While different crude oils are blended before they reach a refinery, petroleum products are blended in the refinery or outside of the refinery. Our focus is on the latter. The technical necessity to blend relates to physical and chemical properties, and performance characteristics.

Gasoline is always a blended product, because vehicle engines require a mix of components from “light” when they start to “heavy” once they are warmed-up and operating. Gasoline fuels usually consist of between six and ten blendstocks, and more than 400 different blending components are currently available on the market.

In contrast, diesel does not need to be blended. Light gas oil, for example, would be suitable to run a diesel engine in its “natural” state. However, blending is a profitable activity and directly usable gasoil components, such as Light Gas Oil, have limited availability, so diesel is also blended. It usually consists of between 4 and 6 blendstocks. Many of these blendstocks (around 40) are on the market far less than gasoline.

When searching for blendstocks, a blender will look at the price and then the boiling point. One basic rule of blending is that if a blendstock is within the boiling range of the fuel product, then it can be blended in. The boiling range of gasoline is between 35 and 210 °C. For diesel it is between 160 and 360 °C. While there are many technical requirements for fuels that need to be met by blending, we focus on the most important ones for gasoline and diesel.

9.2.1 – THE OCTANE NUMBER FOR GASOLINE

The octane number is a measure of the product’s ability to resist auto-ignition, spontaneous ignition, which causes damaging
engine knock. Vehicles running on high octane gasoline are more fuel efficient than others and hence have lower CO₂ emissions. Gasoline is usually blended to reach the required octane number, sometimes by adding octane enhancers.

European standards require gasoline to have an octane level of at least 95 (RON), while other countries like Japan and many in Africa require a minimum of 91. Europe’s ruling of 95 was a consensus outcome. In general, the car industry would like to see high octane numbers in gasoline, as this gives vehicles more engine power, while the oil industry prefers low octane numbers to reduce costs and increase blending options.

9.2.2 – THE CETANE NUMBER FOR DIESEL

Like the octane number for gasoline, diesel is blended to a specific cetane number. The combustion quality of diesel is measured by its ignition delay, the time between injection and combustion in a diesel engine. This is expressed as cetane number (a high number signifying a short ignition delay and vice versa).

A long ignition delay means combustion starts late, power is lost and more smoke is formed in the exhaust gases. The cetane number also influences engine deposits and fuel consumption. Overall, the higher the cetane number the better, as this results in a more complete fuel combustion, lower soot particle emissions, and less smoke. European standards require diesel to have a cetane number of at least 51, while many in Africa require a minimum of about 45.

9.3 – WHERE BLENDING TAKES PLACE

Blending usually takes place at a tank terminal in a process known as “in-tank” or “batch” blending. However, it may also occur in a pipeline system (“in-line” blending) or on a ship (“on-board” blending).

Robert Kruijff, with many years of experience in the lubricant market (blending and delivering), bunkering and waste management, explained that “if you want to blend oil products onshore, you need facilities that allow quick pumping from one tank to the other, a number of small units, tanks that allow injecting air and tanks that allow samples to be easily taken.”

Indeed, access to storage capacity provides the means to blend products. As figure 9.1 (page 93) shows, Swiss trading companies have access to a significant amount of storage facilities. In this respect, they are the new “majors”, with more capacity than the integrated oil companies.

In addition to capacity and infrastructure, cost plays a key role in deciding where to blend. The average operational price of blending using an onshore tank is US$1 per tonne, although this may vary according to the trader, terminal and products used. A trader has several options to economise on the cost of blending:

– In-tank blending: The supply of blend components – a combination of those already stored at the terminal and those being shipped in – must be planned very precisely. This enables the different blendstocks to be pumped in the right order into a

Box 9.1 – THE SPIDER IN THE NET

According to an expert who trains traders in blending products, Ton Visser, “the job of a trader is to be the spider in the net. The trader has a huge network of contacts with refineries and other industries that can supply blendstock. And he needs to maintain his contacts. Contacts are everything. In fact, one could say that a trader is paid for the value of his contacts.

Traders rarely buy finished products from oil refineries because they want to blend themselves. Traders buy volumes here and there. The trader knows exactly what is being produced and where, whether a refinery is on the edge of bankruptcy, or whether a refinery is full and needing to get rid of fuel components. And, of course, he is always on top of prices. In addition, each unit of a refinery needs maintenance or has malfunctions. So it is always possible that cheap, low-quality streams are brought into the global blending pool.

Traders need so much to have a constant flow of information and good relations that if a trader finds out that a refiner has too much VGO (vacuum gas oil) and needs to get rid of it, then the trader might offer to buy it and quickly find a way to sell or to use it. Traders active in the ARA region (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, Antwerp) buy a lot from refineries in Russia and the Middle East. They also buy from other industries, such as the chemical industry.”

A Geneva-based trader adds: “A good blender is usually the best paid person in the trading room. It’s a very opportunistic activity, which depends on the availability of blendstocks. A trader must know the markets well enough to be able to know where he can source what kind of blendstocks and then to gather them all at the same place.”

It is a demanding job, observed a petro lab supervisor who works closely with oil majors and trading companies: “Traders work 24/7 to be on top of market development and blendstocks they want to acquire. They earn a lot of money, but when they are fifty years old they are worn out.”
Onboard blending: Following the same density mixing logic, the tanker is furnished with an onboard pumping equipment, the trader may instruct the terminal to pump the blendstocks directly onboard in a given order so the blending takes place automatically. In doing so, the trader would avoid the onshore terminal’s operating costs and be required only to pay for the pumping. The onboard blending system is similar to that which occurs in the terminal, just with smaller blending tanks. However, it is less accurate and if the blend turns out to be off-spec, more time and effort is required to fix it.

- In-port ship-to-ship: Blending can also be carried out through a ship-to-ship (STS) operation. An STS is the direct transfer of products between two ships, conducted while moored to a berth, dolphins or buoys within port limits. It does not require any terminal infrastructure, tanks or pumping systems and avoids the terminal fees, making it a cheap option. The Port of Amsterdam has four places where STS is possible, including one at the dolphins in the part of Amsterdam port known as the “Africa harbour”. In 2014, around 1 million tonnes of oil products were transferred at buoys and dolphins in the port of Amsterdam by STS. Another option is “jetty-to-jetty”, where tankers transfer products while moored to either side of the same jetty. This method is more costly, as terminal infrastructure is required to first pump streams to the “centre manifold”, before they are redistributed from there.

- Offshore ship-to-ship: The cheapest option available is carrying out an STS outside the port’s limits at sea, so no cost is incurred with the port authorities. Using this method, the liquid cargo is transferred between ships moored side-by-side with the support of STS service providers, such as Fendercare or Mariflex. During or after STS the products are blended onboard. “Meeting places” for ships at sea tend to be in strategic locations (close to busy trading routes, oil transit chokepoints, etc.), where countries allow them and where the weather conditions are usually reliable. Examples of popular meeting places for ships in Europe are Hurd Bank (offshore Malta), Skaw/Skagen and Kalundborg (offshore Denmark), Gibraltar and Southwold (offshore the UK, near the English Channel). In chapter 11 we take a closer look at the most important meeting place for African STS, which is offshore Lomé, Togo.

9.4 – HOW IT’S DONE: THE COOK’S ANALOGY

Blending is best explained by comparing it to cooking: the trader writes the recipe (in close partnership with a chemist or petrolab supervisor), then passes it on to terminal operators or ship captains who combine the ingredients. To create the recipe, traders work with laboratories, such as SGS, Intertek, Saybolt or Inspectorate, constantly testing and improving it by making “hand-blends” (bitesize blending at lab scale). Software is used to identify the most economical blend within the product specification boundaries.

Once the testing phase is over and the final blend recipe has been identified, it will be passed to the storage terminals. Samples are taken and tested from every batch blended. The health, safety and environment managers of VOPAK, an independent storage terminal in Amsterdam, explain their role in blending:

“We do not decide anything – our clients tell us exactly what product, from what tank, in what quantity and in which sequence we need to load it into the tanker. Products from several tanks are blended during loading of the tanker. They distribute it themselves in the ship’s tanks.”

9.4.1 – THE BLENDING ERROR

Blending recipes do not always scale-up smoothly from the “lab bitesize” to an entire cargo. “Blending errors” are not unknown. The key to avoid this is to dip into blending experi-
rence, uploaded into software and models. A Geneva-based trader refers to the risks of blending: “Blending is very lucrative but also very risky. If you mess up, you can lose all your cargo.”

Traders confronted with failed blends have few and expensive options.

Traders we spoke to explained what they do in a case of blending error. One said that he would use additives to bring the product on-spec (see box 9.2). This appeared to be a common choice, though another trader stressed it costs time and money, as the tanker must be stopped for the additives to be injected. Another possibility is downgrading the blend and selling it for a lower price. Most agreed this is best avoided. The blend could also be sent to a refinery for re-distillation, but again this would incur transportation and processing costs. Similarly, traders explained it could be blended with another cargo to dilute the contamination, though this too is problematic because it would result in a larger volume than planned, which may be challenging to sell or simply too big to load onto the tanker. Finally, traders have the option of seeking help from a “troubleshooter”, an expert specialising in the on-site treatment of off-spec cargoes. According to interviewees, troubleshooters are creative, have extensive knowledge on the chemistry of additives, “the right contacts”, and a trial-and-error mentality.

9.5 – REFINERIES PRODUCE MANY DIFFERENT BLEND COMPONENTS OF VARYING QUALITY

Over 600 refineries operate worldwide. Each is unique in the crude oil refined, the cracking and desulphurisation methods used, the specific end market configurations adapted to changing demand, and the products and blend components created.

At one end of the spectrum are the “tea pot” refineries, built on, or close to, oilfields. These are largely found in the US, Russia and China, and produce small volumes (2,000 – 20,000 bpd). Naphtha and gasoil blendstocks are usually retained for making gasoline and diesel for the domestic market, while the heavier components are sold abroad.

At the other end of the spectrum are the mega-refineries that produce between 300,000 and 1 million bpd. Examples are the Shell Pernis, Total, Exxon and BP refineries in the ARA region, and Reliance in Jamnagar (India). The majority of refineries operate somewhere in the middle, with outputs between 60,000 and 200,000 bpd.

9.5.1 – WHAT GOES IN

The composition of crude oil varies according to its origin (see Table 9.1). Crude oils are classified by their density (light, medium or heavy), sulphur content (high sulphur is “sour”, low sulphur is “sweet”), and chemical composition (paraffinic, naphthenic or aromatic). Most light crude, containing components such as naphtha, kerosene and gasoil, are sweet and more expensive than heavy crudes.

9.5.2 – BLENDSTOCKS FROM THE REFINERY

Most blendstocks used to blend diesel and gasoline are created at a refinery. Blendstocks vary in quality (octane and cetane numbers) and in their eventual impacts on the environment and public health. In the following chapter, we take a closer look at some of the blendstocks used to produce African Quality fuels as well as on blendstocks from other industries.

Intermediate products, produced by refineries, can either be further refined, blended to produce gasoline, diesel or other oil products, or sold as blendstocks. Nothing goes to waste; even the lowest quality products are used somewhere. Cost, market opportunity, and final product specs determine a “usable” blendstock. Originating from the dregs of an oil barrel, residual fuel oil (used for ships and power plants) is one example. “The

Box 9.2 – FROM A TRADER’S TOOLKIT: THE BUSINESS OF ADDING MILLIGRAMS

Does your fuel have a tendency to darken or form residue over time during distribution? The solution is to use “stability additives”, which lead to “improved fuel quality during distribution” and “possible utilisation of an increased range of blend stocks”.

Does the fuel have an obtrusive odour? Hydrogen sulphide scavengers, mercaptan scavengers or odour abatement chemicals will result in “an acceptable fuel odour and reduced complaints,” according to an additive supplier active in the industry.20 Other additives used for refined products include octane and cetane boosters, antioxidants, lubricity improvers, dyes, biocides and dehazers.

A blending expert further explains the additive business: “Blending is a way to bring products together to meet the specs. There are also other ways. Some specs cannot perhaps be fully met. The alternative is the use of additives. This is a very lucrative market: US$10 billion annually. It is on the increase due to more stringent specs. Additives are used for fine-tuning. You would never bring a 5,000 ppm sulphur coker naphtha [a low-octane gasoline blendstock] down to 1,000 ppm sulphur with it: besides technical limitations, it would also be far too expensive, as additives can cost between a few dollars and US$50 per litre. We always talk about milligrams, ppm ranges, when we talk about additives, while for blending components we talk in percentages.”21
oil industry hardly creates any waste products as the waste created at refineries is basically burned at sea,” explained Paul Deelen, an oil refinery expert, referring to the use of residual fuel oil to power ships.

Refineries’ core processes, the technology used, and the different products and qualities created are summarised in Annex 4.

9.6 – A CHANGING REFINERY LANDSCAPE

Competition among refineries is huge. Reliance, the world’s largest refinery located in Gujarat, India, has been operating since 2008 and has the capacity to produce over 1.2 million barrels per day. In 2014, the Middle East’s refining capacity expanded to a record 9.4 million barrels per day, thanks to the completion of two 400,000 bpd refineries – one in Saudi Arabia and one in the UAE. In the US, two new refineries were added to the existing 140 operable petroleum refineries in 2015, as a result of the shale oil revolution. The new refining hubs have even had an effect on the size of tankers required to transport the products. According to Reuters in 2015, “the rapid growth of mega refineries is prompting a new class of oil products supertankers, mirroring an earlier revolution in crude oil shipping, as traders look for scale that was previously not economically viable.”

The global refinery landscape is rapidly changing and the markets are in flux. Fuel demand is increasing fast in Asia and Africa, but decreasing in Europe; the US is experiencing the shale oil revolution; a major expansion of refinery capacity is underway in the Middle East; while national regulations in emerging markets such as Russia are encouraging refineries to make the necessary upgrades. Russia’s refinery modernisation programme is delivering its first results. And the growth of Russian 10 ppm diesel exports to Europe is expected to continue in 2016.

In Europe, meanwhile, refineries are closing or struggling to survive. Causes for this include declining fuel demand in Europe, ageing plants, lower margins, high operational costs and a mismatch between supply and demand that sees gasoline surpluses and a shortage of diesel and other middle distillates. To top this off, European refineries face less export opportunities to the US, while the US has started to compete with Europe in terms of exporting refined products to Africa’s gasoline markets. In France alone, at least four refineries have closed since 2009. Gunvor’s Chief Executive Torbjörn Törnqvist said newly opened refineries in the Middle East and even the US have “absolutely no sensitivity to margins” and will crush smaller European operations by the end of 2016.

Historically, it was the International Oil Companies (IOCs) like Shell, Exxon and BP that owned refineries in consumer countries. But these refineries are increasingly now operated by companies outside of the integrated oil majors, for example by National Oil Companies (NOCs), by private, independent and specialized companies and also by Swiss traders that are stepping into the refinery business or building partnerships. Trading companies that buy refining assets are increasing their options, among others, to produce or process their own blendstocks. On the watch for opportunities and investment potential, struggling European refineries offer trading companies and others a convenient chance to enter the business. Sometimes they are simply turned into storage capacity.

According to a laboratory supervisor working for decades in fuel blending and fuel testing, refineries are increasingly turning into producers of blend components instead of final petroleum products. “On a scale from one to ten, I think we are at five, in the middle of the trend where traditional refineries close their doors, and new and surviving refineries become more and more suppliers of blend components as this is financially more attractive for their clients.”

9.6.1 – EUROPE’S “DIESELISATION” IS LEADING TO A GASOLINE SURPLUS

In the EU, the downward trend in demand for oil products (down 13 percent since 2008) has been a major factor in refinery closures. This has been mainly driven by the decrease in demand for gasoline (down 20 percent since 2008).

The tax-incentivised “dieselisation” trend has also significantly contributed to a fundamental change in the fuel demand structure for European roads. The shift from gasoline to diesel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRUDE OIL</th>
<th>PERCENT OF TOTAL CRUDE OIL REFINED GLOBALLY</th>
<th>SULPHUR LEVEL</th>
<th>EXAMPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweet</td>
<td>~25%</td>
<td>&lt;0.5% (5,000 ppm)</td>
<td>Brent and Ekofisk from the North Sea; Forcados Escravos, Bonny Light and Bonny Medium from Nigeria; and Es Sharara from Libya.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium sour</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>0.5–1.5% (5,000–15,000 ppm)</td>
<td>Ural from Russia; Arabian Berri from Saudi Arabia; Lago Medium from Venezuela; and Oman Blend from Oman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour</td>
<td>~25%</td>
<td>&gt;1.5% (15,000 ppm)</td>
<td>Soudie from Syria; Kuwait from Kuwait; Maya from Mexico; Bachaquero from Venezuela.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the EU began 25 years ago and resulted in a major decline in gasoline demand and a shortage of diesel production. By 2013, annual demand for diesel in the EU was more than double that for gasoline. In some countries, such as France and Spain, the imbalance is even more pronounced, since tax policies strongly favour the diesel market.

The continued growth in truck transportation in the EU, driven by internal markets and external trade, has further spurred diesel demand. This imbalance has led to exports of excess gasoline from Europe and a reliance on imports for ultra-low sulphur diesel and jet fuel, particularly from the US, Middle East and Russia.

The shale oil revolution has triggered a new trend of competition between the US and Europe for the African gasoline market. Traditionally, North America was the main outlet for Europe's gasoline surplus. With shale oil, US refiners have been able to increase their production for the domestic market and compete with EU refiners on export markets.

9.6.2 – MORE OPTIONALITY FOR TRADERS

The purchase of refining assets fits the view that commodity trading companies must increasingly become “masters of optionality”, a term coined in 2012 by Graham Sharp, the co-found-
er of Trafigura. He argued “businesses that have not yet become masters of optionality will need to reconsider whether they can continue to afford not to.” Building global logistical networks at the lowest cost and attracting the talent to optimize them is part of mastering optionality. Further, “refineries offer even more optionality by allowing traders to change the production mix of different products. For example, they may switch the crude oil consumed between sources from different geographies. Traders used to obtain access to these assets mainly through long-term agreements for a portion of the assets’ available capacity. Now that many commodity traders have grown, they have ramped up their direct investments in larger existing projects or new ones such as terminals and refineries.”

A Geneva-based trader confirms this trend: “Traders buy refineries only with a trading perspective, not an industrial one. For them, it’s okay to lose on refinery production, as long as they make more profit on trading. They earn blending opportunities and maintain access to cheap blendstocks, including the possibility to process crappy crude. In one word: buying refineries provides more optionality to traders. They can make arbitrage on products that the market doesn’t want otherwise.”

Vitol (through Varo Energy – a joint venture of Vitol, Carlyle group and Dutch-based Reggeborgh) runs two European refineries, one of them in Cressier, in the Swiss canton of Neuchâtel. 33

Trafigura (also via its retail arm Puma) has invested in what they call “pocket refineries”: “Refining is not part of the Puma Energy core business model. We own and operate refining assets where it makes sense to do so. In the overwhelming majority of instances we act as buyers from refineries; however, we do operate two pocket refineries, which are the only exceptions.” Since 2012, Trafigura has owned the Nagarjuna Oil Refinery in India and is responsible for supplying the crude oil feedstock and off-taking some of the refinery products.35

Gunvor also owns a refinery in Ingolstadt, Germany36 and Gunvor Petroleum Antwerp (GPA) in Belgium.37 At the beginning of 2016 it was completing a deal to buy its third European refinery, the Kuwait refinery in Europoort, Rotterdam, now called Gunvor Petroleum Rotterdam (GPR).38 Gunvor’s Chief Executive Torbjörn Törnqvist was quoted as saying that compatibility with its Antwerp refinery was a key factor in the Rotterdam purchase.39 This is in line with the trend that refineries are increasingly looking for flexibility (considered necessary to survive) by working in close collaboration with other refineries that have a different configuration. Total’s refinery in Vlissingen (a joint venture with Lukoil), for example, works with Total’s refinery in Antwerp. The ExxonMobil refineries in Rotterdam and Antwerp also work closely together. Therefore tankers constantly move back and forth between the ports of Rotterdam and Antwerp.40 Gunvor’s Antwerp refinery is a “swing refinery” that can easily switch from one feedstock to another (see box 9.3).

Box 9.3 – GUNVOR’S SWING REFINERY IN ANTWERP

Gunvor Petroleum Antwerp (GPA) in Belgium is an example of a “swing refinery”. The refinery can use a very flexible range of feeds. Its main feed is Russian Urals crude purchased on a spot basis, and this is often supplemented with a mixture of niche grades that allows the refinery to improve its margins considerably.41 Where traders would acquire or have access to off-spec, contaminated or low-quality batches that are too poor to use as blendstocks, having a refinery comes in very handy.

In 2012, Gunvor took over the former bankrupt Petroplus refinery, first called Independent Belgium Refinery (IBR), now GPA. GPA writes on its website that it is “ideally located in the ARA hub to optimize our logistics and trading. [...] The refinery’s large storage capacity and the flexibility of its installations give us capabilities to handle a wide range of both intermediate and finished products.”

We discussed Gunvor’s move with the Port of Rotterdam’s Russian expert, Louis Monninkhof, and Mrs Pype, from the Port of Antwerp. They share the view that it is an asset for Gunvor to provide more options to increase its margins: “Gunvor really operates GPA as a refinery and they have just invested large amounts of money in it. It surprised me though to learn that the GPA refinery is falling within the logistics department of Gunvor, counted as tank storage. The refining they do is effectively to blend products: to upgrade low-quality grades, for example. And they also refine the crude oil that enters the port of Antwerp.”

Asked if the refineries in Antwerp and Rotterdam only produce Gunvor’s own products, Gunvor answered that it purchases all of the crude oil and feedstocks that are processed in their refineries, and sells the products to a multitude of buyers. The company also added that “any finished products purchased from GPR or GPA are meeting European specifications.”

In Gunvor’s bond prospectus we read that the refinery is an important asset for their gasoil trading: “Gunvor is active in the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp region gasoil barges market relying on its access to storage facilities in Amsterdam. Gunvor’s trading activity in this area has increased substantially since the acquisition of the Antwerp Refinery in 2012.” The refinery has a tank farm of 90 tanks, providing the trader with a high storage capacity of 1.2 million cubic metres and supporting Gunvor’s trading.45
Making “African Quality” fuels

Weak fuel standards in Africa allow the use of cheap and low-quality blendstocks in the manufacture of so-called “African Quality” fuels. These blendstocks are often harmful to both health and the environment.

European and American markets do not accept the use of such low-quality blendstocks as fuel ingredients. These blendstocks need further treatment to minimise or eliminate the hazardous substances.

Some blendstocks, including those that are waste or recycled from the chemical industry, pose additional risks when blended into fuels.

For traders, however, these blendstocks are cheap, and they can be profitably used to produce African Quality fuels.
In the previous chapter, we saw how gasoline and diesel are produced through a process known as blending. This chapter turns more specifically to the blending of African Quality fuels, the different blendstocks used, and the role of Swiss trading companies. In the following chapter we examine the two important blending hubs, which make African Quality fuels for delivery to West Africa: the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp (ARA) region and the waters offshore Lomé, Togo.

“African Quality” is the industry term for fuels that are destined for African markets. They are characterised primarily by their high sulphur content, though the term also refers to fuels with other low-quality aspects such as a high olefinic or aromatic content. In short, this definition of African Quality matches the type of fuels that we found at petrol stations owned by Swiss trading companies in Africa.

African countries tend to have less strict specifications for diesel and gasoline than their counterparts in Europe, particularly in relation to limits on sulphur, polyaromatics and benzene. For trading companies and others, access to this market provides a profitable opportunity to make fuels for Africa using blendstocks that are cheap, dirty, and therefore damaging to people’s health.

To be sure, blending is a legitimate and necessary technical process. But there is a large margin for abuse when it comes to blending low-quality blendstocks. We call this practice “blending away” and consider it to be illegitimate. Contaminants such as sulphur and benzene should be eliminated as much as possible from all blendstocks, not diluted to meet the weaker standards of African countries.

10.1 – THE COMMERCIAL MOTIVATIONS FOR BLENDING AFRICAN QUALITY

Besides the technical reasons for blending fuels, there are also commercial motivations for finding the cheapest combination of blendstocks for any given specification of fuel product.

Traders and other blenders, who have a below specification product on their hands, will search the market for blendstocks (also referred to as “tasty juices”) that will enable them to produce a final product that meets the appropriate specifications. Examples of such blendstocks might include TX mixtures or alkylates used for boosting the octane levels in low octane gasoline. The closer to the specification boundary that the product lies, the larger the potential margin for the trader.

On the other hand, if the trader has a product that is within the specification, then he may be able to purchase cheap, low-quality “juices” to blend in. The process of lowering product quality is known in the industry as “filling up quality give-away,” says refinery expert Paul Deelen. “Filling up quality give-away” is most common with regards to the octane number, sulphur, and aromatics content for gasoline, and with regards to the cetane number and sulphur content for diesel.

We asked companies how they decrease the quality of the products to meet African specifications. Most companies did not specifically answer this question, while Oryx Energies stated that it "does not lower the quality of the products."

10.1.1 – WEAK FUEL STANDARDS FACILITATE “SULPHUR DUMPING”

Fuel standards in many African countries are very weak (see also box 10.1). And so the production of African Quality fuels can be done with cheap, high sulphur or other low-quality blendstocks. And that’s why blenders buy such low-quality blendstocks.

For example, when a new Colombian refinery was fine-tuning its production recently, it accidentally produced a batch of gasoline with sulphur levels as high as 3,000 ppm. According to Platts, the refinery has been quietly looking since then to unload its toxic batch. “Maybe some blender buys it real cheap and dilutes it little by little,’ a Latin America trading source said.79

Sometimes, a trader ends up with low-quality blendstocks as part of a “package deal” with a refinery. One expert told us: “State of the art refineries produce low sulphur fuels because these have the highest market value. In several countries though, such as Russia and Mexico, investments in desulphurising technologies are lagging behind. Out-dated refineries drop large quantities of sulphur in small batches – visbreaking naphtha is an example. These are marketed in so-called ‘package deals’ to the major fuel traders. The refineries say: ‘You buy from me this batch of fuel for this price, but then you need to take this batch of crap for half the price.’ Often these batches are blended away in African Quality fuels.”

So, sulphur from crude oil could end up in any region where national regulations fail to restrict sulphur levels in diesel and gasoline in any meaningful way. This is true for many countries, not just in Africa, but also in Asia and Latin America. Sulphur also finds its way into jet fuels, residual fuel oil for ships and power plants, marine diesel fuels, home and industrial heating gasoil, lubricants, asphalt/bitumen and cokes.

One oil product created from the “bottom of the barrel” is commonly used as a basis for residual fuel oil used by ships and power plants. It is called “visbreaker residue” and is highly sulphurous, often containing between 30,000 and 50,000 ppm sulphur. As residual fuel oils are very viscous ( syrupy), they can be conveniently used to “blend away” other dirty blendstocks. Some blendstocks, such as Light Cycle Oil, are of such poor quality that they are only suitable for blending into residual fuel oil. But since they are cheap, blenders sometimes use them to produce African Quality fuels (see Tables 10.1 and 10.2 on pages 106–107). The prices of these blendstocks correlate strongly with the market price of cheap marine fuel oil. Their low prices are precisely what make them attractive.

Even in the immediate aftermath of the Probo Koala disaster, Trafigura continued to get rid of highly toxic sulphur waste by mixing it into African fuels. In January 2007, Trafigura chartered the tanker Ottavia, loading it with about 30,000 tons of gasoline from the UK’s Immingham refinery. This tanker then sailed to Slovag in Norway, where, according to a Norwegian documentary, “Dirty Cargo”, she picked up 5,855 tons of residue from caustic washing. The residue was similar to the waste that Trafigura had dumped in Abidjan just half a year before. And from Norway, the Ottavia sailed direct to West Africa, where she unloaded her cargo, staying offshore Lomé for two days before heading to Apapa (Lagos)
in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{6} Asked to answer specific questions on this old case, Trafigura decided not to respond.

Leaked internal documents from Trafigura show that Trafigura had already considered blending this waste aboard the Probo Koala “in small percentages to different grades of petroleum blendstocks”.\textsuperscript{7} The commercial benefits of this unhealthy practice are double: it adds volume to the product and it avoids the high costs of safely disposing dangerous waste.

10.1.2 – LOW-QUALITY BLENDSTOCKS BLEND EASILY INTO HEAVY AFRICAN DIESEL

We have seen how the cetane number and sulphur content are key factors when “filling up quality give-away” diesel. One petrolab supervisor who works closely with oil majors and trading companies told us that blending “on density” is also popular when making diesel for the African market. While the European standard allows a maximum density of 845 kg/m\textsuperscript{3}, this figure can go as high as 880–890 kg/m\textsuperscript{3} in countries such as Zambia, Guinea and Angola. “You can see that these African diesels are almost DMA quality [note: DMA is a grade of marine diesel fuel with a maximum density of 890 kg/m\textsuperscript{3}].\textsuperscript{8} A trader who sees these specs will be delighted because it allows him to blend away the heavy, the more syrupy, blendstocks like heavy gas oil and the cracked stuff,” the petrolab supervisor told us.\textsuperscript{9}

Other examples of heavy blendstocks include vacuum gas oil, light cycle oil, pyrolysis gas oil and thermally cracked gas oil such as visbreaker and coker gasoil. Table 10.1 (on page 106) shows how most of these heavy streams are not only cheap, but low quality too. That is, they are aromatic, olefinic and sulphurous.

The high density specification of several African countries and the fact that aromatics are unrestricted in most African countries allows the dumping in African diesels of sulphur and aromatic hydrocarbons too. In chapter 6, we showed how many of the diesels we tested had high aromatic content.

**Box 10.1 – “MANIPULATION AT THE PLACE OF DESTINATION”**

The fact that African countries allow high sulphur content in fuels is the continent’s biggest regulatory failure on fuel specifications. However, it is not the only failure. A country also takes major risks when its fuel specifications are weak or unclear.

A laboratory supervisor explains a common trick in the business: “Take the example of a gasoline spec that requires the gasoline to be “clear and bright”. If the standard does not include a temperature at which the gasoline should appear clean and bright, then a trader can get an off-spec cargo to be accepted by heating the sample and instructing the surveyor to look again at the gasoline. When heated, the water present in the gasoline, which made the gasoline hazy at room temperature, will dissolve [temporarily] and the gasoline will pass its exam.\textsuperscript{10} The trick is even more applicable to diesel.

Lax controls and weak capacity are contributing factors that allow bad quality fuels to enter the market. We talked to Arend van Campen who has been working as a superintendent for trading companies for decades, checking several petroleum discharges in African harbours. A superintendent is usually hired by traders to travel to loading and discharging terminals, or to tankers to supervise tanker loadings, discharges, and ship-to-ship oil transfers. “Manipulations at the place of destination” is a term that Van Campen used when explaining his former job.

In his book ‘Toxic Tanker’ he tells the story of the Probo Koala naphtha washing procedure. He explains the role of the superintendent, also known as a “marine expeditor”,\textsuperscript{16} who is usually appointed by the cargo’s owner to control the discharge at destination and, if a problem arises, to make sure the cargo is accepted. “It can happen that a cargo is tested to be ‘on-spec’ at the port of loading, but arrives ‘off-spec’ at the port of discharge. Several things can be done to avoid problems, from hiding documents to convincing the surveyor (who is normally paid half by the buyer and half by the seller) to check the loading or unloading, or informing him where to take the samples in the tanker, thus defining which tanks will escape checks. Added to this is the fact that a surveyor usually has less knowledge on the product than the superintendent and is less well-paid. He is therefore more open to ‘facilitation gifts’ and more easily manipulated into accepting the cargo.”

When asked how a product can leave the port of origin “on-spec” but arrive “off-spec” in the port of destination, Van Campen explains that due to intense blending, and the tanker’s constant movement, the different blendstocks don’t always remain a homogeneous mix. That is, they can separate. So some additives, that have been injected into the cargo at the port of origin, no longer function. For example, in the case of a failing mercaptan scavenger that was added to reduce the fuel’s stinking mercaptans, the mercaptan level in the product at arrival will be too high. The fuel will have an intense stench making it more difficult to be accepted by the buyer.\textsuperscript{17} For more information on the use of mercaptan scavengers, see Annex 2.
Oceangoing tankers berthed at Vopak Terminal jetties in Amsterdam Westpoort. On the right, the Combined Chemical and Oil Tanker CPO Singapore is most probably loading petroleum products, before departing for West Africa. The tanker will arrive at Lagos two weeks later. June 2016 | © Carl de Keyzer – Magnum
10.1.3 – LOW-QUALITY BLENDSTOCKS BLEND EASILY INTO AFRICAN PRODUCTS

Besides selling African Quality fuels at the pump, traders also import dirty blendstocks into African countries for blending with products produced from high-quality African crude. Several African crude oils have the benefits of being both sweet (low in sulphur) and waxy.10 Examples are the AKPO blend from Nigeria, Cabinda from Angola, and Rabi Light from Gabon.11 Deelen, the refinery expert above, explains that “many African crude oils are waxy and therefore produce a very high cetane Light Gas Oil [...] making it a very popular blendstock for diesel.”12 Light Gas Oil can be used directly as diesel fuel; it does not need to be blended. Since many African countries have a lower minimum cetane number, often as low as 45, traders can work to the “fill up quality give away” blending rationale by “filling up” African refined diesel before placing it onto the market.

There is a risk, however, that they are ‘filled up’ with imports of low cetane blendstocks. As shown in table 10.1, low cetane blendstocks, for example Light Cycle Oil, often contain substances such as sulphur and aromatics, which damage human health.

If a blender has no access to high cetane gasoil blendstocks and still wants to profit from using cheap and low cetane blendstocks, there is always the option of additivation. Cetane improvers such as HFA 3033, supplied by major additive supplier WRT, are widely used additives for diesel. And cetane boosters don’t cost a fortune.13 According to the petrolab supervisor, a trader could easily spend US$100,000 or more on cetane improvers for a 30,000 tonne cargo leaving ARA for West Africa: “That looks like a lot of money, but if that allows them to blend in cheap and low cetane blendstocks, it is worth it.”14

10.1.4 – “BENZENE DUMPING” IN GASOLINE FOR WEST AFRICA

It is difficult to find out what trading companies have really blended into fuels because the information is, of course, not publicly available. But we have been able to identify two cases of potentially high benzene blendstocks that were mixed into gasoline destined for the West African market. In both cases, the health-damaging blendstocks were loaded into tankers chartered by Swiss trading companies and berthed in Amsterdam’s Africa harbour. We don’t know though who decided on the blending recipes, whether the trading companies or the seller, as both trading companies we asked said they had acquired the product as a finished grade of gasoline.

The first case concerns the tanker Conger chartered by Swiss trader Mocoh (see chapter 11). The second case concerns a tanker called High Beam, which was chartered by Mercuria18 and which visited the port of Amsterdam in March 2016 to load the following gasoline blendstocks – taken together, these blendstocks are an example of an African Quality recipe:

- Gasoline additive
- Pygas
- FCC gasoline
- Naphtha top
- Eurobob <95 RON (a type of European quality gasoline, which will be on-spec after addition of ethanol)
- LCCG heartcut

In this list, both pygas and LCCG heartcut stand out, because they are very high benzene blendstocks.

According to Paul Deelen, a refinery expert, who assessed the different blendstocks for us, this seems to be a typical case of downgrading, showing that dirty fuels are deliberately produced for the African market. Assuming that the Eurobob gasoline was on-specification, the rest was added to increase the volume in the cheapest possible way. The blending recipe includes several problematic blendstocks, such as FCC gasoline, which is cracked gasoline from the cat cracker. If not treated, it will be high in olefins, aromatics and sulphur. In addition, pygas can be up to 70 percent benzene if not debenzenised – 70 times the European limit for gasoline. Add to that LCCG heartcut. LCCG (Light Cat Cracked Gasoline) is the light fraction of a gasoline created by the cat cracker, it can have very high benzene levels.

To use LCCG as a blendstock for a European gasoline, benzene must be a maximum 1 percent, so the refinery removes the “benzene heart”. That is why this separated stream is called LCCG heartcut.19 LCCG heartcut is a really cheap blendstock, with benzene levels also as high as 70 percent, probably used in this blend to increase the octane number. It is not clear what the additive was. It may have been an anti-oxidant, but it might also have been MMT, an octane booster (for more information on MMT, a controversial additive, see subchapter 10.3.4).

Asked about the High Beam, Mercuria did not answer detailed questions on the exact composition and origin of the different blendstocks used and the share of them in the final gasoline blend, but stated the following:

“Mercuria confirms that it has bought gasoline in Amsterdam directly from a local refiner at its Vopak terminal which delivered the finished product into the vessel from its onshore tanks. The product was required to meet the Nigerian specifications. No additives or semi-finished blendstocks have been further incorporated by Mercuria which sold the product “as is” to a couple of customers through Ship-to-Ship transfer offshore Lome. The commercial details related to the transaction(s), including the price or the quantities are confidential. We can also confirm that the technical specifications of the products, as tested and verified by a professional inspection company, have met all the specifications required by our local customers. Needless to say, Mercuria is always delivering products which are in accordance with the requirements of its customers. Additionally, as part of its standard procedures, Mercuria is also making sure that the quality of the products it delivers is always fully compliant with the prevailing local legislations as it is defined by the local authorities.”

When asked further to identify the local refiner they refer to, and to provide details about the composition of the product (for example the benzene level), Mercuria was unwilling to share the information with us.

After loading in Amsterdam, the tanker High Beam left late in the evening flying the Panamanian flag and sailed straight to
Vopak Terminal in the “Africa harbour” of Amsterdam.  | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
West Africa where it arrived at Lagos anchorage on 13th April. There, it made several movements as if engaged in STS operations before sailing into the city’s port ten days later. In the port, she berthed close to Tin Can Island at the Capital Oil Jetty, we assume, to unload (the rest of) her cargo.

We believe these two cases (with the one on Mocoh in chapter 11) are only the tip of the iceberg and that it is a common business practice to mix high benzene blendstocks into gasoline meant for Africa. Weak benzene regulation in many African countries allows for the use of cheap and low-quality blendstocks. In turn, this makes the production of African Quality fuels a lucrative business model.

For health reasons, European countries restrict benzene – which is carcinogenic – to a maximum 1 percent of the gasoline volume. In several African countries, however, the regulation of benzene in gasoline fails to meet even AFRI 1 and 2 specifications, a set of standards drawn up by the African Refiners Association as a roadmap to improve the quality of transportation fuels in sub-Saharan Africa. The AFRI’s most basic specifications, AFRI 1 and AFRI 2, recommend that benzene content is reported. AFRI 3 recommends that benzene content is no more than 5 percent of volume, while AFRI 4 and 5 recommend a maximum 1 percent benzene content (similar to the European standard). Mozambique and Côte d’Ivoire are examples of countries which allow up to 5 percent benzene, while Nigeria tolerates up to 2 percent. On the other hand, some countries only require benzene levels to be reported to the respective government entity, but several African countries – including Benin, Mali, Senegal, and Zambia – do not have any limits or even a reporting obligation at all. Mrs Huiming Li from Stratas Energy explains:

“AFRI standards are a wish list, which is good to have. But there are several African countries that are not following AFRI standards, in particular the ones that only import.”

10.2 – BLENDSTOCKS FOR THE AFRICAN MARKET: TOXIC IS CHEAP

So far, we have seen that diesel and gasoline products are blended for two reasons: technical necessity and commercial benefit. Tables 10.1 and 10.2 below detail the most important blendstocks for diesel and gasoline. The blendstocks are ranked according to market prices so that the cheapest ones are at the top. The two tables show how the price of certain, lower quality, blendstocks correlates with the lower price of marine bunker fuels, a low value petroleum product. The tables also show how much cheap blendstocks are also of poor quality and damaging to human health. They score high on sulphur, aromatics, and olefins. Unless treated, they cannot be used for European fuels. Even without treatment, however, they are used in African Quality fuels. Human health and the environment pay the price.

It would be worth looking into the margins that traders and other blenders make by blending dirty and cheap blendstocks. These margins depend on the prices of the blendstocks used and the exact blend recipe. This information is treated as a commercial secret by the companies, and is not publicly available.

We did ask Swiss trading companies about the profits they make by producing and selling African Quality fuels. More specifically, we asked for information on the different blendstocks used, their percentage in the final blends, and the prices of those blendstocks. The companies were unwilling to provide any insights into the blend recipes they use for African fuels and profits they make. Vitol said that “given the diverse sources of gasoline and diesel supplied to Africa, there are no ‘typical’ blends. Each cargo will be blended to ensure it meets local regulatory requirements.” Trafigura let Puma Energy answer our questions because “Puma Energy is ultimately responsible for the fuel supplies it handles.” For its part, Puma Energy did not answer our questions about profitability and made only a general statement.

The only case where some information on profits became known was the Probo Koala case, in which internal email correspondence between Trafigura executives provides much of the necessary information. We note that Trafigura trader James McNicol expected to make a US$7 million profit per cargo of the dirty coker naphtha. The Probo Koala washed three batches of coker naphtha, equal to more than 115 million litres of naphtha, for an anticipated profit of US$21 million. This equals 18 US cents per litre of washed naphtha. This means that whatever Trafigura could replace finished gasoline with washed coker naphtha in its gasoline blends for Africa, it would earn 18 dollar cents per litre.

As this case involved upgrading a very cheap and dirty blendstock by caustic soda washing aboard a tanker, it can not be representative of the profits acquired by the “normal” way of producing African Quality fuels. Also, the profits for each and every finished blend vary depending on the exact specifications, the percentage of each blendstock in the final blend and the price of each blendstock. A blender will use software to make the most profitable blend recipe while still meeting the specifications.

Now we fully understand why laboratory supervisors advised African governments to improve not just one parameter in their national fuel standard but to adopt European standards wholesale. Only then will blenders be unable to play with the huge differences in specifications (and with the health of national populations). “It will be the margins for the traders that will be affected and shrink,” says one such supervisor.

10.3 – CHEMICAL AND WASTE PROCESSING PLANTS ALSO PRODUCE BLENDSTOCKS

Traders or blenders can also purchase blendstocks that are not produced in refineries. Such blendstocks may be produced by chemical or waste processing plants. Examples of common blendstocks from the chemical industry are pygas (pyrolyse gasoline), alcohols (such as methanol and ethanol) and ethers (such as ethyl tertiary butyl ether, more commonly known as ETBE).

Significant economic advantage can be made from using chemical industry products, waste, or recycled waste as blend components. These products constitute cheap blendstocks that add volume to the final product (as is always the case in blending) and avoid waste processing costs. Any waste or dirty stream
### Table 10.1 – Blendstocks for producing diesel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLENDSTOCKS</th>
<th>ECONOMIC INTEREST</th>
<th>TECHNICAL INTEREST</th>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST</th>
<th>OTHER HEALTH INTEREST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranked by cost (from cheapest to most expensive)</td>
<td>Price compared with the price of automotive diesel&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Rough proportion of a barrel of crude oil globally&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cetane number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risky streams outside the refinery and from undefined origin</td>
<td>very low (or positive)</td>
<td>low (price is set by the market price of marine diesel oil)</td>
<td>very low, 15–30</td>
<td>very high&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermally cracked gasoil – e.g. visbreaker gasoil or coker gas oil – not desulphurised/treated</td>
<td>very low (1–5 %)</td>
<td>very high&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis gasoil untreated</td>
<td>very low (price is set by the market price of marine diesel oil)</td>
<td>very high&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Cycle Oil – not desulphurised/treated&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>low (price is set by the market price of [marine] diesel or residual fuel oil)&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>very high&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Cat Cracked Spirit HCCS – not desulphurized/treated&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>very low, 25–30</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>very low, 30–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Gas Oil (light/heavy)</td>
<td>very low, 25</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight run Heavy Gas Oil – not desulphurised</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight run Light Gas oil (LGO) – not desulphurised</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend kero&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt; – not desulphurised</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocracked gas oil</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio diesel = FAME</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>minor&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenated vegetable oil</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>minor, not from crude oil&lt;sup&gt;36&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas To Liquid (GTL) and Coal To Liquid (CTL)</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>minor, not from crude oil&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPLANATORY NOTES TO THE TABLES

**Economic interests:**
- The blendstocks are ranked by market price from low to high. Information on blendstocks prices is very scarce, and so the ranking is only indicative. Information is given only where estimates were available for the blendstock price relative to the price of a finished diesel or gasoline product. Gasoline and diesel prices are very volatile, tracking the ever-changing price of crude oil. As a rule of thumb, a ton of gasoline is around ten times the price of a crude barrel and a ton of diesel is around nine to ten times the price of a crude. In Europe, diesel may be more valuable, worth ten times value of crude. The price of the blendstock in the table is related to the prices of gasoline and diesel. The table shows how some blendstock prices (for example Light Cycle Oil) match the (lower) price of marine diesel or residual fuel oil. This is because LCO is often blended into marine fuels.
- Estimates are given on the current global availability of blendstocks.

**Technical interest:**
- Levels of cetane or octane are critical properties for blending diesel and gasoline. There are many more critical properties, but for simplicity’s sake we do not list them all.
- Olefin level: a measure of the (oxidation or storage) stability of the fuel.

**Environmental and health aspects:**
- Information is given on the blendstocks, specifically on sulphur and aromatics, including benzene.
- Data are in red if they perform badly on these aspects, or in green for good performance.

**Other health interest:**
- Information is given on the estimated proportion of blendstocks in a European diesel or gasoline. Note that blendstocks used in these products are always in desulphurised form to make a max 10 ppm diesel and gasoline.

The table gives information on the environmental and health aspects of the blendstocks, specifically on sulphur and aromatics, including benzene. Data are in red if they perform badly on these aspects, or in green for good performance. Information is given on the blendstocks for technical interest:
- Levels of cetane or octane are critical properties for blending diesel and gasoline. There are many more critical properties, but for simplicity’s sake we do not list them all.
- Olefin level: a measure of the (oxidation or storage) stability of the fuel.

In the last column, information is given on the estimated proportion of blendstocks in a European diesel or gasoline. Note that blendstocks used in these products are always in desulphurised form to make a max 10 ppm diesel and gasoline.

The tables are based on the training documentation used in courses by a Netherlands-based training company that specialises in oil products, on subsequent meetings and correspondence with the trainers Paul Deelen and Ton Visser, and on talks with industry sources.
Table 10.2 – Blendstocks for producing gasoline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLENDSTOCKS</th>
<th>ECONOMIC INTEREST</th>
<th>TECHNICAL INTEREST</th>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH INTEREST</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranked by cost (from cheapest to most expensive)</td>
<td>Price compared with the price of gasoline</td>
<td>Rough proportion of a barrel of crude oil globally</td>
<td>Risk of being blended into African gasoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Octane level</td>
<td>Olefin level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risky streams outside the refinery and from undefined origin</td>
<td>very low (or positive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butane</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>~5 %</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermally cracked naphtha (e.g. coker or visbreaking naphtha)</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>~80</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat cracker naphtha/FCC gasoline (heavy CC spirit) – not desulphurised/treated</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>1–2 %</td>
<td>90–98</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat cracker naphtha/FCC gasoline (light CC spirit) – not desulphurised/treated</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>10–12 %</td>
<td>90–98</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light straight run naphtha – not desulphurised/treated</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>60–75</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pygas untreated</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>88–95</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reformate &lt; 50 % aromatics</td>
<td>low–middle</td>
<td>8–10 % (this figure is for the four different reformates together)</td>
<td>~90–98</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reformate &gt; 50 % aromatics</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>~98–104</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pygas treated</td>
<td>middle</td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>88–95</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomerates</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>3–4 %</td>
<td>78–92</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern reformate – octane minus</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>~95</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracked naphtha from hydrocracker (also used a feedstock for naphtha stream cracker)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>40–85</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern reformate – octane plus</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>~98–104</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylates</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>93–96</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethers (like MTBE)</td>
<td>not related to gasoline price</td>
<td>1–3 %</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)TX mixtures</td>
<td>not related to gasoline price</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohols (like ethanol and methanol)</td>
<td>not related to gasoline price</td>
<td>5–10 %</td>
<td>111–114</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significant economic advantage can be made from using chemical industry products, waste, or recycled waste as blend components.

...
polymerization of rubber parts inside.”77 The troublesome gasoline line was “causing car and motorbike engines to stall, due to the pore to the Vietnamese market. Reports emerged that this gasoline mixed with acetone from Singapore had already damaged engine fuel systems by the time thousands of vehicle engines, mostly motorcycles and scooters, were adversely affected. Tests conducted later on the gasoline found high levels (10–17 percent) of acetone. Unfortunately, this acetone had already damaged engine fuel systems by the time that the contaminated fuel could be removed from service stations around the country.79

Acetone is a common industrial and household substance (used in nail polish remover, for example), neither present in crude oil nor created during the refining process. With a boiling point of 56°C acetone is within the boiling range of gasoline, hence it can be mixed into gasoline. One news article reported that adding acetone to gasoline could increase fuel companies’ profits fourfold. The two Vietnamese importers laid the blame for the contaminated gasoline with their supplier, Glencore Singapore Ltd., which took back all the adulterated fuel it had supplied to Vietnam.80

According to Paul Deelen, “a professional trader would never mix acetone into gasoline. It is well known that acetone is an aggressive solvent that damages rubber, so it is illogical to deliberately mix such high percentages into gasoline. If it was intentionally added, the blender would have limited it to 1 percent or so.”81

Asked about this case, Glencore confirms that it added acetone intentionally, but that the amount was by mistake. “Acetone is a solvent that is an industry recognised and approved additive used to increase the oxygenation properties of gasoline. In 2006, too much acetone was mistakenly added to a shipment of gasoline that was destined for the Vietnamese market. The blending took place in Singapore. As soon as this error was identified, the
majority of the cargo was bought back by Glencore and returned to Singapore for subsequent re-blending in the correct dosage. A small amount of the gasoline was released into the market in Vietnam and compensation was provided to the customer."

As with the Glencore case in Vietnam, Gunvor also delivered gasoline that brought hundreds of cars to a standstill in Lagos, Nigeria, in March 2008. The damage was caused by a 33,000 tonne batch of gasoline, containing 20 percent ethanol (E20 gasoline). Gunvor explains: "Nigeria did not have any standards pertaining to ethanol at that time." According to media reports, around 14,000 tonnes of this gasoline had reached petrol stations in Lagos by the time the problem was spotted.

Gunvor was the supplier and owner of the E20 gasoline, transporting it from the Netherlands where it had been stored (and presumably blended) at its principal blending facility, Oil-tanking Amsterdam (OTA), and reportedly selling it for a low price to Oando PLC, one of Nigeria’s main fuel import companies. According to an industry source, biofuel blends that are unsuitable for the European market may have been blended into African fuels. But we cannot confirm whether or not this was the case, because no details are publicly available about the composition of this E20 gasoline. Gunvor confirms to us that it had blended a high level of ethanol in the gasoline but says that this should not be problematic: "The ethanol blended into the product was not ‘concealed’ whatsoever. [...] As we have been clear in communicating, the product sold had been blended with ethanol, which is a common practice to meet certain environmental standards, including today in places like the United States and Europe. That particular cargo contained a proportion of ethanol similar to that commonly used in gasoline in markets such as Brazil, where motorists have operated vehicles normally for decades." However, Gunvor forgets to mention that Brazil
has been blending ethanol into gasoline since 1975, and Brazilian carmakers have adapted their gasoline engines to run smoothly with this range of mixtures, while in Nigeria they have not.

We do know that this scandal made a lot of people in Nigeria very angry. The Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR) was forced to act, demanding that the poor quality gasoline be sent back to Amsterdam. Oando in turn stated that it would sue Gunvor, accusing the trader of concealing the ethanol content in the fuel.85

Gunvor responded in the media and to us, stating that the facts reported were incorrect, that the gasoline had been controlled during loading in Amsterdam and delivery in Lagos, and that the facts reported were incorrect, that the gasoline had been contaminated by water and mud, among other contaminants, which resulted in the issue with the cars.

The Ezo gasoline was shipped back to OTA in Amsterdam. According to our source, who was close to the case, Dutch prosecutors charged OTA for violation of the EU’s Waste Shipment Regulation by illegally importing waste but neglecting to notify the competent authorities. It is not clear to us why the terminal, and not Gunvor as owner of the waste fuel, was charged. However, the case on OTA was reportedly settled and no more details are available. We do not know what happened to the batch of Ezo gasoline. Gunvor confirmed to us that it had provided information to the Dutch authorities but that it had “effectively demonstrated our compliance with all regulations. Neither Gunvor nor its traders have been found guilty of any violation and no fines were imposed on Gunvor.”

10.3.4 – CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION BY MANGANESE: A CONTROVERSIAL ALTERNATIVE FOR LEAD

Sometimes chemicals are intentionally mixed into fuels in the form of additives – not as a cheap blendstock but to improve the performance or quality of the product. For decades, lead was added to gasoline to increase the octane level, for example. But scientists identified leaded gasoline as a major health and environmental hazard, and a campaign by UNEP and The Partnership for Clean Fuels and Vehicles (PCFV), which began in 2002, eventually led to a near global ban that has been in effect ever since.89 Achim Steiner, UNEP executive director, commented in 2011 on the near global phase out of leaded gasoline: “This will go down in history as one of the major environmental achievements of the past few decades.” But, he added, the cleaner fuel effort still has a long way to go. He was referring to other hazardous vehicle emissions, including the unacceptably sulphurous fuels still being sold in African countries.88

The phasing out of lead additives did not happen smoothly, however. Some still put commercial interests before human health. Between 2000 and 2008, executives from Octel – which subsequently changed its name to Innospec – bribed officials in Iraq and Indonesia millions of dollars to allow the continued sale of leaded gasoline, even after it was banned from western countries on health grounds.86 The executives were subsequently convicted by US and British courts between 2010 and 2014. But Iraq still allows leaded gasoline.

As the regulations restricting the use of lead came into force, a controversial alternative additive, an octane enhancer called Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT), emerged, that we also found in several of our samples (see chapter 6). MMT is produced by Afton Chemical Corporation (formerly Ethyl Corporation) – the company that, for decades, produced a controversial lead additive (tetraethyl).89 According to the producer, MMT can be used in very small amounts, increases octane in an environmentally friendly and cost effective manner, and poses no risks to human health.91

But MMT is based on the heavy metal manganese, a neurotoxin. When burned in gasoline, MMT releases particles such as manganese phosphates, manganese sulphates and manganese oxides into the air. When inhaled, these compounds enter the bloodstream through the lungs and deliver dangerous doses of manganese to the brain. Accumulation of this element can lead to Parkinson’s disease-like symptoms, including loss of motor control, memory loss, and erratic behaviour.92

As with lead, this additive raises serious concerns for public health. In 2009, the European Union effectively banned MMT in European gasoline. As shown in chapter 6, the current maximum level of MMT in Europe makes its use uneconomical and it is not used anymore in European fuels. In the US, manganese is prohibited in reformulated gasoline (which comprises 60 percent of US fuel supply), while the state of California bans it outright.93

According to Paul Deelen, it’s possible that manganese additives are used to increase the octane level of gasolines destined for the African market.94 Indeed, none of the ten African countries, where we took samples, bans manganese in gasoline. Only Ghana restricts manganese to a maximum 18 mg per litre. This is the level recommended by Afton Chemical.95 And two gasoline samples from Senegal and Côte d’Ivoire showed high levels of manganese (see chapter 6).

While ARA countries have de facto banned the use of MMT in their own gasoline, MMT is surely added to gasoline intended for other destinations. We found for example Afton Chemical’s HiTEC 3062 on Hazardous Components Lists collected from tank terminals in Amsterdam (see chapter 11). According to Afton Chemical’s website, its HiTEC ® 3000 Series of products all contain MMT, which enables the delivery of economic and on-specification gasoline – whatever the octane target. “That’s why 150 refineries and blenders in 53 countries are happy to use our solutions.”96 According to the ICCT, MMT is not widely used in western countries, but it is being marketed heavily in developing nations as a convenient and low-cost lead replacement. Afton does not publish the list of countries where its product is sold, and there is no data publicly available to show what volume of MMT is being channelled to Africa.97
In Europe and offshore: where African Quality fuels are produced

- Many African Quality fuels are produced in the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp (ARA) region.

- Swiss trading companies either own or rent extended facilities in the ARA region.

- The waters offshore Lomé, Togo’s capital city, are also a hotspot for blending, which is done aboard tankers and during ship-to-ship (STS) operations.

- We uncovered the way in which a tanker, the Conger, travelled from Amsterdam to West Africa, containing dirty blendstocks for use in gasoline delivered to Lagos and Cotonou.

- Because of the risk of spills, a global ban exists on blending while at sea. This ban is difficult to interpret and we believe that blending at anchor outside ports, and possibly during voyages too, is still a widespread practice.
Every day, thousands of tourists stroll, or cycle, along the roads of Amsterdam. Indeed, the Dutch capital has become increasingly popular with foreign tourists since the turn of the century, welcoming over 7 million people in 2015.1 Just a stone's throw away, however, a different kind of visitor, a tanker, moors at one of the many oil terminals in Amsterdam's port. The vessel's name is Conger and on a July day in 2015, she is about to load and blend a batch of blendstocks destined to become gasoline for sale in West Africa.

In Amsterdam, the blending business operates 24/7. Every day, barges and tankers from all around the world arrive at the port to load or unload products stored in the terminals' tanks. This loading, unloading and blending is highly efficient, since the terminals are modern and well-equipped. The Port of Amsterdam describes itself as "the world's largest gasoline port."²

But the competition is tough, and Amsterdam must compete with the neighbouring ports of Rotterdam and Antwerp for its share of the lucrative petroleum product markets. Together, these three ports form the ARA region, an important hub for the export of fuels to West Africa (see chapter 8) and elsewhere. Its extensive refining and blending capacity contrasts sharply with the lack of refining capacity in West Africa. Close geographically to the Gulf of Guinea, the ARA region also enjoys a strategic positioning which makes it an efficient location to receive petroleum products and blendstocks from the UK, Russia and the Baltic countries. Altogether, this makes the ARA region not only a major transit hub for petroleum products, but also an important location to produce fuels, thanks to modern blending infrastructures and the presence of specialised companies. In short, the ARA region is the perfect place to produce and supply African Quality fuels.

Given their market share in the supply of fuels to West Africa, Swiss trading companies might be expected to use a significant portion of ARA’s infrastructure, such as storage facilities and refineries. They actively participate in the making of African Quality fuels, which are also produced closer to their final markets. To find out more about that, we move to the high seas and see if a profitable deal can be made with the products aboard the ship. The products are either going to Africa or Asia. And in Gibraltar, they can choose. So that is why many automatically report ‘Gibraltar’, but where the tankers are actually going, we do not know.”⁵

According to Henri Van der Weide, Policy Advisor for the Port of Amsterdam, re-directing ships on short notice in order to obtain a higher price is not uncommon: “On some occasions, we don’t know where the ships leaving the port are going. Departing ships indeed have an obligation to report their destination, but in the ships’ tramp trade, this is not always known. The destination reported will therefore be ‘North Sea for orders’ and they go to the anchorage place.”

Gibraltar is also listed as a destination in Rotterdam’s port statistics, which give a clear idea of trade flows. In 2015, Rotterdam imported over 49 million tonnes of mineral oil products (including blendstocks but not crude oil), while more than 39 million tonnes were outgoing.² This makes the port a major hub for petroleum products, receiving them from – and then delivering them to – all around the world.

The data is not disaggregated by product, but Figure 11.1 shows how Russia and Singapore stand out for the size of their imports and exports of oil products. The trade between Rotterdam and Singapore is related to marine bunker fuels for ships, as the two ports rank in the world’s top three for these fuels. Meanwhile, besides bunker fuel, Russia is also an important source of diesel and gasoline blendstocks and final products imported into Rotterdam.

Indeed, Rotterdam’s sources of products and blendstocks partly correspond with those of the two other ports of the ARA region. Van der Hoeven, of the port of Amsterdam, said that many of their fuel blendstocks come from the Baltics (including Russia), as well as from the UK, Rotterdam and the US.⁶ US trade statistics show that the US exported 4.6 million tonnes of ultra-low sulphur diesel products (less than 15 ppm) to the

11.1 – GIBRALTAR MAY BE THE DESTINATION REPORTED, BUT THE REAL DESTINATION IS UNKNOWN

The fuel business is known for being opaque (see chapter 4), although port authorities do, in fact, provide some limited data, trade statistics, and information on the sulphur content of products traded. Statistics from the Port of Amsterdam confirm that West Africa is a major destination for petroleum products, and in 2013, four West African countries were among the ten countries receiving the most commodities from Amsterdam, of which a large share are composed of petroleum products.³ According to Rutger Van der Hoeven, a manager in the commercial division of the Port of Amsterdam, petroleum products are made to specification, mainly for the UK, North America, South America, and West Africa. The products also go to Europe, since Amsterdam has a strategic position to supply the hinterland.⁴ Overall, the Amsterdam terminals handled around 42 million tonnes of oil products coming in and out the port, including approximately 20 million tonnes of diesel, in 2014.

The authorities also compile information on all ships, which leave the ports of Amsterdam, Rotterdam and Antwerp. These vessels are required to report their destination and connect to a system that provides information on the last ten port calls of any given ship.

However, the reported destination does not always match the real or final destination, as Rose-Marie Pype, Commercial Manager for the Port of Antwerp, explained: “Gibraltar suddenly became a very big destination. But what happens in Gibraltar? It’s just a little rock with some storage tanks. What happens in reality is that departing tankers, which report Gibraltar as their destination, actually put the cargo on the market [to see] if a profitable deal can be made with the products aboard the ship. The products are either going to Africa or Asia. And in Gibraltar, they can choose. So that is why many automatically report ‘Gibraltar’, but where the tankers are actually going, we do not know.”⁵

According to Henri Van der Weide, Policy Advisor for the Port of Amsterdam, re-directing ships on short notice in order to obtain a higher price is not uncommon: “On some occasions, we don’t know where the ships leaving the port are going. Departing ships indeed have an obligation to report their destination, but in the ships’ tramp trade,” this is not always known. The destination reported will therefore be ‘North Sea for orders’ and they go to the anchorage place.”

Gibraltar is also listed as a destination in Rotterdam’s port statistics, which give a clear idea of trade flows. In 2015, Rotterdam imported over 49 million tonnes of mineral oil products (including blendstocks but not crude oil), while more than 39 million tonnes were outgoing.² This makes the port a major hub for petroleum products, receiving them from – and then delivering them to – all around the world.

The data is not disaggregated by product, but Figure 11.1 shows how Russia and Singapore stand out for the size of their imports and exports of oil products. The trade between Rotterdam and Singapore is related to marine bunker fuels for ships, as the two ports rank in the world’s top three for these fuels. Meanwhile, besides bunker fuel, Russia is also an important source of diesel and gasoline blendstocks and final products imported into Rotterdam.

Indeed, Rotterdam's sources of products and blendstocks partly correspond with those of the two other ports of the ARA region. Van der Hoeven, of the port of Amsterdam, said that many of their fuel blendstocks come from the Baltics (including Russia), as well as from the UK, Rotterdam and the US.⁶ US trade statistics show that the US exported 4.6 million tonnes of ultra-low sulphur diesel products (less than 15 ppm) to the
The port of Amsterdam has eight oil terminals with around 400 storage tanks (large and small), generating a total storage capacity of over 5 million m³. Rutger van der Hoeven, Commercial Manager for the Port of Amsterdam, explained how the port developed competitive advantage based on its storage facilities specially built for the efficient blending of gasoline. “Our clients’ demands are complex,” he said, but the layout and logistics of the terminals can accommodate this complexity. Small tanks are best for gasoline, which is an intensively blended product, he said. “We have these. It would not be logical for Amsterdam to position itself as a hub for crude or fuel oil, products that are transported by bigger tankers.” Amsterdam is best suited for receiving the smaller tankers that carry gasoline or diesel.

Antwerp has experienced constant growth over the past years. In 2013, it even overtook Amsterdam in terms of volume, with a throughput of 43 million tonnes of petroleum products, against 39 million tonnes for the Dutch city. According to Rose-Marie Pype from the Port of Antwerp, this growth stems both from the land available for expansion and existing storage capacity. “We have risen to a 6.9 million [m³] capacity. This is still increasing. And it does not include the storage capacity of the oil majors’ refineries, like Total and ExxonMobil.”

Also very relevant when it comes to blending, and valuable for trading companies, Antwerp has an historical connection with the chemical industries. It claims to be Europe’s largest “maritime (petro) chemical cluster”, with major active refineries and where seven of the global top ten chemical producers have production plants. Several of the port’s storage tank terminals specialise in chemical storage, while others are equipped to store and to blend mineral oils and petroleum products. These provide the traders with more options to purchase inexpensive, often poor quality, blendstocks. One example is naphtha processed by the chemical industry in a process called steamcracking. This process produces for the plastics industry. Its by-products, including pyrolysis gasoil and pyrolysis gasoline – known as pygas – can be sold to fuel blenders.

One other factor explains Antwerp’s growth: the arrival of Swiss trading companies.

---

**Figure 11.1 – Rotterdam: imports and exports of oil products (2013)**
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11.1.2 – NO PATIENCE FOR WAITING: SWISS TRADING COMPANIES’ BLEND IN THE ARA REGION

The ARA region’s blending facilities, mainly the oil storage terminals, are heavily used by Swiss trading companies. One of Amsterdam’s terminals belongs to a Swiss trading company: VTTI’s Eurotank Amsterdam (ETA), a fully owned subsidiary of Vitol. Most terminals such as VOPAK, Oiltanking Amsterdam (OTA) and Nustar, are independent of traders or majors. Instead, commodity traders and other players, including the Swiss, rent their storage and blending tanks.

Oiltanking Amsterdam (OTA), which leases part of its facility to Gunvor, promotes itself as “a first class service provider for storage and blending of gasoline. Being located so close to the refineries in the Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp (ARA) region, our clients are well positioned to collect the various components needed to blend gasoline and other oil products.” OTA is Amsterdam’s busiest oil terminal, with an average four or five tankers at its jetties at any given time. Since 2006, it has been the principal gasoline blending facility of Gunvor, which claims to operate 292,000 m³ of storage capacity under a long-term contract. Gasoline blended here can be shipped to anywhere in the world, though it is mostly sent to North America and West Africa. When asked whether OTA is still one of Gunvor’s main blending and storage facilities for gasoline and gasoil and if there are any other terminals in the ARA region where it rents tanks for storage and blending, Gunvor stated that it could not comment as “it relates to commercially sensitive information.” Gunvor’s bond prospectus stated that, in 2012, “Gunvor had approximately 20 percent of its blended volumes under term contracts, mostly from Russian sources. Russian-sourced gasoline is currently transported by rail to the port of Riga (Lithuania) on the Baltic Sea. It is either shipped to Amsterdam for further blending or directly to US blenders, depending principally on pricing considerations.”

Similarly, Vitol’s VTTI Eurotank boasts that its “key strengths are jetty flexibility coupled with a capacity for complex blending.” A representative of Eurotank Amsterdam (ETA) went on to explain the terminal’s two competitive advantages over its biggest competitors, VOPAK and OTA: “We are located on three territories with water in between, which means that we have a lot of jetties, making the terminal very flexible for receiving several seaships and inland vessels at the same time. The other advantage we have is that the new tanks each have four pipelines connected to the pumping room, making ETA very flexible and quick. This is also beneficial for blending.”

Louis Monninkhof and Ronald Backers from the Port of Rotterdam stress the importance of the port of trading companies, which arrived around 15 years ago. The port’s clients are the ship-owners whose ships pay harbour fees when visiting the port, and the terminals that rent the terrain. But the port tries to influence the clients of their clients, the ones who own the cargo. And these are often the trading companies. According to Backers, the cargo owners want “optionality”. In other words they want more choice on how to get value from the Port of Rotterdam, through product transfers, ship-to-ship operations, and choice of terminals.

However, the port authorities of Rotterdam would preferably not let trading companies own their own terminals, as this is not an efficient use of land or existing terminal capacity. However, this contradicts the traders’ ambitions, who aim to control access to assets considered as key to their operations. Moreover, trading companies are reluctant to use the facilities belonging to direct competitors. For instance, “Glencore moved to Antwerp some years ago, as they insisted on having their own terminal capacity which could at that time not be offered by the existing ones,” explains Louis Monninkhof.

This is why, despite Rotterdam’s best efforts and its status as the biggest port in Europe, it has not been able to match the attraction to traders of Antwerp. Rose-Marie Pype from the Port of Antwerp explained why traders accelerated the enormous growth of Antwerp as a hub for petroleum products: “In 2000 – just before the economic boom – the traders discovered Antwerp because Rotterdam was always full of large tankers with crude oil. They had to wait, but there is one thing that those people do not have and that is patience. They prefer a jetty where they are the boss. These same players have, in a relatively short time [the last 10–15 years], added enormously to the storage of petroleum products here in Antwerp.”

Figure 11.2 provides an overview of the assets and blending facilities of Swiss traders in the ARA region.

11.2 – BLENDSTOCKS UNCOVERED IN AMSTERDAM

There are hundreds of gasoline and diesel blendstocks on the market (see chapter 10). Terminal tanks must therefore store many different blend components and products. We visited the Port of Amsterdam to take a closer look at the blendstocks stored and some of the recipes for African Quality fuels.

Under Dutch law, which implements European regulation for the prevention of major accidents such as those in Seveso (Italy) or Bhopal (India), all companies dealing with dangerous components are obliged to keep an up-to-date list of the hazardous components present on their premises and to ensure that this list can be seen by anyone. When we visited the oil terminals in Amsterdam and asked to see their “Hazardous Compounds Lists”, the terminals agreed to show us the lists but remained tight-lipped about their specific clients. They said this was highly confidential information, making it virtually impossible for us to know which company was handling a given product, and, more importantly, were the latter was going to be sold. A Eurotank Amsterdam representative said the clients would be identifiable from the names of the blendstocks, and so by disclosing the list of hazardous components, he would be disclosing the names of the terminal’s clients. Several weeks later, he handed over a short list of hazardous components.

In fact, we collected several lists of hazardous compounds, which had been stored in Amsterdam’s tank terminals on different dates in 2014, 2015 and 2016.
Swiss traders operate extensive facilities for storage and blending throughout the ARA region. This figure gives details of berths, jetties, numbers of tanks, and total capacity. It is not exhaustive, because many lease agreements are not public. Nor does it cover lease agreements for petroleum products such as LPG, biodiesel, or fuel oil.
What lies beneath? Tanks in the port of Amsterdam, Netherlands, June 2016 | © Carl De Keyzer – Magnum
11.3 – OFFSHORE LOMÉ: A BLENDING HOTSPOT FOR THE WHOLE REGION

“Up to a few years ago, maybe four in ten tankers in Amsterdam would set sail to Lagos, Nigeria. Now all the tankers departing for West Africa are destined for Lomé. Sometimes we hear Tema, but we hardly hear Lagos anymore,” said one person working in the port of Amsterdam and in contact with the ships.

While Europe certainly has the infrastructure to create African Quality fuels, the blending is also done offshore the West African coast. Most West African ports, such as Lomé (Togo), Tema (Ghana) and Cotonou (Benin), are too small to receive a large number of tankers or have limited draft, which prevents the larger European tankers from entering. So, the oil products would set sail to Lagos, Nigeria. Now all the tankers departing the port of Amsterdam and in contact with the ships.

But the charges are significantly lower than the ones asked in Amsterdam, for example, which can explain why the waters of Lomé anchorage, an STS zone situated a few nautical miles offshore and visible from the port, has become very popular. Piracy in the Gulf of Guinea is another one (see box 11.1). Piracy in the Gulf of Guinea is another one (see box 11.1).

While Europe certainly has the infrastructure to create African Quality fuels, the blending is also done offshore the West African coast. Most West African ports, such as Lomé (Togo), Tema (Ghana) and Cotonou (Benin), are too small to receive a large number of tankers or have limited draft, which prevents the larger European tankers from entering. So, the oil product

Components found in Amsterdam’s tank terminals

This figure provides a summary of different products, blendstocks and additives. Tricky (potentially unhealthy) blendstocks or additives that might be used for blending into African Quality fuels, are marked in red.
of piracy, leading to rising insurance premiums, have pushed tankers to go the extra miles to Lomé.36

In addition to the daughter vessels, regionally-operating barges receive gasoline, diesel, blendstocks or other products from mother ships at Lomé, then deliver them to the regional ports, from Dakar, in Senegal, to Luanda, in Angola. These ports supply fuels to coastal and landlocked West African countries by truck, train, and, occasionally, pipeline too.

To supply the region, various patterns coexist among the different companies. “For example, Total uses smaller vessels coming from Europe that stop in every port to supply the countries where they own petrol stations. I also call it taxi-stop. But the likes of Trafigura and Vitol, they don’t bother: they just go with big tankers from Europe to Lomé and then blend and proceed STS to smaller vessels. All to Lomé, where they own offshore barges. But you have to be big to do so,” a Geneva-based trader said.37

In the waters offshore Lomé, fuel products are blended according to country specifications either during or after the STS operations. “The international traders, which deliver products to importers, either buy high sulphur products from the port of origin or low sulphur products and blend them offshore Lomé before reaching Ghana,”43 explained Emmanuel Quartey, a former refinery worker and consultant for the African Refiners Association. Trafigura, Gunvor and Litasco keep barges permanently offshore Lomé. “They can handle big volumes,” one trader from Cotonou commented. “Blending there is where real money is made.”44 This is in line with Gunvor’s own publicity on their gasoil trading in West Africa: “Gunvor has access to a floating storage of 65,000 metric tonnes off Cotonou in Benin. Access to this floating storage facility allowed Gunvor to become one of the most active gasoil [diesel] trading companies in West Africa, with 1.4 million metric tonnes sold in the region in 2012, mostly to Gabon, Nigeria and Ghana.”45 When asked to provide us with more details, Gunvor responded that “market conditions have changed, and we no longer have this storage.”

Platts further reported that Geneva-based Trafigura rented “at least” two very large crude carriers under time charter agreements to transport diesel from South Korea to West Africa46 – a “rare” move, as these large tankers are usually meant for crude. One of these vessels was “taken with a six month storage option in West Africa,” meaning it may serve as floating storage to supply the regional market, most likely offshore Lomé. The Swiss Addax and Oryx Group is also very active offshore Lomé. “It’s an interesting hub from a tax perspective,” an employee of Oryx Energies in Benin explained.47

We describe the cases of two tankers below to give a sense of the practices at Lomé anchorage. We draw upon confidential information derived from several ship tracking and chartering databases, as well as interviews with experts working in the port of Amsterdam in contact with the ships. Despite the occasional contradiction in the source information, we have managed to piece together the cases as accurately as possible.48 The journeys of the Conger and the Marianne Kirk are two concrete examples of African Quality gasoline and diesel sailing from...
Amsterdam to West Africa through Lomé. Both vessels were chartered by Swiss trading companies.

11.3.1 – CONGER, A DIRTY GASOLINE “BLENDBOAT” IN LOMÉ

It is 15 July 2015, a sunny mid-summer’s day in the port of Amsterdam. The Conger, a 75,000 DWT combined chemical and oil tanker (CCOT), sails the short trip, a few hundred meters, from Oiltanking Amsterdam, a terminal leased to Gunvor, to VOPAK’s terminal in the Africa harbour.

Its crew comprises young sailors from Ukraine, Georgia and Russia who regularly man the voyage transporting petroleum products from ARA to West Africa. The tanker is operated by Prime Marine Management, the same company that operated Probo Koala during the caustic washings in 2006. And her charterer today is the controversial Swiss trader Mocoh for a “load of 60,000 tonnes UMS ( unleaded motor spirit) in ARA for discharge in West Africa.” Mocoh told us that the “product was purchased as a finished grade of gasoline meeting the specifications of the market to where the gasoline was to be delivered [...] We are not blenders nor refiners but rather shippers.”

Once at VOPAK, the terminal metal pipes are hooked into the tanker’s belly, and the process to blend a batch of gasoline is commenced using the following blendstocks:
- Light Virgin Naphtha
- Benzene additive
- Pygas
- Reformate aromatics (> 50 %)
- Cracked naphtha
- Light catalytic cracked gasoline.

We showed this recipe to Ton Visser, an oil blending expert, who described this gasoline blend as a “likely African blend, too tricky for a European gasoline,” adding that the pygas is a particularly controversial product due to its odour and high benzene content (if not debenzinised). Apart from the Light Virgin Naphtha, the other blendstocks are also potentially hazardous (high level of sulphur, aromatics or benzenes) if not treated in a refinery (see also chapter 10). More information would be needed on the proportion of each blendstock used, and the properties of the final product, to confirm whether this is indeed a typical African blend. As to share the exact composition of the gasoline (for example the benzene level), Mocoh was unwilling to share this information with us.

Laden with the blended gasoline, the Conger sets sail for the Lomé anchorage, arriving at her final destination on 1st August 2015. She stays there for three weeks, protected by armed guards, while she conducts STS operations with at least two other tankers, both smaller, we assume, to unload her gasoline. At first, the Conger transfers on 3rd August the gasoline to the Elohim, coming from Namibia, and then discharges her cargo in Lagos and returns to Lomé. Second, on 19th and 20th August, the Conger conducts another STS with Winter Oak who sails to Cotonou, at Oryx Energies’ oil terminal. After that, Winter Oak continues to Sierra Leone, where Oryx Energies is almost the sole supplier (see chapter 5).

The Conger was carrying more gasoline than she was able to discharge onto the Elohim and Winter Oak, suggesting that more STS operations took place during her three weeks offshore Lomé. We don’t know the exact whereabouts of the Conger during that period, because her AIS was lost for certain intervals. This made it difficult to follow her using the live tracking software, but we think she conducted STS operations with at least two additional tankers.

After almost three weeks, the Conger leaves Lomé, during the night of 20th – 21st August. Her next destination is Las Palmas de Gran Canaria in the Canary Islands, where Oryx Energies also has a major storage facility, “strategically placed on the main commercial route between Europe, West Africa and the Americas.”

In Las Palmas, the Conger’s name is scrubbed off her hull and replaced with “Eagle Ray”. Her new owner is unknown but she continues to be managed by Prime Marine Management and sail under the Marshall Islands’ flag.

Under her new name, the Eagle Ray stays on the ARA to West Africa route, transporting a cargo from Antwerp to Lomé and Luanda in October. Back in Europe, ST Shipping – a shipping subsidiary of Glencore – charters the Eagle Ray in December to load 60,000 tonnes of petroleum product in Rotterdam for discharge in Lomé. This will be her last voyage as Eagle Ray.

Exactly five months after receiving a new name in Las Palmas, the Eagle Ray has her name scrubbed off again, this time while in Gibraltar in early February 2016. Her new name, “Wembly”, is painted on the hull. Her commercial operator is the UK based Union Maritime Limited and her new technical manager is the German company Bernard Schulte Shipmanagement. Also under this new name, the tanker stays on the West Europe to West Africa route in the first half of 2016. She transports cargoes loaded in Ventspils (Latvia) and Klaipeda (Lithuania) for discharge in Lomé and Luanda.

In less than a year, the same vessel has sailed under three different names and owners. Only its target remained: delivering fuels to West Africa. On at least one occasion, these fuels were dirty.

11.3.2 – MARIANNE KIRK, A REGULAR VISITOR IN WEST AFRICA

Marianne Kirk is a 51,000 DWT combined chemical and oil tanker. In autumn 2014, she is chartered by Litasco to deliver 37,000 tonnes of “gasoil”, probably diesel, to West Africa. Arriving from Skoldvik (Finland) and Riga (Latvia), the tanker stops in Amsterdam for a few days in early November to load the product. Her next stop is Lomé.

While the Marianne Kirk and her Filipino crew await new orders for discharge at Lomé anchorage, she drifts far off the coastline to minimise the risk of piracy. Tankers, like the Marianne Kirk, often drift for several weeks as floating storage until all their cargo has been discharged. On this occasion, the Marianne Kirk stays eight days in and around Lomé anchorage before setting sail for Luanda.

This will not be the last time that the Marianne Kirk delivers petroleum products to West Africa. She is regularly chartered by ST Shipping (a shipping subsidiary of Glencore), Mercuria, and Sahara Energy.
In June and July of 2015, Sahara Energy charters the tanker to take 38,000 tonnes of jet fuel from Trinidad to Lomé. From there, she sails to Amsterdam where she loads products from Gunvor’s Oiltanking Amsterdam between the 26th and 28th July. The tanker then travels to Lomé anchorage again, where she stays from 12th August until 3rd September. At Lomé anchorage, the Marianne Kirk meets the 75,000 tonne tanker Torm Estrid on 1st and 2nd September.

The Torm Estrid has also come from Amsterdam where she was in the Africa harbour at VOPAK between 6th and 11th August. The two ships probably met in Lomé to perform a blending operation.

11.4 – BLENDING OFFSHORE: ANARCHY IN THE WATERS

According to lawyers from the international firm Reed Smith, there are two main benefits of “blending, doping and dyeing on board.” First, there is no need for onshore blending equipment and storage tanks, so it avoids additional costs and logistical bottlenecks. Second, it allows products to be sourced from a wide geographical area and at short notice, thus avoiding waiting time for products to be available at the same port.56 The natural motion of the vessel during voyage also facilitates the product mixing.57

Specialists from SGS subsidiary Laroute Cargo Treatment, based in Zug, Switzerland, provide oil majors and traders a helping hand: “Onboard blending offers many advantages to suppliers and trading organisations, giving you the opportunity to prepare a cargo to the required specifications without the need for onshore facilities. Our specialists can help you get the best results through laboratory hand blends and with the use of our own blending specific software.”58

STS is undertaken by manoeuvring two vessels to berth together. It can be done while moored alongside the quay, to dolphins or to buoys within port limits, at anchorage offshore or in transit, either steaming or drifting freely with current and the weather. When two vessels are moored together, fenders are used by the STS service providers to prevent contact between the two ships. Hoses then connect the vessels to transfer the cargo from one to the other. A typical STS blending operation involving the transfer of 30,000 tonnes of oil products and using two manifold connections with a loading rate of 1,500 m³/hour will take about 24 hours – four hours for the approach, mooring, hose connection and departure, plus 20 hours for the product transfer.60 A single vessel can discharge to one or to several other ships.62 By discharging from more than one ship, different products can be blended to form a new product in the “receiving ship.”63

11.4.1 – RISKY BUSINESS: SHIP-TO-SHIP TRANSFERS AND ONBOARD BLENDING

While it is cheap and convenient for traders to blend products on board tankers, these operations are risky. On top of the risks for the tankers, STS transfers can also be highly hazardous. Many countries ban STS in their coastal waters due to the potential for oil spills. One expert warns that the operations need to be carefully planned and states that the biggest risks occur when ships manoeuvre together and separate again after the cargo transfer. A collision, or “steel to steel”, must be avoided at all costs.64

In 2009, such a collision occurred during an STS between two tankers, the Conger again and the Saetta, off Southwold in the UK. Following the collision, Conger’s operator Prime Marine Management reviewed its risk assessment and procedures for STS operations. It now prohibits vessels from manoeuvring or berthing after sunset.65 Meanwhile, in Lomé, offshore STS operations continue day and night.

Because STS operations provide flexibility and save costs, they have become common around the world. As a result, the MARPOL convention, the main international convention covering prevention of pollution of the marine environment by ships, has been updated to prevent pollution during STS operations. The regulation requires that any oil tanker involved in STS operations has a plan for how to conduct STS operations on board, meeting best practice guidelines developed by, for example, the International Chamber of Shipping (ICS) and the Oil Companies International Marine Forum (OCIMF).66

The OCIMF’s “Ship-to-ship Transfer Guide, Petroleum” provides a list of voluntary industry guidelines for STS operations. It sets out the procedures for masters, marine superintendents and STS service providers for the planning and conduct of cargo transfers. STS service providers and oil majors also meet in the STS EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa) forum to discuss and improve STS practices. An expert, Ruud Cogels, observes conflicting interests at these meetings, since the majors demand safety and environmental protection but want operations to be as cost efficient as possible. “The oil traders are absent from these discussions,” he says, “they just want the operations to be as cheap as possible and are of the opinion that the safety aspect is merely the STS providers’ responsibility.”

An article in the International Shipping News detailed a long list of risks for the logistical operations at sea and the environment involved with blending on board tankers, including: inadequate mixing of the various products; complications when blend components are incompatible; individual blend components are unstable and result in a precipitation of sediment; calculation errors in product quantities resulting in incorrect blends; tank cleaning difficulties following a blending operation, for example, where blending has caused a severe “waxing” that requires both substantial costs to clean the cargo tanks and delays to the ship.67 All these risks can lead to a catastrophe if things go wrong.

A 2011 technical paper on blending on board highlighted further issues around waste, which is difficult to collect, treat, and dispose of adequately following blending on board or at sea. The paper also points out that information is often lacking on the extent to which blending is being done at sea and the problems associated with such practices. “In some cases, ports may refuse to accept these wastes because of such uncertainties or may simply not be capable of handling contaminated slops.”68
Information relating to onboard blending practices is very scarce, although some information can be found with marine insurance companies. Gard, a major marine insurance provider, also acknowledges the negative aspects of onboard blending: “Within the industry, onboard blending is apparently a recognised means by which the cargo may be prepared to specification (if nothing goes wrong) in the vessel’s tanks, normally by volumetrically blending individual components. [...] Compared to other methods of blending, [...], onboard blending is a complex alternative. Some of the negative aspects of this type of blending are: greater number of variables and unknowns; errors are more difficult to fix; physical mixing is limited.”69 Gard recommends that if ship owners are requested by the cargo owners to blend onboard, then this should be agreed in the charter agreement. The Swiss trading company Mercuria, for example, insert agreements on blending onboard in its Charter Agreement.70 Cyrus Mody, of the International Maritime Bureau, in London, says the charter agreement between a ship owner and a charterer, typically a trading company, “must be as detailed as possible”. Stipulating in the contract all the operations, including blending, that may occur during a ship’s voyage, is the best way to avoid disputes. The shipping industry is very old and very complex,” explains Mody. “Illegal deals happen. And you will not find anything on paper. It will not be agreed over the phone but during face-to-face meetings. Yes it happens, especially if there is no need for a financial bank guarantee and if there are a lot of jurisdictions involved.”71

11.4.2 – LOST IN INTERPRETATION? THE GLOBAL BAN ON BLENDING DURING SEA VOYAGES

On 1st January 2014, the IMO introduced a global ban on blending bulk liquid cargoes and other production processes aboard ships during sea voyages. According to Intertanko, whose members own the majority of the world’s tanker fleet, the initial motivations for this ban were concerns about the impact of blending on ship safety.72 But curiously, no one seems to really know whether it is actually in force, while serious difficulties occur when one aims to interpret the definitions.

The “blending ban” is included in SOLAS – the Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea.73 The first part of the ban says “the physical blending of bulk liquid cargoes during sea voyages is prohibited. Physical blending refers to the process whereby the ship’s cargo pumps and pipelines are used to internally circulate two or more different cargoes with the intent to achieve a cargo with a new product designation.”

The second part of the ban stems directly from the 2006 Probo Koala incident (see chapter 2).74 It states that “any production process on board a ship during sea voyages is prohibited. Production processes refer to any deliberate operation whereby a chemical reaction between a ship’s cargo and any other substance or cargo takes place.”75

During the regulation’s drafting process, the initial ban on all blending operations aboard ships at sea was watered down by IMO member states to be just a ban on blending during sea voyages. The International Bunker Industry Association (IBIA) wasn’t unhappy about that intervention, stating that “the long established practice of blending whilst the ship is moored within port limits or alongside a stationary receiving vessel will be allowed to continue.”76

Since the ban came into force, however, its scope and implementation have been uncertain.77 No universal interpretation exists, for example, on where a sea voyage starts and ends. Intertanko simply says that a “sea voyage” is “sailing from one port to another.”78 The marine survey company BMT Surveys highlights certain ambiguities: “Blending operations at an anchorage en-route may not be permissible, for example, where there are no facilities for a quick response to a spillage. Blending at an anchorage within port limits may be permissible depending upon the local port regulations. This poses the question of whether or not it is permissible under the regulations to ‘blend’ during or prior to an offshore STS (ship to ship) operation. Owners should also seek advice from the relevant authorities and from their cargo insurers.”79 Dennis de Bruin from BMT Surveys suggests the IMO could have been more specific in its wording when drafting the regulation.80

Gard, the marine insurance provider, has also received enquires from clients wanting to know how the ban should be interpreted and implemented. It subsequently consulted the IMO for clarification. Gard concludes, first, that “during a sea voyage” refers to the moment when the ship is beyond port limits, and, second, that port authorities are responsible for defining where these limits lie and the circumstances in which blending may be undertaken in the port.81 Our research suggests that port authorities tend either to forbid STS operations offshore or to regulate it. We found no special procedures or requirements for onboard blending.82 And while European countries are increasingly restricting STS operations offshore and moving them into ports because of the risk of oil spills, the West African coastline has numerous meeting places between Dakar and Luanda for tankers, where STS is carried out.83

Regulation regarding onboard production during sea voyage is even less clear. Like the blending ban, the text leaves open the possibility of conducting industrial processes on board while at anchor. However, IMO delegates were not envisaging that any production processes would take place aboard ships, during a voyage or not, when they developed the MARPOL Convention. The MARPOL Convention, the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships,84 covers only the waste that is generated by the ship as a vehicle. This waste includes ballast, oil, lubricants, fuels and other waste generated by the crew during maintenance or voyage, such as paint, sewage, food packaging and food waste. It does not cover the waste generated by industrial processes conducted aboard.85

It is difficult to ascertain whether dangerous and waste-producing production processes such as the caustic soda washing done by Trafigura aboard the Probo Koala in 2006 occur at anchorage, for example offshore Lomé. Knowing more would require the authorities to look deeper into this issue. But we know, however, that these processes have occurred at least one other time, in 2013 in European waters, despite the risks to crew and environment.
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Conclusions: putting people before profit
Thanks to their financial strike force and to alliances built with politically connected partners and dodgy door-openers, Swiss commodity trading companies have become major players in Africa’s downstream market. In certain countries, they have secured dominant positions, demonstrating prodigious appetite and ability to manoeuvre in risky contexts. By purchasing assets such as storage and networks of petrol stations across Africa, the commodity trading companies have increased their optionality, expanded their business, and secured market shares in both their target countries and around the world.

But while this is an opportunity for business, it is a major hazard for African public health. Swiss commodity trading companies as well as others profit from weak fuel standards, especially in West Africa, to sell diesel and gasoline that pollute the environment and damages people’s health. Since they produce and sell more low sulphur fuels than high sulphur fuels, they are certainly capable of providing clean products to Africa, too. But they don’t. The reason for this is simply that cheap blendstocks provide them with higher margins. Trading companies make more profits by supplying dirty fuels than clean ones.

The samples we collected at pumps in eight countries show for the first time what Swiss trading companies sell in Africa. Our findings leave no room for doubt: the diesel they sell contains up to 380 times the European authorised limits on sulphur and more than 630 times the actual levels found in European diesel. On average, the diesel in our samples contained 200 times more sulphur than allowed by the European standard. We also found worrying levels of other toxic substances at the “Swiss pumps” in several African countries, including benzene and polyaromatics. These are regulated in Europe.

These appalling results represent just a snapshot of the reality, but they are backed by official documents collected in Ghana. These documents highlight the very high levels of sulphur in the diesel supplied to Ghana by foreign companies, including many of the largest Swiss traders. Furthermore, the information we gathered at many pumps between Lusaka and Bamako matches with macro level trade statistics. The ARA region, consisting of the main ports of the Netherlands and Belgium, clearly provides the majority of petroleum products sold to West Africa. The data we used even categorises diesel exports by their sulphur content, showing how the ARA region sends high-quality fuels (…) to an increasing number of clients.”1 The RAC region’s legal limits. This North-South trade becomes even more scandalous, when one remembers that West Africa supplies the world, and especially Europe, with some of the highest quality low sulphur crude oil available.

Besides selling dirty fuels, Swiss trading companies also play a decisive role in the supply of these fuels to African nations. This statement is not limited to the four companies that own petrol stations; it includes most of the oil trading companies based in Switzerland, as well as the oil majors and other players. We had access to a database which showed Swiss trading companies chartering 61 percent of the reported product tankers, which navigated the Atlantic from ARA to West Africa in 2014. In the case of Ghana, we could prove not only that they dominate the supply, we could also see what they trade and from where. Again, Swiss trading companies delivering from Europe and the US Gulf were responsible for the imports of most dirty products into Ghana.

The ARA region is a place where blendstocks are gathered from all over the world for blending into final products for different markets. Trading companies describe themselves as logistics pure and simple, transporting goods from wherever they are in excess to wherever they are needed, but our research proves otherwise. Trading companies do not only supply and sell dirty fuels. They deliberately produce them as African Quality too. To achieve this, they use their massive storage capacity, either rented or owned, either onshore or at sea, their refineries, their worldwide network of contacts and their “blending industry” skills that form the bedrock of this entire business model. Their blending strategy is to take advantage of the availability of cheap and dirty blendstocks and of the weak fuel standards in Africa to “tailor”, as they say, products for this market, despite the risks to public health and the damage they may cause to the environment. A careful look at their business model can lead only to the conclusion that these aggressive, risk-taking actors do not behave like responsible corporations.

Simply put, Swiss commodity trading companies put profits before anything else, even before the health of the population, while claiming, as Vivo does for instance in Côte d’Ivoire, that “it uses all the means and tools necessary to ensure the latest international standards of quality […] so that Ivorian consumers benefit from what is best in terms of fuel when going to a Shell petrol stations”. Our findings contravene these glossy CSR-statements. In a corporate video, Trafuriga says that “Across Africa and other developing regions, our supply of affordable high-quality fuel products empowers local businesses.” Vivo Energy is the same, saying that “Our commitment to achieving and maintaining the highest international Health, Safety, Security and the Environment (HSSE) standards is at the heart of our business and is a key differentiator (…) in Africa.” Not to repeat a similar promise made by Oryx Energies, that “Our commitment (…) for Africa means that we take every precaution to minimise the potential impact our products and services may have on the environment.” Commenting on Oryx’s development in Mali, the chairman of the group, Jean Claude Gandur said: “This enables us to supply high-quality fuels (…) to an increasing number of clients.” The reality is quite different. Just to take Mali as an example, Oryx’s diesel in the land-locked country was the worst we found among 25 samples collected in 8 countries, with 380 times more sulphur than allowed by the European limit.

The fact that the dirty products are mainly produced in Europe and the United States of America also highlights the cynicism of Western policy-makers who tolerate the sale of diesel and gasoline to Africa. These policy-makers know that such fuels could never be sold in their countries for the obvious health and environmental reasons. These governments cannot claim ignorance, because the main culprits of this North-South trade, the Netherlands and Belgium, even provide export statistics giving details of sulphur levels in the diesel exported. Policy-makers thus tolerate, at least tacitly, the worrying “regulatory arbitrage” of trading companies that play on double standards.
Of course, trading companies argue that the products they deliver comply with national standards. That is usually true. What is not true, however, is the claim, raised by Puma Energy, that the old African car fleet can’t process European standard ultra-low sulphur fuels. As Fanta Kamakaté, Chief program officer at the International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT) explains: “In our research to date, we have not encountered any documented compatibility issues between older vehicles and lower sulfur fuels. Typically the use of lower sulfur fuels result in lower emissions of sulfur-based pollutants even from older vehicles. The share of older vehicles in a fleet is not a barrier to the introduction of lower sulfur fuels.” The traders’ argument just hides an illegitimate strategy. It might be legitimate to tailor a coffee bean for a given market, to satisfy consumers’ taste or local regulation, but it is not legitimate when public health is at stake. This is the case with fuels. And the Swiss government should recognise the hypocrisy and irresponsibility of enforcing very stringent air quality norms in Switzerland while allowing Swiss companies to flood Africa with dirty fuels that endanger people’s health.

The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, unanimously adopted by the UN Human Rights Council in 2011 as a “global standard of expected conduct for all business enterprises wherever they operate”, leave no doubt that the corporate responsibility to respect human rights “exists over and above compliance with national laws and regulations”.

Because of dirty fuels, African countries will face a major health crisis. As urban areas and the number of cars continue to grow steadily, any improvement in air quality must start with the adoption of tighter standards for diesel and gasoline. Without low-sulphur fuels, emission control technologies in vehicles simply do not operate. As a result, illnesses and deaths because of traffic-related air pollution will dramatically increase across the continent. By moving to ultra-low sulphur diesel, however, Africa could prevent 25,000 premature deaths in 2030 and almost 100,000 premature deaths in 2050. This is to say nothing of the millions more people who could be protected from a wide-range of respiratory diseases. Nor does this take into account the health damaging effects of the high levels of aromatics and benzene in fuels. Now is the time to act.
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Here's how the Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC), a global initiative that unites governments, civil society and private sector, summarises the problem and the solution: "(S)ome countries export fuels that would fail to meet their own domestic standards. Often countries at the bottom of the income scale take whatever poor quality, high-sulfur fuels are offered at the lowest price on the open market – at significant public health and environmental expense. Exporting countries will continue to supply this fuel so long as the market demands it – unless importing nations demand that their fuel meet higher standards. Recent experience from Africa shows countries that have been able to introduce new fuel specifications within 6 to 12 months, the CCAC says. The International Energy Agency (IEA) has also recently called for uniform sulphur fuel standards of 10 ppm for diesel and gasoline, as one of their "policy pillars to avoid or remove air pollutant emissions in the Clean Air Scenario".6

12.1 – THE COST IS NOT AN ISSUE

One important question still remains: Would African economies incur high costs from the adoption of ultra-low sulphur fuels? In Ghana, the importers association tried to frighten politicians with the common misconception that a better standard would dramatically increase prices for consumers (or the spending of governments who subsidise fuel imports). We heard of similar lobbying strategies in other countries, such as Benin or Nigeria. Even taking into account the fact that better standards would save lives and spare billions per year in health expenses across the continent, any government would understandably be intimidated by the idea of its citizens demonstrating angrily in the streets against higher costs of transport and other basic goods.

But we are convinced that the cost argument is unsubstantiated and that the fear of a moderate price increase can never outweigh the benefits to people's health and associated savings.

An examination of recent experience, the price structure of diesel, and recent developments on the continent show that African leaders have little to fear from price increases when they show the political will to improve fuel standards.

First, high-sulphur fuels are not sold cheaply in Africa. In 2016, in all the countries in which we sampled, prices were higher than in the US, where ultra-low sulphur is the norm. Often, they were not much cheaper than in the EU, despite the enormous differences in purchasing power.

Fuel prices are a very complex matter, being determined by many factors such as logistics, taxes, subsidies, and so on. In the US, for example, very low taxes explain why diesel is so cheap. Interestingly, the low taxes bring US diesel prices almost to the cost of producing fuels in refineries then selling them at the pump.

And this brings us to the point. To decide on the economics of whether or not to adopt more stringent standards, one should dismiss government interventions (taxes, subsidies, etc.) and consider only the economic fundamentals that determine the real price difference between high- and low-sulphur diesel.

Generally, "the price difference between a ton of 10 ppm diesel and one of 1,000 ppm sticks to the desulphurisation cost, which is supported by a refinery", says Olivier Lejeune, middle distillates analyst for Platts. This means that "the lower the sulphur content, the higher the cost", says Alan Troner, Houston-based President of Asia Pacific Energy Consulting. So, yes, better standards would come at a cost. But the real question is how much more expensive would it be to produce low-sulphur fuels and what is the impact on prices at the pump?

For the refinery, the difference of the cost of production between a 10 ppm and a 1,000 ppm diesel is estimated to be around US$20 per ton, roughly equal to 1.7 US cents per litre. Operational costs of desulphurisation include the use of energy, hydrogen and catalysts. The estimated US$20 per ton can differ between regions and refineries. But this estimate is supported by refinery expert Paul Deelen and matches the experience from the relevant markets supplying West Africa. These markets are mainly North West Europe (NWE) and the Mediterranean (MED). "Over the past four years, the average difference [between a 1,000 and 10 ppm] remained between US$12 and US$20 per ton on the MED market and between US$15 and US$25 per ton in NWE", reckons Olivier Lejeune. So the extra cost of buying 10 ppm instead of 1,000 ppm diesel would indeed be about 1.7 US cents per litre, but only if all the increase in costs was shifted onto consumers. Filling up a car with a 50 litre fuel tank would then cost about US$ 0.85 dollar extra.

Finally, this figure of 1.7 US cents per litre should be compared to the trading company profits. We have shown in the case of the Probo Koala that Trafigura could make profits of up to 18 US cents per litre. So this shows the room for negotiation between governments and supplying companies. And this is exactly how East Africa was thinking, as we discuss below. It was a success.

Besides the operational costs of desulphurising fuels, many refineries must make an initial investment to desulphurise. A study by the International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT) assessed estimates of the cost to move to ultra-low sulphur fuels for countries who own refineries. "While the increase in fuel prices is modest, the initial investment cost [to upgrade refineries] can be quite large", says the study. The increase in fuel costs due to these investments, which are typically between US$2 billion and US$4 billion, in low-sulphur fuels typically ranges from 0.5 to 2.8 US cents per litre in Brazil, China, India and Mexico. For China, the extra cost was between 1.42 and 1.83 US cents per litre; for India, the cost is lower, between 0.64 and 0.88 US cents per litre. Australia has moved from 1,500 ppm diesel to 50 ppm for an extra cost of 1.11 US cents per litre. A 2003 survey concluded that the extra cost for all of Asia to move from 2,200 ppm diesel to 50 ppm would come to between 2.1 and 3.3 US cents per litre. Moreover, this study used very conservative figures, using figures for the necessary investments "sometimes two to three times the costs assumed by other studies."

Most African countries do not have refineries, which means their decision to adopt stringent standards only applies to imports. Moreover, the countries which run outdated refineries must often import to compensate for their inability to satisfy domestic demand (see recommendations below). African nations would be well advised to improve the specifications on imported fuels at once, knowing that this would come at a minimum cost. East Africa's recent example should further convince those who fear any significant price increases.
12.1.1 – EAST AFRICA’S SUCCESSFUL EXPERIENCE

Since January 2015, five countries of the East African Community (Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Burundi and Rwanda) have had a new low-sulphur content specification of 50 ppm for diesel. Before that, the authorised level had been set at 500 ppm. This move, which dramatically lowered PM emissions, had absolutely no impact on prices. As Edward Mwirigi Kinyua, from the Kenyan Energy Regulatory Commission, explains: “The burden [of the cost] has been pushed to the international traders”, a decision that has “saved the Kenyan public from the extra premium.” Although the specification is now 50 ppm, the benchmark price to import diesel in the country, fixed without premium, remains Platts Arabian Gulf 500 ppm. This means Kenya is now buying 50ppm diesel for the price of 500 ppm. For the same amount of money, it is getting better quality.

This could also mean that the Kenyan public used to pay too much for its fuels before the change of specification. This had been the case in Ghana under the old subsidy regime: the Ghanaian authorities had been subsidising diesel according to a 1,000 ppm benchmark price (Platts’ FOB Rotterdam Barges), while the country had effectively been importing products with much higher levels of sulphur. This meant that importers, together with international traders, had been making extra profits simply by delivering products of lower quality than what they were being paid for (even though they remained within the authorised specification of 3,000 ppm).

So if countries still have concerns about the impact on price of better fuel standards, they should at the very least require international trading companies to fully disclose transactions in order to know clearly what would be the cost. Relying on the industry’s claims is risky, because as we have seen, importers want to keep the low standards in order to maximise their profits. We have shown how Ghanaian importers were making extra profit by delivering fuels of a different quality to the benchmark used to set government subsidies. Sources at the National Petroleum Authority acknowledged to us that they had no idea at what price the importers were buying products on the international markets. This opacity prevents governments from taking the right decisions.

The price at the pump does not need to go up, but what will go down, and what should go down, are the margins and profits of traders, which are currently kept secret from the public.

12.1.2 – FAVOURABLE MARKET OUTLOOK

The East African move also came at the right time from a market perspective. And this context should encourage West Africa to follow the same path towards tighter specifications. There is currently an “oversupply of [ultra-low sulphur] diesel”, says consultant Alan Troner. “Diesel stocks are now at the highest historical levels”, confirms Platts expert Olivier Lejeune. In January 2016, for example, Russia exported record high amounts of 10 ppm diesel to Europe boosted by favourable export duties.

As a result, prices of ultra-low sulphur diesel have come down. As Alan Troner points out, this could change if EU demand takes off, because some old refineries shut down or because Asia returns to faster economic growth. “But such a price increase is unlikely to happen in the coming years, because markets observe now a cycle effect. Many massive investments in refineries, decided over the past decade, are now coming to maturity”, continues Olivier Lejeune. He quotes upgrades of refineries in Russia or giant newcomers in the Middle East (Saudi Arabia, Abu Dhabi) and Asia (India, Singapore). “Demand didn’t follow. So chances are high that the prices will remain low. The trend is rather in favour of Kenya for the next years.”

Singapore-based Platts Senior Managing Editor, Jonathan Nonis, is very straightforward in his conclusion to us: “Therefore, the question on future supply of low-sulphur motor fuels is easy, it is expected to grow, and policy-makers should take the low crude price environment to change to cleaner fuels. East Africa’s move is very progressive and very timely.”

Beyond market issues, African governments might also wish to consider not just the financial costs, but also any health implications and/or other associated costs of air pollution linked to high-sulphur fuels. These are significantly larger than the effects of any fuel price increases. A 2009 study supported by the World Bank and the African Refiners Association concluded that the health gains largely outweigh the costs of upgrading the refineries. By 2020, the estimated refinery costs for upgrades were US$6 billion while the estimated potential health benefits in urban areas was calculated at US$43 billion across sub-Saharan Africa.

Now is the time for African governments to act. They have an opportunity to protect the health of their urban populations, give consumers better fuels that also protect engines and save money for the health budget, which presumably is needed for other pressing health issues. If, however, standards are not improved, then Africa will continue to be the victim of blenders, who exploit the different national standards on fuel specifications to dump cheap and toxic products across the continent.

12.2 – DEMANDS: ACT AND ACT NOW

This report has shown the opacity of the fuel business in Africa. It has demonstrated a business model of regulatory arbitrage and blending on-spec that entails probably one of the most
Interview with Jane Akumu of UNEP –

Jane Akumu is a Programme Officer in the Transport Unit, Energy Branch of the Division of Technology, Industry and Economics. Prior to joining UNEP in 2004, she worked for the Government of Kenya, first at the Ministry of Planning, then at the Ministry of Energy as head of the Petroleum Monitoring Unit. She holds a Bachelor’s degree from the University of Nairobi and a Master of Arts degree in Economics from Carleton University in Canada.

Travelling tirelessly from her desk in Nairobi across the whole of Africa to meet government officials and other stakeholders to improve sulphur standards in fuels, Jane tells us that she is currently focused on supporting West and Southern Africa regions in introducing low-sulphur diesel fuels. Her work is part of the Partnership for Clean Fuels and Vehicles (PCFV) and funded by the Climate and Clean Air Initiative (CCAC). According to Jane the objective of the CCAC is also to reduce black carbon emissions, an important short-lived climate pollutant. The main focus of the CCAC is on reducing soot emissions from heavy duty diesel vehicles (buses and trucks). Vehicles have also attracted Jane’s interest. She is busy initiating cleaner vehicle discussions in the region through a programme called the Global Fuel Efficiency Initiative (GFEI). The GFEI aims at doubling the efficiency of newly imported vehicles in the region by promoting policies that favour import cleaner fuel economy vehicles in countries. But this is dependent on low-sulphur fuels.

What is the role of UNEP with regards to cleaner fuels?

UNEP started to work on the adoption of cleaner fuels in developing and transitional countries after the formation of the Partnership for Clean Fuels and Vehicles (PCFV) at the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg, South Africa, in September 2002. UNEP was selected as the secretariat for the PCFV. At the time, it was realised that while developed countries were implementing various policy measures to reduce their vehicle emissions so as to improve urban air quality through cleaner fuels and vehicles standards, the reverse was true in developing countries. Three focus areas were identified to cost-effectively reduce vehicle emissions. These are the elimination of lead in gasoline; the phase down of sulphur in diesel and gasoline fuels; and the adoption of cleaner vehicle technologies. The initial priority of the PCFV was the phasing out of leaded petrol in sub-Saharan Africa as only 1 country of the 49 countries then had eliminated leaded petrol.

Why is it important that African countries move quickly to ultra-low sulphur fuels, as so many of them still allow high sulphur in fuels?

Many studies have provided the much needed evidence on the environmental, health and vehicle benefits of ultra-low sulphur fuels. In 2012, the World Health Organisation classified diesel emissions as a leading contributor to lung cancer among other upper respiratory illnesses. It is important to note that developed countries have already adopted ultra-low sulphur fuels. Ultra-low sulphur fuels are key for the efficient running of vehicle emission control technologies. African countries can leapfrog to reduced vehicle emissions through the adoption of ultra-low sulphur fuels and cleaner vehicle emission standards.

What are the main obstacles on getting African countries to move?

There are three main challenges to countries in moving to low-sulphur fuels. The number one obstacle is limited awareness on the potential benefits of cleaner fuels and vehicle standards, followed by the lack of cleaner fuels and vehicle standards, and finally the existence of old and obsolete refineries that need upgrading to produce ultra-low sulphur fuels.

Is it your experience that it is easier to improve the standard on fuels when the country relies on imports for its supply rather than on a local refinery? What are the key obstacles for importing countries to move?

It is relatively easier for importing countries to adopt ultra-low sulphur fuels. However we also see that even for importing countries, there may be other obstacles such as infrastructure facilities especially for land-locked countries which have to rely on other countries with ports, or if one country has a significant fuel market share in the sub-region thus dictating the fuel import standard.

What are the lessons learned from the recent positive East African experience?

One of the key lessons from East Africa is the importance of developing and adopting regionally harmonized standards due to the interdependence of countries in any sub-region. The sensitisation of the public and policy-makers is equally crucial.

Would the adoption of an ultra-low sulphur standard in fuels be costly for African consumers and/or governments?

On the contrary, the adoption of ultra-low sulphur fuels will save governments income. For example in Kenya, vehicle emissions have been estimated to cost the country about US$ 1 billion annually. This is the economic loss due to vehicle emission pollutants related illnesses and deaths in monetary terms for patients treated. In countries where low-sulphur fuels have been introduced, there was no price differential. However moving to ultra-low sulphur fuels may come at a small premium, but the benefits outweigh the costs.

In this campaign, what is the success you have been most proud of with?

The elimination of leaded petrol in the sub-Saharan Africa region as well as the progressive reduction of sulphur level in diesel fuels from predominantly high levels of over 10,000 ppm to 50–500 ppm in many countries.
complex supply chains in our globalised economy. Nevertheless, the improvement of air quality in African cities and the protection of people’s health is possible. It needs neither rocket science, countless measures, or lengthy negotiations. Decisive steps by four different sets of actors would be enough:

African governments (and other governments with weak fuel standards) should set stringent fuel quality standards of 10 ppm sulphur for diesel and gasoline, and introduce European-style limits on other health damaging substances (benzene, polyaromatics, etc.).

In the successful East African experience five countries moved together, but it is important to note that individual countries can move on their own. Individual governments should not be convinced by arguments about the small size of some markets or higher freight costs because European tankers lifting the products are destined for the entire region. In the case of Ghana, the tankers often go directly to the port of Tema, meaning that country could perfectly well adopt its own standard. Moreover, product tankers have different tanks that enable a charterer to deliver different fuels to different markets in the same voyage. And our research has shown that trading companies often “tailor” their products offshore Lomé and elsewhere through ship-to-ship operations to meet the various specifications of the different countries where they finally deliver. Governments cannot avoid action on the grounds that they must wait for others to adopt stricter standards, although a coordinated approach by a group of West African countries could help to overcome internal resistance.

No should outdated African refineries be a reason to delay the adoption of stringent standards. African refineries should urgently be modernised so that they can supply ultra-low sulphur fuels. In doing so, African countries could free themselves from import dependency and be self-sufficient in ultra-low sulphur fuels. The continent could even export high-quality fuels, with higher value added, instead of squandering their valuable crude oil in exchange for the lowest possible quality of diesel and gasoline, which damages the health of African citizens.

Refinery upgrades need big investments and these take time to plan. If governments think they can’t strengthen the standards because they fear putting their national refinery out of business, then they can still adopt different standards for fuels produced nationally and for fuels which are imported. As we have seen, refinery capacity is usually far from being enough for national markets, so improving the quality of imports would have an immediate effect on air pollution. Such a decision could be taken within days by any African country, including refinery-owning countries such as Senegal, Ghana and Nigeria. Of course, such a solution would only be a first step to respond to the urgent health issues related to fuel quality. But under certain conditions this single step might be justifiable until the refinery can be modernised.

The international donor community should give the highest priority to financing state of the art desulphurising technologies in African refineries. The required investment of around US$300 million per refinery upgrade is a huge expense for many African governments. But since air pollution caused by high sulphur, low-quality fuels creates even higher costs than this over time, the investment cost should not be a reason for inaction. To overcome financial and state budget constraints the international donor community should finance refinery upgrades when coupled with a binding roadmap towards stringent standards.

Swiss trading companies should stop abusing double standards on fuel qualities, and with immediate effect produce and sell only high-quality ultra-low sulphur (European standard) fuels worldwide.

The fact that Swiss trading companies are still legally able to sell health damaging fuels in many African countries does not make this a legitimate business. Any company that is genuinely concerned about social responsibility would not sell a product that is so clearly damaging both to people’s health and to the environment. This is even more true when the company already produces a better alternative, as Swiss trading companies do when they sell quality fuels to Europe or the United States.

The governments of countries which act as export hubs for African fuels (such as the Netherlands, Belgium, or the United States) should prohibit the export of any health-damaging fuels or blendstocks which do not meet the legal standards of their own countries.

Problematic blendstocks and final gasoline and diesel blends with a high content of health-damaging substances like sulphur, benzene and polyaromatics should only be allowed for export if the exporter can prove that the blendstock or final blend will be further treated to remove the health-damaging substances. The governments of the major exporting countries – the Netherlands, Belgium, and the USA – should take the lead on regulating the export of problematic blendstocks and final blends.

In addition they should act immediately by enforcing existing law: they should prevent the mixing of blendstocks, that are considered waste under national legislation, into fuels destined for African or other fuel markets.

The Swiss government should implement mandatory human rights and environmental due diligence requirements for Swiss companies, covering the entire supply chain and including potentially damaging products.

According to the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) the responsibility to respect relates to all “products and services”. Companies selling a product which potentially violates the right to health, for example, should assess this risk in their human rights due diligence processes.

African Quality fuels damage people’s health. This is just one of many examples that show how companies do not voluntarily and fully apply the UNGPs. Their human rights due diligence procedures are lacking or are incomplete. This is why international pressure is now growing to make human rights due diligence mandatory. In Switzerland, this dynamic is supported by the Responsible Business Initiative that will be voted on by the end of the decade.

Low-quality fuels extract a major cost in terms of damage to health and air pollution. This situation is absolutely unnecessary. African Quality fuels serve no other purpose than to increase the profits of a few companies and individuals. The problem could be solved almost from one day to the next. The time to act is now.
African Quality
Low-quality fuels primarily characterised by their high sulphur content, though the term is also used for fuels exhibiting other low-quality aspects like high olefinic or aromatic content. “African Quality” is the term used by the industry for fuels destined for African markets.

Blendstock
A refined oil product or chemical that is combined (blended) with other products to produce a finished petroleum product.

Bunkering
The process of supplying a ship with marine fuel, usually residual fuel oil or marine gasoil.

Feedstock
Crude oil or an intermediate oil product used as a base to produce something else. Straight run fuel oil and naphtha, when used as feedstocks, are further processed by a refinery or a petrochemical plant.

Gasoil/Gas Oil
An oil product suitable for diesel engines and/or heating. Commonly used to fuel cars (also referred to as Automotive Gas Oil in the industry or diesel), trucks, ships, power stations or as heating oil. Also used as a feedstock.

Low-Sulphur Fuel
A fuel with a sulphur content of around 50 ppm or less (~50 ppm), which because of its low sulphur content, enables the use of advanced control technologies for diesel vehicles. Diesel particulate filters can be used with low sulphur fuel but only achieve approximately 50% control efficiency. Selective catalytic reduction can be used for over 80% control of NOx emissions.

Middle Distillates
The group of refined oil products coming out of the middle part of a crude distillation unit – typically includes diesel, gasoil and jet fuel.

Naphtha
A fraction of gasoline used as a petrochemical feedstock and as a feedstock for further processing to make a high octane gasoline blending component from low octane naphtha.

Petroleum Products
The group of finished and intermediate products obtained from the processing of crude oil, natural gas and other hydrocarbon compounds. Gasoline, diesel and jet fuel are the most commonly known.

Specifications
A standard defining a set of chemical properties for a refined (and/or blended) oil product. Petroleum products have standard specifications related to the consumer market.

Ultra-Low Sulphur Fuel
A fuel with a sulphur content of around 10 ppm or less (~10 ppm) that allows for the use of NOx absorbers, increasing NOx control to over 90% in both diesel and gasoline vehicles. This enables engine design to be more fuel-efficient. Particulate filters achieve maximum efficiency with ultra-low sulphur fuels, approaching 100% control of particulate matter.
ANNEX 1 – A SUMMARY OF STS OPERATIONS IN WHICH THREE BATCHES OF WASHED COKER NAPHTHA ARE OFFLOADED FROM THE PROBO KOALA

TO OFFLOAD THE FIRST BATCH OF CAUSTIC SODA WASHED COKER NAPHTHA:

- On April 29, 2006, the Probo Koala conducted a ship-to-ship (STS) operation with the Riza, a product tanker (39,155 DWT), “to discharge cargo”. The Riza then sailed straight to Nigeria, Apapa-Lagos, where it stayed for about three months before heading to South America.

Before accepting washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the Riza had come from the Tunisian port of La Skhira where Trafigura had recently conducted caustic soda washings onshore. Gas leakages during the operation at Tankmed led the Tunisian authorities to suspend the caustic soda washing operations. And this suspension led Trafigura to move its operations out to sea.¹

- On May 17, 2006, the Probo Koala conducted another STS operation with the Lielupe, a product tanker (39,870 DWT), “to discharge cargo”. The Lielupe then headed straight to Nigeria, Apapa-Lagos, where it stayed for about two months. It was the tanker’s last voyage before heading to Chittagong, Bangladesh, where she was to be broken up.

Before accepting washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the Lielupe had come from Constantza, a Romanian port in the Black Sea.

TO OFFLOAD THE SECOND BATCH OF CAUSTIC SODA WASHED COKER NAPHTHA:

- On June 14, 2006, the Probo Koala conducted an STS operation with the High Consensus, a product tanker (45,896 DWT), “to discharge cargo”. The High Consensus then sailed straight to Nigeria, Apapa-Lagos, where it stayed for about a month before sailing to South America.

Before accepting the washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the High Consensus had come from Port-de-Bouc, in France.

- On June 17, 2006, the Probo Koala conducted an STS operation with the Tikhoretsk, a Combined Chemical and Oil Tanker (40,791 DWT), “to discharge cargo”. The Tikhoretsk then went straight to Nigeria (Apapa-Lagos), where it stayed for about five weeks before sailing to Poland.

Before accepting washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the Tikhoretsk had come from the Russian port of Vitino.

TO OFFLOAD THE THIRD BATCH OF WASHED COKER NAPHTHA:

- Between June 19 and 24, the Probo Koala conducted several STS operations with the Seavinha, a tanker (39,672 DWT), “to discharge cargo”. The Seavinha then went straight to Nigeria (Apapa-Lagos), Lomé, and Tema where it stayed for around seven weeks before going to Fujairah.

Before accepting washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the Seavinha had actually delivered the third batch of unwashed coker naphtha from the US Gulf to the Probo Koala on the 18 June.²

- On June 26, 2006, the Probo Koala conducted a last STS operation with Transport, a Combined Chemical and Oil Tanker (38,987 DWT), at which point it was noted “discharging completed.” The Transport sailed straight to Benin, Cotonou, where it stayed for about two months before sailing to South America.

Before accepting washed naphtha from the Probo Koala, the Transport had come from the Turkish port of Mersin.
**ANNEX 2 – REDUCING SULPHUR MERCAPTANS: MEROX TREATMENT, CAUSTIC WASHING AND MERCAPTAN SCAVENGERS**

**SULPHUR**

Sulphur is the most important contaminant in fuel. It can be divided into four groups. The first, hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), is a gas at room temperature. It smells like rotten eggs and is extremely toxic. Small concentrations shoot down a person’s nose nerves, possibly with deadly effect. At concentrations of 300-400 ppm in air, it is lethal within seconds. Hydrogen sulphide is corrosive if it comes into contact with water. The second sulphur group are the mercaptans. They are less toxic but still an occupational health concern and they stink intensely. Some compare its stench to the smell of rotten cabbage or pepperish garlic. Others describe it as a chemical or intensely musty smell. Exposure to the smell generates nausea, vomiting, and headaches. The third group of sulphurs are the (di)sulphides. These are less harmful. The fourth group are the alkyl-thiophenes. Since they are less harmful than the other three groups, they are not considered in this report.

**DESULPHURISATION BY REFINERIES ALSO SOLVES MERCAPTAN PROBLEMS**

Technically, it is possible to treat all high sulphur products or blends of diesel and gasoline, turning them into cleaner products such as <10 ppm diesel or <10 ppm gasoline. Ultra-low sulphur gasoline and diesel automatically have very low levels of sulphur mercaptans and hydrogen sulphide, which represent only a share of the total sulphur content.

Construction of a desulphurisation unit costs around US$200 million. Operational costs for desulphurisation of middle distillates represent only a share of the total sulphur content. Operational costs of desulphurisation of middle distillates typically cost between US$5 and US$50 per ton to cover the costs of energy, catalysts and hydrogen. The lighter the product, the cheaper are the costs of processing. The operational costs of desulphurising heavier products are higher because the product loses more catalysts due to contamination. It also requires higher temperatures and more hydrogen, which is expensive, and higher pressures too.

**MEROX SWEETENING AND CAUSTIC WASHING REPRESENT A CHEAP “ALTERNATIVE” TO REDUCE MERCAPTAN SULPHUR**

Sometimes refineries choose a cheap alternative to desulphurisation by using Mercaptan Oxidation (MEROX) units. Many refineries have MEROX units along with their desulphurisation units. Hundreds of MEROX units exist around the world. Refineries generally have a MEROX unit for their kerosene and for their gasoline components, the naphtha like streams and LPG. Where refineries do not desulphurise products, they may prefer to apply the MEROX treatment, which is more economic than hydrotreating or desulphurisation.

The MEROX treatment differs from desulphurisation in that it aims only to convert corrosive and stinking sulphur (mercaptans) into non-corrosive and non-stinking sulphur (di)sulphides, which is important to do for jet fuel, for example. Refineries do not desulphurise kerosene, because legal limits on sulphur in aviation fuel are still high at 3,000 ppm. Note that a MEROX treatment does not necessarily change or reduce the total levels of sulphur in a product. Sulphur emissions (SOₓ) are therefore the same when the fuel gets burned.

A MEROX may be done when sulphur levels are on-spec but the sulphur consists of too many stinking mercaptans. For example, low sulphur crudes have been refined and the product is within the sulphur spec, but the mercaptan sulphur is high. In this case, the mercaptans are turned into non-stinking disulphides. MEROX is a simple standard process, when finished the product is dried and ready for use. A MEROX process would not be used for gas oil components or diesel, because the gas oil would get emulsified when mixed with a sodium hydroxide solution.

Caustic soda washing is an even more simple method, used to reduce mercaptan sulphurs in the product but consisting only of the first MEROX step. MEROX is preferable to the very rudimentary process of caustic soda washing, because the MEROX process creates less hazardous waste. Below, we explain the two steps more scientifically.

The first step is the caustic soda step: the mercaptans are in the oil (product) phase, when they are mixed with aqueous caustic soda (a sodium hydroxide solution). This mixing converts them into sodium mercaptides, which are only water-soluble. So the mercaptan sulphurs have been transferred from the oil (product) phase to the water (waste) phase. If only the caustic soda washing is done, then the sulphur content of the products becomes lower.

In a MEROX process the second conversion step occurs: the aqueous (waste) phase is mixed with the MEROX catalyst and air/oxygen. This converts the sodium mercaptides into disulphides, which are oil-soluble. That is, disulphides enter the oil (product) phase. So, the total sulphur content of the product does not change during this process. (In a variation of this process, the MEROX extraction, disulphides are extracted from the caustic in a separate stream of oil.)

**MEROX TREATMENT, CAUSTIC WASHING**

- **RSH** (Oil phase) + **NaOH** (Aqueous phase) → **NaSR + H₂O** (Aqueous phase)

- **4NaSR** (Aqueous phase) + **H₂O + O₂** → **4NaOH** (Aqueous phase) + **2RSSR** (Oil phase (insoluble))
scribed the caustic soda washings of Trafigura aboard the Probo Koala, a full MEROX might be the goal, but the results may be less than 100 percent successful. This is because the second step of MEROX is difficult to do by improvising either procedures or equipment while on ships or in tanks.

**MERCAPTAN SCAVENGERS ARE AN ALTERNATIVE TO MEROX TREATMENT AND CAUSTIC SODA WASHING**

Industry sources tell us that, until a few years ago, caustic soda washing might have been a very common process in storage terminals at a port like Amsterdam. However, in the example of Amsterdam, terminal licenses no longer allow this process. This is what Trafigura found out in 2005 when they were looking for land-based opportunities to conduct caustic soda washing. We do not know whether terminals elsewhere such as in West Africa would allow caustic soda washing. It is certainly a risky process, because the corrosive caustic soda used in the process can corrode the tank structure, with tank leakages as a result. After washing, the caustic waste (also called "spent caustic"), which still contains sulphur components, is left behind on the bottom of the tank. This tank then needs to be drained and disposed by a specialised waste processing company.

An alternative to the caustic washing of high sulphurous and stinking naphtha batches at terminals and on tankers is the use of additives, the so-called mercaptan scavengers. The additives basically replace the caustic soda washing but the process is essentially quite similar. Mercaptan scavengers exist, which work as follows:

- **HFA 6115** for example works like a caustic soda washing. It is sodium hydroxide dissolved in alcohol, and it makes the sulphur sink to the bottom of the tank (thus lowering the sulphur content of the product).
- **HFA 6126M** for example works like a MEROX treatment. The additive combines caustic soda and oxidising agents with a cobalt catalyst in a semi-aqueous solution to convert the mercaptans. (It does not reduce the product’s sulphur content.)

Mercaptan scavengers are usually injected by "additive doctors" or by terminal staff on behalf of the client. A lab supervisor explained to us how the use of mercaptan scavengers produces a bottom layer of corrosive waste that needs to be drained with water. One insider described to us a practice in which Russian ports see the injection of calculated quantities of mercaptan scavenger into high sulphur naphtha batches. Then, when the tanker reaches its destination, Amsterdam, say, the naphtha is unloaded and the solids/disulfides which remain at the bottom of the ship’s tank are later washed overboard while at sea (tank washings). In an onshore tank the naphtha is circulated again during the unloading, then the remaining "precipitation" of spent caustic waste is drained. The spent caustic is disposed of according to the European waste code 161001 (on "aqueous liquid wastes containing dangerous substances"). It should actually be disposed of according to the European waste code 050111 ("wastes from cleaning of fuels with bases"). But disposal of this type of waste is more expensive, because it cannot be delivered to a port reception facility. According to the insider, this is how shore tank parks could hide the fact that they are handling waste generated by the addition of mercaptan scavengers or caustic washing.

Oil terminals and shipowners who rent tanks and tankers to blenders should be very alert to caustic soda washing operations, the use of mercaptan scavengers by their clients, and the need for correct disposal of the caustic soda waste layer. Terminal regulators should also be aware of these issues.

This appendix is based on information required from training documentation from a specialised training company in the field of oil products and subsequent meetings and correspondence with the trainers Paul Deelen and Ton Visser, desk research and talks with industry sources.
### ANNEX 3 – ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL DIESEL FUELS (25 + 2 SAMPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY, PETROL STATION, LOCATION, DATE</th>
<th>SULPHUR (ppm)</th>
<th>PAHs$^2$ (%m)</th>
<th>TOTAL AROMATICS (%m)</th>
<th>METALS mg/kg</th>
<th>SWISS TRADER INVOLVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 3, Pumangol station, Benguela Province, in center of Lobito, 11.12.2013</td>
<td>1,600 ASTM D4294</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 2, Pumangol station, Luanda province, Luanda, in Ilha do Cabo, 12.12.2013</td>
<td>1,500 ASTM D4294</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Al &lt; 0.1, Ba &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, Cr &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, Fe &lt; 0.1, Pb &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, Mo &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Na &lt; 0.1, Si &lt; 0.1, Ag &lt; 0.1, Ti &lt; 0.1, V &lt; 0.1, V &lt; 0.1, Zn &lt; 0.1, Cd &lt; 1, B &lt; 1, P &lt; 1, Sn &lt; 1 by ICP</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 1, Pumangol station, Zaire Province, Soyo, 13.12.2013</td>
<td>1,000 ASTM D4294</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin No. 2, Oryx station, Djéredgé (road to Cotonou) 16.05.2015</td>
<td>2,720 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>13.4 EN 12916</td>
<td>34.4 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin No. 3, Oryx station, Porto-Novo (Djassini), 16.05.2015</td>
<td>2,740 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>12.8 EN 12916</td>
<td>33.7 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin No. 1, Gazelle station, Cotonou (Bld de la Marina) 16.05.2015</td>
<td>2,230 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>8.9 EN 12916</td>
<td>31.6 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 3, Puma station, San Pedro, 14.02.2016</td>
<td>2,354 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>1.59 IP 391</td>
<td>31.8 IP 391</td>
<td>Al &lt; 1, Ba &lt; 1, Ca &lt; 1, Cr &lt; 1, Cu &lt; 1, Fe &lt; 1, Pb &lt; 1, Mg &lt; 1, Mo &lt; 1, Ni &lt; 1, K &lt; 1, Na &lt; 1, Si &lt; 1, Ti &lt; 1, V &lt; 1, Zn &lt; 1 by ICP</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 1, Shell station, Yamoussoukro (left side of the main road going to Abidjan), 31.07.2014</td>
<td>1,610 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>10.7 EN 12916</td>
<td>30.3 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 2, Shell station, Abidjan, Biétry 01.08.2014</td>
<td>1,810 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>10.6 EN 12916</td>
<td>30.5 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 4, UBI station, Sowutoum (Accra suburbs) 06.05.2015</td>
<td>2,660 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>7.6 EN 12916</td>
<td>30.8 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 3, UBI station, Kassem-Ada (Afao Road) 07.05.2015</td>
<td>2,640 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>5.8 EN 12916</td>
<td>29.3 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 2, Shell station, Tokoradi (roundabout) 01.05.2015</td>
<td>2,560 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>6.5 EN 12916</td>
<td>30 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 1, Shell station, Makessim (Accra Road) 01.05.2015</td>
<td>2,410 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>8.9 EN 12916</td>
<td>34.2 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 5, Shell station, Adansi Asuakwa 02.05.2015</td>
<td>2,730 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>10.5 EN 12916</td>
<td>35.5 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 3, Oryx station, Bamako (route de Sotuba) 07.08.2014</td>
<td>3,780 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>14.6 EN 12916</td>
<td>36.0 EN 12916</td>
<td>Al 0.1, Ba &lt; 0.1, Ca 0.1, Cr &lt; 0.1, Cu 0.1, Fe &lt; 0.1, Pb &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, Mo &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Na &lt; 0.1, Si 0.2, Ag 0.2, Ti &lt; 0.1, V &lt; 0.1, Zn 2.3 by ICP</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taken from 10 countries between November 2012 and February 2016.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY, PETROL STATION, LOCATION, DATE</th>
<th>SULPHUR (ppm)</th>
<th>PAHs² (%m)</th>
<th>TOTAL AROMATICS (%m)</th>
<th>METALS mg/kg</th>
<th>SWISS TRADER INVOLVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 1, Shell station, Bamako (known as Shell-Fleuve) 29.07.2014</td>
<td>2.710 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>11.3 EN 12916</td>
<td>34.0 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 2, Shell station, Sikasso, 30.07.2014</td>
<td>2.930 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>12.1 EN 12916</td>
<td>34.1 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 2, X-Oil station, Pointe Noire Foucks, rond-point CNSS, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>283 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>4.4 IP 391</td>
<td>13.8 IP 391</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 4, X-Oil station, Pointe Noire Hôpital Loandjili, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>304 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>4.3 IP 391</td>
<td>13.8 IP 391</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 3, Puma station, Pointe Noire Matendé, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>294 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>4.5 IP 391</td>
<td>14.0 IP 391</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 1, Puma station, Pointe Noire, rond-point Loandjili, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>273 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>4.3 IP 391</td>
<td>13.8 IP 391</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 1, Shell station, Dakar, Avenue Pasteur, 07.11.2012</td>
<td>1.340 EN ISO 20884/ ASTM D2622</td>
<td>9.9 EN 12916</td>
<td>31.2 EN 12916</td>
<td>Na &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, P 0.2, Fe &lt; 0.1, Mn &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, Si 0.5, Zn &lt; 0.1, Ce &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1 by ICP⁹</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 2, Shell station, Dakar, Pikine, 15.07.2013</td>
<td>2.940 EN ISO 20884/ ASTM D2622</td>
<td>15.1 EN 12916</td>
<td>43.7 EN 12916</td>
<td>Na 0.2, K&gt; 0.1, Cu &gt; 0.1, P 0.2, Fe &lt; 0.1, Mn &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, Si 0.5, Zn 0.1, Ce &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, by ICP</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 1, Oryx station, next to Independence Stadium), Great North Road, Lusaka (North entrance of the city), 27.08.2014</td>
<td>440 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>3.3 EN 12916</td>
<td>28.9 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 2, Puma station, Kabwe (Independence Avenue on the left side of the road going North) 22.08.2014</td>
<td>2.850 EN ISO 20884</td>
<td>7 EN 12916</td>
<td>28.0 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo, MRS station, Lomé (Kodjoviakopé, near Hôtel Bellevue) 12.05.2015</td>
<td>3.250 ASTM D 2622</td>
<td>10.0 EN 12916</td>
<td>33 EN 12916</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>MRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RED** means outside European fuels standards (EN 559 Automotive fuels – Diesel – Requirements and test methods)

**GREEN** means within European fuels standards (EN 559 Automotive fuels – Diesel – Requirements and test methods)

**ORANGE** means on the limit of the allowable according to EN 559 Automotive fuels – Diesel – Requirements and test methods

(The EN 559 for Automotive fuels – Diesel – includes the requirements of the European Fuels Directive 98/70/EC, including amendments 2003/17/EC, 2009/30/EC and 2011/63/EU.)
## ANNEX 3 – ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL GASOLINE FUELS (22 + 1 SAMPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY, PETROL STATION, LOCATION, DATE</th>
<th>SULPHUR (ppm)</th>
<th>AROMATICS (%v)</th>
<th>BENZENE (%v)</th>
<th>METALS mg/kg</th>
<th>SWISS TRADER INVOLVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 3, Pumangol station, Benguela Province, in center of Lobito, 11.12.2013</td>
<td>190 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 2, Pumangol station, Luanda Province, Luanda, in Ilha do Cabo, 12.12.2013</td>
<td>170 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Al &lt; 0.1, Ba &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, Cr &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, Fe &lt; 0.1, Pb &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, Mo &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Na &lt; 0.1, Si &lt; 0.1, Ag &lt; 0.1, Ti &lt; 0.1, V &lt; 0.1, Zn &lt; 0.1, Cd &lt; 1, B &lt; 1, P &lt; 1, Sn &lt; 1 by ICP.</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola No. 1, Pumangol station, Zaire Province, Soyo, 13.12.2013</td>
<td>120 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 3, Puma station, San Pedro, 14.02.2016</td>
<td>79 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>Al &lt; 1, Ba &lt; 1, Ca &lt; 1, Cr &lt; 1, Cu &lt; 1, Fe &lt; 1, Pb &lt; 1, Mg &lt; 1, Mo &lt; 1, Ni &lt; 1, K &lt; 1, Na &lt; 1, Si &lt; 15, Ti &lt; 1, V &lt; 1, Zn &lt; 1 by ICP.</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 1, Shell station, Yamoussoukro (left side of the main road going to Abidjan, 31.07.2014</td>
<td>113 ISO 20884</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire No. 2, Shell station, Abidjan, Biétry 01.08.2014</td>
<td>155 ISO 20884</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 3, UBI station, Sowutoum (Accra suburbs) 06.05.2015</td>
<td>296 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 4, UBI station, Kassem-Ada (Afaoa Road) 07.05.2015</td>
<td>718 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 2, Shell station, Takoradi (roundabout) 01.05.2015</td>
<td>288 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana No. 1, Shell station Maken sim (Accra Road) 01.05.2015</td>
<td>275 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 2, Oryx station, Bamako (route de Sotuba) 07.08.2014</td>
<td>272 ISO 20884</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>Al &lt; 0.1, Ba &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, Cr &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, Fe &lt; 0.1, Pb &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, Mo &lt; 0.1, Ni &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Na &lt; 0.1, Si &lt; 0.1, Ag 0.2, Ti &lt; 0.1, V &lt; 0.1, Zn &lt; 0.1, Cd &lt; 0.1, B 0.1, P &lt; 0.1, Sn &lt; 0.1 by ICP. Mn 2.115 by AAS16</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 3, Shell station, Bamako (known as Shell-Fleuve) 29.07.2014</td>
<td>279 ISO 20884</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taken from 8 countries between November 2012 and February 2016.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY, PETROL STATION, LOCATION, DATE</th>
<th>SULPHUR (ppm)</th>
<th>AROMATICS (%v)</th>
<th>BENZENE (%v)</th>
<th>METALS mg/kg</th>
<th>SWISS TRADER INVOLVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mali No. 1, Shell station, Sikasso, 30.07.2014</td>
<td>271 ISO 20884</td>
<td>28.2 ISO 22854</td>
<td>0.92 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 3, X-Oil station, Pointe Noire Foucks, rond-point CNSS, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>52 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>39.5 ISO 22854</td>
<td>2.72 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 2, X-Oil station, Pointe Noire Hôpital Loandjili, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>49 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>39.7 ISO 22854</td>
<td>2.66 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Lynx Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 4, Puma station, Pointe Noire Matendé, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>117 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>32.8 ISO 22854</td>
<td>3.84 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of the Congo No. 1, Puma station, Pointe Noire, rond-point Loandjili, 30.09.2015</td>
<td>31 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>44.1 ISO 22854</td>
<td>1.99 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 1, Shell station, Dakar, Avenue Pasteur, 07.11.2012</td>
<td>44 EN ISO 20884/ ASTM D2622</td>
<td>39.4 EN ISO 22854/ ASTM D6839</td>
<td>1.66 EN ISO 22854/ ASTM D6839</td>
<td>Na &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, P &lt; 0.1, Fe 1.1, Mn 617, Ni &lt; 0.1, Si &lt; 0.1, Zn &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, ICP18</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal No. 2, Shell station, Dakar, Pikine, 15.07.2013</td>
<td>58 EN ISO 20884/ ASTM D2622</td>
<td>35.4 EN ISO 22854/ ASTM D6839</td>
<td>0.62 EN ISO 22854/ ASTM D6839</td>
<td>Na &lt; 0.1, K &lt; 0.1, Cu &lt; 0.1, P &lt; 0.1, Fe 3.8, Mn 2.519, Ni &lt; 0.1, Si &lt; 0.1, Zn &lt; 0.1, Ca &lt; 0.1, Mg &lt; 0.1, ICP</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 1, Oryx station, Lusaka (North entrance of the city), 27.08.2014</td>
<td>15 ISO 20884</td>
<td>41.0 ISO 22854</td>
<td>2.08 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Addax &amp; Oryx Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 2, Puma station, Kabwe (Independence Avenue on the left side of the road going North) 22.08.2014</td>
<td>28 ISO 20884</td>
<td>34.5 ISO 22854</td>
<td>2.24 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia No. 3, Puma station, Lusaka (Cairo road, Protea hotel side) 27.08.2014</td>
<td>32.5 ISO 20884</td>
<td>34.8 ISO 22854</td>
<td>2.22 ISO 22854</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>Trafigura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER20</td>
<td>425 ASTM D2622</td>
<td>25.5 EN 14517</td>
<td>1.50 EN 14517</td>
<td>not tested</td>
<td>MRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RED** means outside European fuels standards (EN 228 for Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods)

**GREEN** means within European fuels standards (EN 228 for Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods)

**ORANGE** means on the limit of the allowable according to EN 228 for Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods

(The EN 228 for Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – includes the requirements of the European Fuels Directive 98/70/EC, including subsequent Amendments)
Refinery complexity varies around the world, due primarily to regional differences in oil product demand. Most of the simple refineries are in developing countries and the former Soviet Union, where demand for light products is not great and where significant volumes of residual fuel oil are still used for power generation. The majority of complex refineries are in advanced industrialized economies, while the most highly complex refineries are in the US, where gasoline’s share of oil consumption – at 40% – is at least double that of most other nations. Some Western European refineries are less sophisticated than their US counterparts and have their product output weighted more heavily toward gas oil, although new hydrocrackers and hydrotreaters have been added in recent years to boost clean-diesel production. There are important exceptions to these generalisations however as the refinery landscape is changing rapidly, as described in chapter 9. This appendix gives a summary of the different refinery processes taking place in refineries.

1 FRACTIONATION – THE BOILING-DISTILLATION-SEPARATION PROCESS

Crude oil is a mixture of all kinds of different hydrocarbons. Every refinery, whether it is a simple or complex one, starts with separating the crude into different fractions based on their differences in boiling point. The crude oil is heated to close to 400°C. Oil fractions that are created by heating are called “straight-run” products and are mixtures of several compounds within a certain boiling range. During this distillation process the following fractions, given from low to high temperature:

- Refinery gas
- LPG, propane, butane
- Isomerate
- Pygas
- Cracked gas oil
- Main column bottoms
- c-Hexane
- Reformate
- Kerosene, jet fuel
- Gas oil, diesel oil
- Gas oil
- Lubricating oils
- Extracts
- Wax
- Fuel gas, LPG
- HC naphtha
- HC kerosene, jet fuel
- HC gas oil, diesel oil
- Alkylate
- MTBE/ETBE
- CC gasoline (CC spirit)
- Light cycle oil
- Heavy cycle oil
- Fuel gas, LPG
- Coker naphtha
- Coker gas oil
- Fuel gas, LPG
- Visbreaker naphtha
- Visbreaker gas oil
- Visbreaker residue
perature boiling ranges, are separated: refinery or fuel gas, LPG, light naphtha (also called light virgin naphtha), heavy naphtha, kerosene, light gasoil (also called “straight run” gas oil), heavy gasoil and atmospheric residue (also called bottoms or “straight run” fuel oil). These created oil fractions equal around 50% of global crude oil barrels. Straight run light naphtha can be used as a cheap blendstock for gasoline: it is only half the price of gasoline.

2 VACUUM DISTILLATION

This refining technique is employed to process the atmospheric residue – the residual stream that was created during the primary distillation process (when the oil was heated to 400 °C) but that did not evaporate. In vacuum distillation, hydrocarbons with boiling points between 400 and 600 °C evaporate. Products from the vacuum distillation are:

- vacuum gasoil: around half which equals 25% of global crude oil barrels
- vacuum residue: also around half which equals 25% of global crude oil barrels.

The vacuum residue, as its name implies, is heavier and more viscous than gas oil. It also ends up containing most of the original crude’s contaminating elements, including the bulk of the sulphur and the metals, since most other elements have been vaporized out. Of the vacuum residue – the heaviest of the heavy stuff – around 1% (expressed as a percentage of global crude oil barrels) is used as asphalt, around 10% as fuel for ships and power plants, also referred to as residual heavy fuel oil (after visbreaking cracking), and around 14% is used for further cracking.

3 THREE TYPE OF CRACKING – CHOPPING BIG MOLECULES INTO SMALLER

Basically there are 3 types of cracking processes. The most expensive method, hydrocracking, needs heat, a catalyst and hydrogen gas. Hydrocracking technologies are fairly recent and only a small number of refineries in the world have invested in it with costs that can run up to a billion dollars (a small hydrocracker unit with a capacity of 25,000 barrels per day (bpd) can cost as much as US$500 million; these capital costs do not yet include any additional operating costs, or any refinery downtime required during installation).

A second cracking technology uses heat and catalysts (so no hydrogen gas), and is called catalytic cracking. Many of the refineries have invested in these technologies which are cheaper than hydrocracking and cost around US$ 650 million. The third technology is only using heat (and no catalyst and hydrogen gas) and is called thermal cracking. Thermal cracking is applied to the heaviest of the heaviest stream: the vacuum residue of which represents 25% of global crude barrels. There are hundreds of different thermal cracker technologies, each costing around 300 million dollars. Examples are visbreaking (for viscosity breaking) and delayed coking. Many refineries have a combination of cracking units, while the most complex refinery can have all three kinds of cracking technologies to make the refinery very flexible.

4 THERMAL CRACKING AND ITS COMPONENTS

Thermal crackers – the cheapest cracking technology, which is applied to the heaviest stream, the vacuum residue – operate in over 95% of all refineries. (Thermal) visbreakers have exist all over the world. Around two out of three refineries have one, especially where refineries want to produce fuel oil for ships and power plants. Visbreaker residue is the most important blending component for residual fuel oil. Visbreaking chops the vacuum residue to smaller molecules, making it into a liquid that can be sold as fuel for ships. In markets with low demand for residual fuel oil (for power plants and ships) and a high demand for transportation fuels, a conversion can be attractive. It is precisely because of this that the US has a lot of delayed cokers for the conversion of residue into light products such as naphtha/gasoline and gas oil. And some European refineries are considering, or are in the process of replacing, their visbreaker units by delayed cokers as they see the market for residual fuel oil for ships declining. A delayed coker is cheap and costs around 300 million dollars. The disadvantage of delayed coking however is the production of high amounts of coke of poor quality with high sulphur and metal content. A solution to that is flexicoking, where after thermal cracking like in the delayed coker, transformation (by gasification) of cokes into fuel gas takes place. Worldwide only a few flexicokers are in use. They are very expensive, costing between 1 and 2 billion euros. The Esso refinery in the Botlek-Rotterdam is an example.

Examples of gas oil blendstocks that are created with visbreaking and coking are visbreaker gas oil and coker gas oil, which are very similar to the gas oil that is created with catalytic cracking (light cycle oil). As these blendstocks are created without hydrogen, they are olefinic and aromatic in nature. The ignition quality (cetane number) is poor – only between 25 – 30 – and the sulphur content is very high. For a calculation of how much sulphur ends up in the different blendstocks (as ratio to the total sulphur content in the crude oil), see Annex 5.

In order to make visbreaking and coker gas oil into a blendstock for low sulphur diesel, desulphurisation and olefin saturation need to take place. Alternatively this blendstock can be used as a cracker feedstock, residual fuel oil component or as blendstock for African Quality diesel. The price of untreated visbreaking and coker gas oil is very low, because it is by the market price of residual fuel oil.

The naphtha components that are created with visbreaking and coking are called visbreaker and coker naphtha: they have an octane level of around 80. These streams are more similar to cat cracked spirit created at cat cracking, than to a straight run naphtha – although cat cracked spirit has a much higher octane level at around 90 – 95. As these three kinds of naphthas are created without hydrogen gas, they are gasoline blendstocks with a (very) high nitrogen and sulphur content if not desulphurised. As they are cheaply produced they could be seen as attractive blendstock for African gasoline. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (as ratio to the total sulphur content in the crude oil) see Annex 5. For a thermally cracked naphtha the ratio is around 0.3, which means that the sulphur levels will be around a third of the sulphur level in the
crude. So a sweet crude oil like the Nigerian Forcados with 2,000 ppm sulphur will create thermally cracked naphtha streams from around 600 ppm. A sour crude, like Soudie from Syria with 30,000 ppm sulphur, will create thermally cracked naphtha streams from around 9,000 ppm sulphur.

5 CAT CRACKING AND ITS PRODUCTS

Cat crackers do not run on the vacuum residue but on the vacuum gasoil (VGO), that similar to vacuum residue, represents around 25% of global oil barrels. VGO is a waxy stuff. There are two types of cat cracking process: the Fluid Catalytic Cracker (FCC) and the Residue Catalytic Cracker (RCC). The FCC is the traditional cat cracker. The RCC is a variant that has been modified to use some atmospheric residues as a feed. Note that during cracking, coke, that deactivates the catalyst, is also formed. Metals in the feed will also deactivate the catalyst. That is why CC is typically used for vacuum gas oil and not vacuum residue. The catalyst used is aluminium and silicon oxide, a very fine powder.

The main purpose of a cat cracker is to produce more (high octane) gasoline products called CC spirit, CC gasoline or FCC gasoline. Cat crackers are very popular in areas with high gasoline and low fuel oil demand like the US. They have also become more popular in Europe again, despite its big diesel market, to produce large amounts of propene for the chemical industry. The US as biggest consumer of gasoline globally (40% of world consumption) has a crude distillation capacity of around 20 million US barrels daily, making it the biggest consumer of gasoline globally (40% of world consumption). Cat crackers are very popular in areas with high gasoline demand, like the US.

In comparison:
- Western Europe has a 14.5 million bpd crude distillation capacity and a FCC capacity of only 2.1 million bpd;
- Eastern Europe has 10.7 million bpd crude distillation and 0.8 FCC capacity;
- Asia has 20.2 million bpd crude distillation capacity and 2.7 FCC capacity;
- The Middle East has 6 million bpd crude distillation capacity and 0.3 FCC.
- Africa has 3.2 million bpd crude distillation capacity and 0.2 FCC capacity.

The dark side of cat crackers is that it also produces low-quality gasoil components and considerable amount of very dirty, high sulphur fluids.

The cat cracker produces:
- Gas around 15%3
- CC spirit around 40–50% (light and heavy) cat crack spirit: CC-spirit is slang for CC-gasoline. The amount equals to around 12% of the crude barrel globally
- LCO around 20–30% light cycle oil (LCO or LCCCO is light cracked gas oil)
- HCO around 9% heavy cycle oil or HCCCO (heavy cat cracked cycle oil)
- Cokes around 5%

Cat crackers also produce a very dirty stream called Slurry Oil/FCC Heavy Cycle Gas Oil/FCC residue. Around every 3 years before maintenance of the FCC unit, the aluminium and silicon from the catalysts is heavily concentrated in the Slurry Oil. After maintenance of this unit the refinery will put Slurry Oil on the market for a couple of months. As catalytic cracking is used globally (FCC very popular in US, Russia, but to be found everywhere), this Slurry Oil is also created worldwide. As the quality of this stream cannot be controlled, this stream could be qualified as waste. Usually however the stream gets blended into marine residual fuel oil.

6 HYDROCRACKING AND ITS COMPONENTS

Hydrocracking is the most expensive cracking method. Its objective is to produce light and more valuable fractions. Instead of investing in cat crackers, that have become less popular because of an oversupply of gasoline, since 1980 some European refineries have invested in hydrocracking. Slowly they have also appeared in other regions. For example the 116,000 bpd Oman Oil Refineries & Petroleum Industries refinery 230 km northwest of the capital of Oman recently completed installation of a hydrocracker.

In hydrocracking processes heat, catalyst and hydrogen gas are used. Hydrocracking basically solves the problems of sulphur and olefins. The first step before hydrocracking is desulphurisation (see below) of the feedstock until content is at 10 ppm. It is a necessary step, as the sulphur would otherwise destroy the special catalyst that is used. This unit therefore produces an almost sulphur-free product. Hydrocracking after desulphurisation is the second stage. The chopping of the big molecules is done under a hydrogen environment. The hydrogen prevents formation of olefins, solving the problem of oxidation and limited storage, and reducing the aromatic content. The quality of hydrocracked products – a hydrocracker produces gas, naphtha, kerosene and gas oil – is almost more similar to the quality of straight run products. They have a much higher quality compared with the cat cracked or thermal cracked products. For example, the hydrocracker can produce high-quality jet fuel and gas oil which is not possible with a cat cracker. Hydrocrackers are operating on distillate feed (vacuum gas oil) because residual feed (vacuum residue) would rapidly damage the catalyst. An exception is Shell’s Hycon unit in which vacuum residue is hydrocracked. The induced poisoning of the catalyst is compensated in the Hycon by on-stream refreshment of the catalyst.

7a 7b 7c CHEMICAL CONVERSIONS

Simple distillation does not produce products that can be directly marketed as gasoline. Further processing and blending are required. In fact, most gasoline comes from processing the lighter naphtha. Light naphtha can be used as a cheap blendstock for gasoline: it is only half the price of gasoline. But it can also be converted to higher octane isomerates. Isomerisation increases the octane number to 78–92. Another conversion process besides isomerisation employed to improve the octane number is called catalytic reforming. Reformates are one way
of solving the challenge to raise the octane level of naphthas. Other ways include: blending of butane; blending of alcohols like ethanol, additives like lead (historically), MTBE (used but controversial) or other additives like the controversial Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT), which has been restricted in Europe to max 2 mg/l which implies in practice that MMT is no longer used as an additive for European fuels.

In the catalytic reforming unit heavy naphtha is converted into light, medium and high reformate with a higher octane number. A refinery has to have such a unit if it wants to produce (high octane) gasoline. During the reforming process, hydrogen is created that refineries can use for desulphurisation. In the reformer, the octane number of the heavy naphtha is increased predominantly by the formation of aromatics which are unhealthy and therefore restricted in European gasoline. In reformate also benzene, toluene, xylene and ethyl benzene are present, all of them components with high octane numbers. As benzene is carcinogenic, the level of benzene is restricted to 1% in European gasolines. Pure reformate however contains a much higher percentage. To use the reformate as a blendstock in European gasolines, the benzene content needs to be reduced by separating a benzene-rich fraction that can be sold to the chemical industry. Alternatively benzene can be converted to c-hexane in a “reverse reformer”. Reformate with reduced benzene content is also called “debenzenised reformate”. Modern reformers are able to produce high octane reformates with a low aromatic and benzene content.

A third chemical conversion process is alkylation that converts unsaturated LPG that is created during the cracking process to alkylates. Alkylates are very good and expensive blendstocks: not only do they have a high octane number but they are also low in sulphur (less than 10 ppm) and are free of aromatics and olefins. Alkylates are an ideal gasoline component for green gasolines as the emission of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) decreases 90% compared to a regular gasoline.

From the naphtha that is produced in refineries around 25% is sold as feedstock for the petrochemical industry. Heavier naphthenic naphtha is the preferred feedstock for reforming (to make a gasoline blendstock), while light paraffinic naphthas are typically used as a feedstock for the petrochemical industry. Chemical plants run a thermal steam cracker to convert the naphtha into useful ethylene: a chemical building block to make all sorts of chemicals. Byproducts from the naphtha steam (thermal) cracker are: Pygas, Pyrolysis Gasoil and a residue (main Column Bottom – MCB – or Steam Cracked Residue). MCB could be qualified as a waste product because it is a residue whose quality cannot be controlled. But in reality it is also used as a blendstock for marine fuels. Pygas is in the gasoline boiling range and pyrolysis gasoil is in the gasoil boiling range. Pygas has a lower sulphur level compared to several other fuel blend components (200–300 ppm) but for European distillate fuels it is much too high. Pygas is a controversial product with an objectionable odour. It may be a risk to blend it into a gasoline in larger quantities as the high quantity of aromatics in combination with the very reactive, unstable (di)olefins renders the product unsuitable. Another problem is the content of benzene (known to be carcinogenic). To use it as a blendstock for good quality fuels, the products should be desulphurised, the diolefins transformed into mono-olefins and benzenes be extracted. Pyrolysis gasoil has usually two applications: as a gas oil blending component and for the blending with marine diesel oil. The same is true for Pyrolysis gasoil: it is also a controversial product with an objectionable odour. And it may be risky to blend it into a gas oil in larger quantities because of the quantity of (poly)cyclic aromatics in combination with the very reactive, unstable diolefins. To use it as a blendstock for good quality fuels, the products should be desulphurised and diolefins transformed into mono-olefins. See Annex 2 for a description of cheap alternatives for desulphurisation technology to reduce the mercaptan sulphur of products.
ANNEX 5 – CALCULATIONS OF SULPHUR CONTENT IN DIFFERENT REFINERY STREAMS

THE COMPLEX REFINERY

## Crude Distillation

- **Light naphtha (tops/LVN)**
- **Heavy naphtha**
- **Light gas oil**
- **Heavy gas oil**

## Vacuum Distillation

- **Vacuum gas oil VGO**
- **Cat Cracker feedstock**

## Crude Oil (Crude Oil + Condensate)

- **Refinery gas**
- **LPG**

## Desulphurisation/Treatment

- **Isomerisation**
- **REFORMER**
- **BENZENE REDUCTION**

## Crude Distillation

- **Fuel gas**
- **Propene, butane**
- **Isomerate**

## Vacuum Distillation

- **LPG**
- **CC spirit**
- **LCO**
- **HCO**

## Delayed Coking

- **Gas on LPG**
- **Coker naphtha**
- **Coker gas oil**

## Flexicoking

- **Gas on LPG**
- **Coker naphtha**
- **Coker gas oil**

### Calculations of Sulphur Content in Different Refinery Streams

- **Light gas oil**: 0.5
- **Heavy gas oil**: 1.1
- **Coke**: 1.3
- **Gas oil**: 1.0
- **Coke**: 1.5
Based on examples from existing literature of sulphur calculations, we have calculated the ratios between the sulphur content in a given crude (factor 1.0) and the respective refinery streams (before desulphurisation). This helps to gain a better understanding of where to look for the high sulphur streams. A factor of 0.5 means that the stream will have half the sulphur that was originally in the crude oil. For example if the crude oil had 10,000 ppm the visbreaker gas oil would have roughly 5,000 ppm. These figures are indicative only, but useful as a rule of thumb.

From this, we draw the following conclusions:

- Straight-run streams (the distillate streams after the first distillation) are best, but are still highly sulphurous and need to be desulphurised in order to produce low sulphur gasoline and diesel. Only hydrocracking streams (not in the table) would not be equally or less sulphurous than straight run streams.
- The cracked material can have easily twice the sulphur content of the sulphur in the crude oil (if not desulphurised afterwards).
- While the most important gas oil stream (straight run light gas oil) has about 40 percent of the original crude levels of sulphur, the most important gasoline stream (straight run light naphtha that often is converted into reformate) has about 10 percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crude-Sulphur-Factors of different gas oil blendstocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Straight-run kerosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight-run light gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed coker light gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visbreaking gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight-run heavy gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat cracker light cycle oil/ LCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexicoker gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed coker heavy gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat cracker heavy cycle oil/ HCO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crude-Sulphur-Factors of different gasoline blendstocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Straight-run light naphtha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight-run heavy naphtha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat cracker spirit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexicoker naphtha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visbreaking naphtha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Coker naphtha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2. UNEP Transport Unit Website.
5. See full interview with Jane Akumu p. 131.
10. Public Eye Website.
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1. Free for download at the Public Eye website.
2. Verdict on Naeem Ahmed LJN (National Case law Number): BN2149. District Court of Amsterdam, 13-846003-06 (PROMIS), para 5.5.
3. According to an internal Trafigura memorandum, dated 23rd September 2006: “Finished gasoline is a blend of different blendstocks coming from different refining processes to make specific gasoline specifications which are country specific. Refiners sell blendstocks with characteristics that allow blenders to produce these specifications. There are some streams of blendstocks that, due to the high mercaptans sulphur (“Mercaptans”) levels, need to be treated before they can economically be blended into gasoline. Mercaptans affect the odour of the gasoline in high concentration.” In an email dated 7th September 2006, Naeem Ahmed, a gasoline blender for Trafigura, reported the washing operations that had been carried out onboard the Probo Koala: “[…] We performed a full STS [ship-to-ship] operation to the Mt Probo Koala […]. Thereafter […] treated naphtha on board was used as a blendstock to make finished gasoline.” Source: Court verdict on Trafigura Beheer BV, 23.7.2010, LJN (National Case law Number): BN2149, District Court of Amsterdam, 13-846003-06 (PROMIS), para 5.5.
5. A notable exception to the focus on the toxic waste is the 2008 Norwegian TV-documen- tary Dirty Cargo, which also concentrates on the gasoline produced.
7. Several institutes and studies have reported on the health effects. For a summary of the medical crisis, the studies and commonly reported effects on health, see pp. 51–67 of the report “The Toxic Truth” by Amnesty International and Greenpeace “The Toxic Truth”. See also Présidence de la république, COMMUNIQUÉ DU PORTE-PAROLE DE LA PRÉSIDENCE DE LA REPUBLIQUE RELATIF A L’INDEMNISATION DES VICTIMES DES DECHETS TOXIQUES, 14.6.2007 “Décrets toxiques gouver ci” (accessed 13.5.2016).
8. Amnesty International and Greenpeace (2012), “The Toxic Truth”, pp. 35–43 (accessed 8.11.2015), provides a summary of all the different attempts by Trafigura to dispose of the waste both in Europe and in Africa, and of Trafigura’s refusal to dispose of the waste safely in the Netherlands because of the high costs involved.
9. ”Disulphide sulphur derived from the extraction process is based on the difference between the total sulphur level and the non-extractable sulphur (approximately 6,000 ppm), taking into account an average total sulphur level of 8,000 ppm and an average mercaptan level of 2.000 ppm for the untreated naphtha.” Source: Annex 2 to Trafigura claim against BBC, Carter Ruck Reply 20 November 2009, p. 106 in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No: HQ09X20050.
10. Internal Trafigura email dated 27 December 2005 from James McNicol to several colleagues (rec# 5914 Yao Essaie Mot-to & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).
11. Internal Trafigura email dated 28 December 2005 from James McNicol to Naeem Ahmed (rec# 5914 Yao Essaie Mot-to & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).
12. For a summary of all the different caustic washing operations on shore and at tankers, see pp. 11, 82 and 94 of the report “The Toxic Truth” by Amnesty International and Greenpeace “The Toxic Truth” (accessed 10.11.2015).
14. Internal Trafigura emails between Trafigura (Leon Christophiopolous, Trafigura’s head of gasoline trading) to colleagues and Falcon Navigation 13 March 2006 (rec# 6580 Yao Essaie Motto & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).
15. Internal Trafigura emails between Trafigura (Leon Christophiopolous, Trafigura’s head of gasoline trading) and Falcon Navigation dated 10 and 13 March 2006 (rec# 6580 Yao Essaie Motto & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).
Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370) According to information cited in Requisitoir in the court of appeal, Gerechtshof Amsterdam, submitted 17th November 2011 Falcon Navigation was an “exclusive broker from Trafigura” and considered by Trafigura as one of the “companies worldwide” (p. 18).

16 In March 2007 Jose Larocca was appointed as Trafigura’s Head of Oil Trading. He is currently on the Board of Directors as Head of Oil Trading at Trafigura. He was copied in many of the emails sent at the time of the Probo Koala caustic washing.

17 Internal Trafigura memorandum written by a London based employee to colleagues including directors between Trafigura dated 28 December 2005 (rec# 7696 Yao Essaie Motto & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).

18 Internal email between Trafigura and Falcon Navigation dated 21 June 2006 (rec#74001 in application notice for Claim No. HQ06X03370 and others for the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division).

19 Court verdict on Trafigura Beheer BV from 23 July 2010, LJN (National Case Law Number): BN249, District Court of Amsterdam, 13-B46003-06 (PROMiS), para 5.4.

20 To understand the difference between a Merex treatment and caustic washing, please see Annex 2.

21 Internal Trafigura e-mail dated 27 December 2005 from James McNicol to Claude Dauphin (rec# S914 Yao Essaie Motto & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No. HQ06X03370).

23 Based on the Minton report and an internal Trafigura document we conclude that the total sulphur still in the washed naphtha was between 608 and 680 tons – equalling between 7,156 and 8,000 ppm. The Minton report noted that “the process had achieved a 47% reduction in the mercaptans – in the sense of transforming into other sulphur compounds” and that some ended up in the aqueous waste phase and some in the oily product, but that the conversion rate was not known. “An internal Trafigura memorandum dated 23rd September 2006 summarizes in paragraphs 1-3 how much coker naphtha was unloaded to the Probo Koala by three different vessels and the mercaptan sulphur content of it before and after the washings: (1) 11 April 2006 M/T Seapura: 28,829 mt, mercaptan sulphur level of 1,700 ppm and after washings 950 ppm, (2) 19 May 2006 M/T Missulea: 28,130 mt, mercaptan sulphur level of 2,014 ppm and after washings 950 ppm, (3) 18 June 2006 M/T Seavinhia: 28,284 mt, mercaptan sulphur level of 1,700 ppm and after washings 950 ppm. We cannot give one a more precise estimation: Based on Trafigura’s reply to the BBC that gives a summary of the composition of the waste as estimated by the claimants in a group litigation case – and based on analysis of the reports for the Fondations Institute – the total sulphur content of the waste dumped in Abidjan was around 66 tons. There must have been around 682 tons of total sulphur in the 85,243 ton of unwashed coker naphtha “taking into account an average total sulphur level of 8,000 ppm and an average mercaptan level of 2,000 ppm for the untreated naphtha”.

That means the washed naphtha still contained 682 tons of sulphur minus 66 tons of sulphur to leave 616 tons of sulphur. Some 616 tons of sulphur in the total amount of 85,243 tons of washed coker naphtha means an equivalent of 7,226 ppm sulphur in the product. Annex 2 to Trafigura claim against BBC, Carter Ruck Reply 20 November 2009, pp.105–107 in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim No.

24 Greenpeace Netherlands translation of court verdict on Trafigura Beheer BV from 23 December 2011, LJN (National Case Law Number): BU 9237, Court of Appeal, 23-00333-10, para 4.2.4
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8 PM10 are particulate matter of diameter less than or equal to 10 microns. Road dust adds to traffic-related PM10 concentration.


18 The estimated regional weighted average sulphur content is based on volumes of fuel corresponding to country specific legislated regional requirements for fuel market quality. Stratas Advisors cited in OPEC, (2014), “World Oil Outlook”, Table 5.4, p. 243.

19 Map computed from Stratas Advisors, February 2016.


29 Interview with Dr Reginald Quansah in Accra, 27 April 2015.


31 Interview in the public clinic “Centre Communautaire de Santé de la Riviera (Cocody)” on May 22, 2015.
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On-Road Diesel Fleet: A Global Strategy to Introduce Low-Sulphur Fuels and Cleaner Diesel Vehicles”, draft, p. 17.


34 SAPALDIA (Swiss Study on Air Pollution and Lung Disease in Adults) is the only national population-based cohort study and biobank in Switzerland. Set up to study the effects of air pollution, it is also a platform for research on healthy aging. SAPALDIA is led by Prof. Nicole Probst-Hensch at the Swiss TPH in Basel, Switzerland. WHO, (2014), “Global Burden of Disease Study” (Accessed 22 February 2016).


39 Albedo is the fraction of solar energy (shortwave radiation) reflected from the Earth’s surface. Source: Earth and Space Research ConservaTion “Glossary” (Accessed 6 January 2016).


42 Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC), (2015), “Reducing Black Carbon Emissions from Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles and Engines.”


48 Crude oils can be characterised according to the most prevalent type of hydrocarbon compound that they contain, such as: paraffins, naphthenes and aromatics. With the exception of aromatic crude oils, most crude oils contain only a small percentage of aromatics.

49 Catalytic reforming is a refinery process to convert low octane naphtha to high octane naphtha for blend stock for gasoline. See also Annex 4.

50 Platts Website, “Glossary” (Accessed 22 February 2016)


57 EU Directive on the Quality of Petrol and Diesel Fuels (97/70/EC) amended by Directive 2003/77/EC.

58 EU Directive on the Quality of Petrol and Diesel Fuels (97/70/EC) amended by Directive 2009/30/EC.

59 Angola has restricted total aromatics in 2014 to a maximum of 75 percent. The five East African countries that improved the sulphur standard in diesel in 2014 have also regulated polycyclic aromatics to a maximum 11 percent. And finally South Africa has announced that when it shifts to low sulphur diesel, it will also introduce the European standard on polycyclic aromatics, which is a maximum 8 percent. Source: Stratas Advisors, February 2016 and subsequent talks and email correspondence with Huiming Li, Stratas Advisors, in February and March 2016.


61 Data from 220 samples (220 samples) suggests that the average aromatic content of samples taken from the major Western European countries is around 29 percent (Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, ConcaWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions). Concawe was established in 1963 by oil companies to carry out research on environmental issues relevant to the oil industry.

62 Angola introduced the European norm in 2014, including a maximum 35 percent of aromatics in “gasoline super 95” and levels to be reported to the respective government entity for “gasoline super 93”. South Africa also regulates aromatics but allows very high aromatic content of up to 50 percent. Remarkably the group of East African countries that reduced their sulphur limits in 2015 have also regulated aromatics in diesel. Source: Stratas Advisors, February 2016 and subsequent talks and email correspondence with Huiming Li, Stratas Advisors, in February and March 2016.


64 American Cancer Society Website, “Benze ne and Cancer Risk” (Accessed 22 February 2016).


67 Mozambique and Côte d’Ivoire are examples of countries which allow up to 5 percent benzene. However, in many countries, these limits do not exist (e.g. Benin, Mali, Senegal, Zambia). Other countries just require the level to be reported to the respective government entity (e.g. the Republic of the Congo for locally produced gasoline). Source: Stratas Advisors, February 2016.


70 Ibid, p. 27.
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1 Javier Bias, “Commodity traders reap $250bn from oil misceonceptions”, The Telegraph, 15 November 2010.

2 Mark Elliott, Chairman of CITIC Africa Lp, speaking at a conference in Marrakech, March 2012.


4 See, for example, Roland Gribben, “BP sells African assets to Trafigura”, The Telegraph, 15 November 2010.


7 Stéphane Graber, “Revealing, margins and misconceptions”, Lagefi, 13 April 2015.


13 Petroleum Intelligence Weekly, (2011), “Traders report the respective government entity for “gasoline super 93”. South Africa also regulates aromatics but allows very high aromatic content of up to 50 percent. Remarkably the group of East African countries that reduced their sulphur limits in 2015 have also regulated aromatics in gasoline. Source: Stratas Advisors, February 2016 and subsequent talks and email correspondence with Huiming Li, Stratas Advisors, in February and March 2016.


15 Mozambique and Côte d’Ivoire are examples of countries which allow up to 5 percent benzene. However, in many countries, these limits do not exist (e.g. Benin, Mali, Senegal, Zambia). Other countries just require the level to be reported to the respective government entity (e.g. the Republic of the Congo for locally produced gasoline). Source: Stratas Advisors, February 2016.

16 Ben Marlow, “Exclusive: inside the commodity trader Vitol that pulls the levers of the global economy,” The Telegraph, 12 November 2015.
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1 Angola is the country in the world that suffers from the highest loss in the Inequality-adjusted Human Development Index (IHDI) of the United Nations Development Programme.

2 London-based African downstream consultant CITAC reckons Angolan fuel prices are the second cheapest in sub-Saharan Africa, after Nigeria, where fuels are also massively subsidised. CITAC, “Angola Country Overview”, December 2013 (report commissioned by Public Eye).

3 CITAC claims 74% of diesel consumption is imported.


5 Four out of those 47 samples we unfortunatley could not take ourselves, but we received analysis data from a credible third party in confidence. Because we are of the opinion that it is important that also the population of this country knows about the quality of the fuels they have to deal with. They are usually applied for the determination of sulphur levels below 10 ppm.

6 Puma Energy, Offering Memorandum, 3 February 2014, p. 123

7 For the Puma Energy statement, see the Public Eye website.

8 Puma Energy answers to our questions. Public Eye Website.

9 In Mozambique we also sampled a diesel fuel sold by Petromac. Petromac is the state-owned downstream company of Mozambique, (in a joint venture with Puma to build storage capacity together). Petromac was supplied by Vital during the time of sampling (April 2014) but this evidence is not enough. In Togo, we sampled fuels sold by MRS, also possibly supplied by Vital. For the same reason as for Mozambique, we left this sample aside.

10 ISO (International Standards Organization) and ASTM (American Society of Testing and Materials) are international standard setting bodies. In the industry test methods like the ISO 20884, ASTM D 4294 and ASTM D 2622 are applied for determining sulfur by wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry in fuels. Test methods like the ISO 20846 and ASTM D 5453 – specifying an ultraviolet (UV) fluorescence test method are usually applied for the determination of sulphur in ultra-low sulphur fuels with sulphur levels below 10 ppm.

11 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations we conducted several talks in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors of a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands.

12 Data from 2014 (based on 125 samples) suggests that the average sulphur content from diesel samples taken from the major Western European countries is 6 ppm. Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions. Also, a survey of a number of diesel fuels sold in Germany in 2013 shows that the mean value of sulphur was 5.9 and varied between 5.9 and 7.8 ppm in the years 2009 – 2013. (Source SGS, Worldwide diesel survey – winter 2012/2013 Germany)


19 NS-09-048 Diesel oil, on-road, in effect May 2011.


23 The other test method focused on a small group of polyaromatics present in diesel. It was not aimed at determining the total content of all polyaromatics present. This is a very interesting method which provides deeper insights into the toxicity of the diesel fuel, but we decided to continue with the industry’s more common (and cheaper) test methods, then compare those findings with the European limit (max 8%m) and with each other.
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29 Data from 2014 (based on 125 samples) suggests that the worldwide average PAH level in diesel is around 3.7 % m. Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions. CONCAWE is an institute established in 1963 by oil companies to carry out research on environmental issues relevant to the oil industry.


31 A survey of a number of diesel fuels sold in Germany in 2013 found that the average total aromatic content was 24.39 % m. (Source: SGS, Worldwide diesel survey – winter 2012/2013 Germany).

32 Invidual gasoline blendstocks can have up to 25 % m in diesel, in effect in September 2014. (Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions).


34 Decree No. 2013 – 394 locally produced and imported gasoline, in effect July 2013.


36 Gasoline spec at the time of sampling was from 2008. New spec 500 ppm for Gasolina Super 93 and Gasolina Super 95: Decreto Executivo (Source: email correspondence in February, Republica – No. 178, in effect since September 2014.


39 Data from 2013 (based on 220 samples) suggests that the average aromatic content from gasoline samples taken from the major Western European countries is around 29 %. (Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions).

40 Arrêté Ministériel 06-2940 for Unleaded Super RON 91, in effect in December 2006.

41 NS 09-046 for Regular Gasoline and NS 09-047 for Super Gasoline, in effect May 2011.


43 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations we conducted several talks in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors of a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands.

44 Data from 2013 (based on 220 samples) suggests that the average aromatic content from gasoline samples taken from the major Western European countries is around 29 %. (Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions).

45 Data from 2013 (based on 220 samples) suggests that the average benzene content from gasoline samples taken from the major Western European countries is around 0.6 %. (Source: email correspondence in February and March 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions).


49 Data from 2013 (based on 220 samples) suggests that the average aromatic content from gasoline samples taken from the major Western European countries is around 29 %. (Source: email correspondence in February, March and April 2016 with Heather Hamje, CONCAWE, Science Executive for Fuels Quality and Emissions).

50 Arrêté Ministériel 06-2940 for Unleaded Super RON 91, in effect in December 2006.

51 Arrêté Ministériel 06-2940 for Unleaded Super RON 91, in effect December 2006.

52 NS 09-046 for Regular Gasoline and NS 09-047 for Super Gasoline, in effect May 2011.


54 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations we conducted several talks in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors of a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands. And T on Visser, blending expert from a specialized training company in the field of oil products. For the purpose of our investigations we had several talks to him and subsequent email correspondence in 2014, 2015 and 2016.

55 Interview with Dorothée Lahaussois, manager of fuels and energy for Toyota, in Brussels, 07.05.2014. European standards reflect the concerns from the car industry with respect to metals and discoursages the addition and presence of metals in fuel. They require that pump marking should make clear whenever diesels and gasoline contain metallic additives. ‘Labelling shall be clearly visible, easily legible and displayed at any point where diesel/unleaded gasoline with metallic additives is made available to consumers. The label shall contain: “Contains metallic additives.” Source: European specification: Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods EN 228:2012 (article 4) and European specification: Automotive fuels – Diesel – Requirements and test methods EN 590:2013 (article 4).’

56 In addition there are specifications for two metals: phosphorus (compounds containing phosphorus shall not be added to unleaded petrol) and manganese. Source: European specification: Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods EN 228:2012 (article 3.2.3) and European specification: Automotive fuels – Diesel – Requirements and test methods EN 590:2013 (article 4).

that no detectable levels of phosphorus, silicon and chlorine should exist in gasoline or be used as components of any fuel additive package intended to improve gasoline and engine performance. Pp. 22–26.

With regard to diesel it states: “Contami-
nants, including some from additives, whether intentionally or inadvertently added during fuel production or distribution, also can cause significant harm to the powertrain, fuel, exhaust or emission control systems.” It states that no detectable levels of calcium, copper, sodium, manganese, potassium, phosphorus and zinc should exist in diesel fuel or be used as components of any fuel additive package intended to improve diesel fuel and engine performance. “These elements should be strictly controlled, and it may prove necessary to check and control the fuel quality at the pump.” Pp. 60
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3. MANI Centre for Policy and Education, “Deregulation will end petro politics and satisfy Ghana’s IMF deal on fuel subsidies” 24 April 2015


7. Once two companies have established a regular business relationship, transactions may take place using “open credit” (without payment guarantee). This system is founded on trust. In contrast to a “letter of credit” where the acquirer places money in an account which is blocked when the transaction is completed. Letters of credit are irrevocable and a model deemed very safe, yet they incur banking costs often up to tens of thousands of dollars.

8. Glencore is headquartered in Switzerland, but its oil trading desk operates mainly from London.


12. The source added: “I haven’t managed to find out what formal contracts are in place between Vitol and Cirrus/’Woodfields’ and if these are exclusive.”


19. Our calculations are based on 80 percent of all petroleum products that entered the country between 2004 and 2013, according to the NPA.


41. We are not authorised to disclose the name of the database, but believe it is the best available.

42. A fixture is the contract through which a trading company charters a vessel belonging to a shipping company for a single voyage (‘spot cargoes’ or ‘spot fixtures’).

43. A time charter is the contract through which a company rents a vessel under a long-term contract, e.g. one year.

44. In 2013, NS Silver delivered diesel to Tema on 31st March and 24th May.

45. In 2013, Mariella Bottiglieri delivered diesel to Tema on 30th June and 14th September.

46. In 2014, Mariella Bottiglieri delivered diesel to Tema on 11th February and 9th July.

47. Chartered since 2010 by Vitol, the Mariella Bottiglieri anchored in Tema twice in 2014, once from Antwerp and once from Lomé/Abapa-Lagos.

48. For information on Trafifuga and Delaney, see chapter 8.
53 It was Platt’s FOB NEW ROTTERDAM BARGES 1000 ppm. Paradoxically, this benchmark isn’t very relevant to the European market, because Brussels has prohibited diesel for cars with such high sulphur content. For gasoline, the benchmark is calculated on 10 ppm products – in line with European standards.


57 Litasco is the trading arm of Lukoil (Russia’s largest privately held crude oil producer). “LITASCO expanded its activities, especially in Europe, after Lukoil decided to invest into two refineries, owned by Shell, in Italy and the Netherlands. The refinery (ZEELAND refinery) is located in the biggest oil refinery and trading hub in North-western Europe (Amsterdam-Rotterdam-Antwerp).” Lukoil signed an agreement with TOTAL SA for acquisition of a 45 percent stake in the TRN Refinery. The refinery is one of Europe’s top performing refineries financially and has a total capacity of 7.9 million tons per year. The refinery can process significant quantities of LUKOIL crude oils, straight-run fuel and VGO of Russian origin, as well as a wide variety of third-party crudes and feeds. Litasco Website, “About us”, (Accessed 19 April 2016).

58 And North America had the highest utilisation rate at 88 percent. BP, (2015), Ibid, pp. 83–84.

59 For the purpose of this report, petroleum products are defined according to the HTS category 2701 as “petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals (excl. crude) and their preparations, regrouping 47 subcategories for specific commodity ranging from fuel oil, gasoline and diesel to jet fuel.”

60 Based on UN Comtrade. N.B. UN Comtrade is continuously-updated and the data collected is previously analysed and standardized by UN statistics experts. However, UN Comtrade acknowledges itself that “countries (or areas) do not necessarily report their trade statistics for each and every year”. The data presented in this study are therefore not exhaustive. Also, for the purpose of this report, we focus on volumes exported to West Africa since many important West African countries (for instance Togo, Nigeria, Ghana) do not report their petroleum products import statistics.

61 Platt’s, (2015), “FAQ: West African gasoline assessments”. For the purpose of this report, high sulphur diesel is defined according to the HTS category 2709/98, as “Gas Oils of Petroleum or Bituminous Minerals, with a sulphur content of >0.1% by weight (Excl. containing Biodiesel, and for undergoing Chemical Transformation)”. This figure does not take into account the total volume of intra-ARA trade, 2,364,457 mt (i.e. exports from Belgium to the Netherlands and vice versa). The “European countries” figure is without taking into account Gibraltar and Intra-ARA trade.


63 A confidentiality agreement with the provider available. A dictionary of oil trading jargon.


65 Puma Energy, Offering Memorandum, 3 February 2014, p. 123.


68 A car driver needs a motor gasoline that operates under all circumstances. The engine needs to start easily, also when it is cold. After starting, the engine has to warm up quickly and without interruptions. A hot engine has to run smoothly (also in hot weather). The gasoline needs to keep the engine clean. These kinds of gasoline requirements can be translated into more technical properties such as volatility, antiknock quality and composition. Regarding antiknock quality: the octane number shows the degree to which gasoline spontaneously combusts (to be avoided). If the octane number is too low, the gasoline combusts at the wrong moment and in the wrong way and a metallic sound, called knocking, can be heard. Knocking is damaging for the engine. The Research Octane Number (RON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under normal driving conditions. The Motor Octane Number (MON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under severe driving conditions. In European and African countries, RON is used but in the US the average between RON and MON is common, the so-called Anti Knock Index (AKI) or pump octane number.

69 Interview with a Geneva-based trader focused on West Africa, January 2016.

70 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab operating under all circumstances. The engine needs to start easily, also when it is cold. After starting, the engine has to warm up quickly and without interruptions. A hot engine has to run smoothly (also in hot weather). The gasoline needs to keep the engine clean. These kinds of gasoline requirements can be translated into more technical properties such as volatility, antiknock quality and composition. Regarding antiknock quality: the octane number shows the degree to which gasoline spontaneously combusts (to be avoided). If the octane number is too low, the gasoline combusts at the wrong moment and in the wrong way and a metallic sound, called knocking, can be heard. Knocking is damaging for the engine. The Research Octane Number (RON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under normal driving conditions. The Motor Octane Number (MON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under severe driving conditions. In European and African countries, RON is used but in the US the average between RON and MON is common, the so-called Anti Knock Index (AKI) or pump octane number.

71 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab operating under all circumstances. The engine needs to start easily, also when it is cold. After starting, the engine has to warm up quickly and without interruptions. A hot engine has to run smoothly (also in hot weather). The gasoline needs to keep the engine clean. These kinds of gasoline requirements can be translated into more technical properties such as volatility, antiknock quality and composition. Regarding antiknock quality: the octane number shows the degree to which gasoline spontaneously combusts (to be avoided). If the octane number is too low, the gasoline combusts at the wrong moment and in the wrong way and a metallic sound, called knocking, can be heard. Knocking is damaging for the engine. The Research Octane Number (RON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under normal driving conditions. The Motor Octane Number (MON) is an indication of the gasoline’s behaviour under severe driving conditions. In European and African countries, RON is used but in the US the average between RON and MON is common, the so-called Anti Knock Index (AKI) or pump octane number.

72 Interview with Robert Kruff on 11.2.2014 in Anna Polanová, the Netherlands.

73 A “tank pit” is a liquid containment facility of several tanks to prevent leaks and spillage from tanks and pipes. The walls are made of...
CHAPTER 10

1 TX-mixtures are Toluene Xylene mixtures, also called C6-C8 aromatics. TX-mixtures are used in gasoline-blends or they may be further processed for use in the manufacture of industrial chemicals and solvents.

2 Paul Deelen, on air refining expert and founder of a training company specialising in oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.

3 Platt’s, “Platts colombias ecopetrol perfects gasoline stream”, 21 April 2016.

4 Visbreaking naphtha is created during oil refining when the residual part, bottom of the barrel, the most dirty part is thermally-cracked. It is within the boiling range of gasoline. See Annex 4 for more explanation.

5 Expert specialised in the on-location treatment of off-spec gasoline, operating globally and who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our research we had several talks with him in 2014 and 2015.

6 NIKR Norsk Riksringkasting AS, “Dirty Cargo, 24 June 2008. “The other ship was Ottawa, which loaded cargo in Slåsvåg. When she arrived, she was fully loaded with high-quality gasoline purchased by Trafigura in England. In Slåsvåg, she collected 5,400 tons of waste residue from the process of desulphurisation of coker gasoline. We have seen documents proving that this waste was mixed with the high-quality gasoline on board. Subsequently, Ottawa sailed for West Africa.” NRK, “Vesttastet ansette koker, gasoline”, (accessed 26.04.2016). And information from shiptracking databases confirming the voyages of the Ottawa.

7 Trafigura internal document, “factual narrative” dated 23 March 2016. In this document that got leaked Trafigura put the facts chronologically for internal use. After the Probo Koala left Amsterdam, the Dutch water police asked Trafigura on 21 July 2006 whether they had planned to do it. The answer: “They are on-board the cargo [sic.] and maybe blended in small percentages to different grades of petroleum blendstocks thereafter blended.” A never internal narrative from 12 November 2006. document “EC Note – Probo Koala Amended Basic Narrative”, did not mention this option. And Volkskrant, “Trafigura wilde afgel met benzin”, 5 October 2009.


9 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations, we spoke on several occasions in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors from a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands.

10 A symptom of waxy (paraffinic) crude is the low density. A waxy crude also has a high K-factor (UOP factor), around 11.8 – 12.5. Waxy crude oils are often sweet.

11 Based on information on crude oil (assays) published by Total. The crude oils mentioned above have some of the following properties: AKPO blend from Nigeria: sulphur level 713 ppm, UOP in different temperature gasoil cuts 11.9 and density 977.7 at 15°C, kg/m³; Cabinda from Angola: sulphur level 1,540 ppm, UOPK in different temperature gasoil cuts 11.9 and density 864 at 15°C, kg/m³; Rabi Light from Gabon: sulphur level 1,390 ppm, UOP in different temperature gasoil cuts 11.7 and density 858.3 at 15°C, kg/m³; “TOTAL assays African crudes”, (Accessed 24 May 2016).

12 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a training company that specialises in oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.

13 “The changing pattern of refining in the late 1970s/early 1980s also had an impact on diesel fuel quality. The need to use a greater proportion of the crude barrel to produce increased volumes of middle distillates resulted in cycle oils from catalytic crackers being blended into diesel fuel. Cracked material has a lower cetane quality than straight run distillate components. [..] thus the use of cetane number improver additive was necessary to meet the refiner cetane specification and to provide fuels with the required ignition quality. [..] with the result that cetane improvers additive is a widely used and cost effective product.” Technical Committee of Petroleum Additive Manufactures in Europe, (September 2013), “Diesels and additives: uses and benefits”, p.15

14 Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wishes to remain
Anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations we conducted several talks in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors from a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands. The European standard for gasoline (the EN 228: 2012) requires that the appearance shall be determined at ambient temperature.


17 Arend van Campen. For the purpose of our research we spoke to him in different occasions in 2014, 2015 and 2016 in Switzerland and in the Netherlands.

18 Based on Shipping Intelligence data. Mercuria chartered the High Beam for a clean cargo of 37,000 tons Unloaded Motor Spirit (gasoline) loaded in ARA in March 2016 and to be discharged in West Africa.

19 From the light cut cracked gasoline (LCCG) the “Heart Cut” is distilled out. This is a sharp distilled fraction, approximately in the middle of the boiling point of the LCCG, or the point at which benzene boils. In other words, the heartcut is distilled from the LCCG to remove the benzene.


21 Based on information from Stratos Advisors, February 2016.

22 Based on information, talks and email correspondence with Huiming Li, STRATAS Advisors, in February and March 2016.

23 Estimated prices of streams that have not been desulphurised. Prices of desulphurised streams are the specific gasoline stream in question plus operational costs to desulphurise (which can be around 1.7 dollar cents per litre for gasoline streams).

24 In 2014, the consumption of middle distillates was around 37 percent of the crude oil barrel BP figures: “Middle distillates consists of jet and diesel fuel and gas and diesel oils (including marine bunks)” is 33.930.000 bpd of total consumption of 92.086.000 bpd “Bio statistical review of world energy 2015 oil section” p.13 (accessed 23.10.2015). Of the middle distillates very roughly around 1/3 ends up in diesel while around 1/3 is used as jet fuel, for home and industrial heating and marine diesel fuels.

25 How high exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different streams (as a ratio to the sulphur content in the crude oil) see Annex 5. For a straight run Gas Oil sulphur levels – considering a sweet crude of 2.000 ppm and a sour crude of 30.000 ppm – mostly vary between 1.200 and 1.800 ppm.

26 From the naphtha that is produced in refineries around 25 percent is sold as feedstock for the petrochemical industry. Chemical plants run a thermal steam cracker to convert the naphtha into useful chemical building blocks (compare lego) to make all sorts of chemicals. A byproduct from the naphtha steam (thermal) cracker can be further distilled into: Pygas, Pyrolysis Gasoil and a residue known as Main Column Bottom (MCB) or Steam Cracked Residue. MCB could be qualified as a waste product, because the quality of it – it is a residue – cannot be controlled. But in reality it is now used also as a blendstock for residual marine fuels. Pygas is in the gasoline boiling range and pyrolysis gasoil is in the gasoil boiling range. Pyrolysis gasoil has usually two applications: as a gas oil blending component and for the blending with marine diesel oil. The price of Pyrolysis gasoil is set by the price of marine diesel. The petrochemical industry is concentrated in Antwerp, for example, and so there is an elevated production of naptha steamcracked products with Pyrolysis gasoil and Pygas as byproducts. These cracked products have a lower sulphur level compared to several other fuel blendcomponents (200–300 ppm) which makes it – as a trader puts it – a “good diluent” for blenders (for non-European fuels). But for European fuels it is much too high. Also, Pyrolysis gasoil is a controversial product with an objectionable odour. It may be a risk to blend it into a gasoil in larger quantities, because the quantity of (poly-)cyclic aromatics at that stage in combination with the very reactive, unstable di-olefins renders the product unsuitable. To use it as a blendstock for good quality diesel the product should be desulphurised and di-olefins transformed into mono-olefins. For African Quality diesel, blenders might perceive that as unnecessary. Suppliers might be attracted to using it. Commercially it is interesting to use Pyrolysis gasoil as a blendstock without improving it: the price is set around the price of marine diesel oil.

27 A refinery that is oriented to make good gasoline line comonents, generally produces simultaneously lower quality gasoil compoonents. Light Cycle Oil is a typical example of this. It is a bad quality gasoil blendstock due to its high aromatic content and low cetane number (between 15 and 30 only), it is smelly and has high density around 0.94. Cycle Oil Light and Heavy” is unique to a catalytic cracker. Because of its bad quality, LCO is usually used as a blendstock for marine fuels, heating oil, or as cracker feedstock. An alternative, however, is to consider blending it into African diesels. A laboratory supervisor from a Dutch laboratory, working closely with oil majors and traders and who wishes to remain anonymous, explains: “LCO is one of the products resulting from cracking bottom product from the refining of crude oil, it is an inexpensive blend stock, commonly used in heating oils and marine diesel oil. LCO contains about 60 percent aromatics, and has a high density of around 0.94. The percentage of LCO in diesel destined for Africa is up to 10 percent. The disadvantage of LCO is its high aromatic content, and the low cetane number makes the engine running worse. In addition, it contains too much sulphur for use in the European market and desulphurisation of the blendstock would cost extra money again.

28 A high aromatic content can give an increase of carbon monoxide and nox emissions and cause the engine to function less, though the latter is of course also dependent on the engine. LCO contains around 5.000 ppm sulphur. We see it here because blenders in Europe also blend components from North America where a lot of LCO is created.”

29 How high exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (expressed as a ratio to the sulphur content in the crude oil) see Annex 5. For a Light Cycle Oil gas oil sulphur levels – considering a sweet crude of 2.000 ppm and a sour crude of 30.000 ppm, mostly vary between 2.000 and 30.000 ppm.

30 Cat cracking is meant to produce more gasoline streams from the crude oil. Heavy cat cracked spirit (HCCS) is usually blended into gasoline. However, due to the weak demand for gasoline products in Europe, HCCS is blended into diesel instead. It is olefinic (making the product instable), aromatic by nature, and highly sulphurous. The use of HCCS is acceptable to European diesel fuels only after severe hydrosprocessing to reduce the aromatic, olefinic and sulphur content.

31 How high exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (expressed as a ratio to the sulphur content in the crude oil) see Annex 5. For a straight run heavy gasoil, sulphur levels – considering a sweet crude of 2.000 ppm and a sour crude of 30.000 ppm – mostly vary between 1.200 and 18.000 ppm.

32 Blend kero is often heavy cat cracker spirit (HCCS) or off-spec jet fuel.

33 How high exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (expressed as a proportion of sulphur in crude) see Annex 5. For a straight run heavy gasoil, sulphur levels – considering a sweet crude of 2.000 ppm and a sour crude of 30.000 ppm – mostly vary between 800 and 12.000 ppm.

34 Blend kero is often heavy cat cracker spirit (HCCS) or off-spec jet fuel.

35 Hydrocracked gasoil is on the rise but is still very small with a global consumption of approximately 25 million tons/year.

36 Bio diesel is a very small market, with a global consumption of approximately 100 million tons/year.

37 Olefins –also called alkenes – were not present in the crude but produced in the refinery and while they are, in many cases, also good octane components of gasoline, they are not a welcome component as they ruin the structure, making the gasoline and a gasoil less stable (measured by oxidation stability). Olefins are thermally unstable and may lead to gum formation and deposits in an engine’s intake system. Gasoline or gasoil with a high alkeno/olefin content will age (deteriorate) much faster, making the product less storable. Usually, when the level of olefin is higher than 5%, additives need to be added to restore oxidation stability. Also from an environmental and health perspective olefins are undesirable components in fuels. Their
evaporation into the atmosphere as chemically reactive species contributes to ozone formation and their combustion products form toxic dienes. The olefin content in European gasoline is restricted to 2 max 18%. Due to the continuous improvement of European fuels over the last two decades, the average content of olefins in a European motor gasoline is around 10%. Sources: European Automobile Manufacturers Association (ACEA), (2013), ”Worldwide Fuel Charter”, 5th edition, p. 34 and European specification: Automotive fuels – Unleaded petrol – Requirements and test methods EN 228:2012, talks to trainers of a specialised training company in the field of oil products based in the Netherlands and operating internationally.

38 Estimated prices for streams that have not been desulphurised. Prices of desulphurised streams are the specific naphtha stream in question plus operational costs to desulphurise (which can be around 1 cent for naphtha streams).

39 In 2014 the consumption of light distillates has increased to 7.08 Mt.

40 Light naphtha can be used as a cheap substitute for gasoline – the figure mostly varies between 600 and 900 ppm.

41 Blenders want to add as much as possible but sulphur in crude), see Annex 5. A straight run blendstock for marine fuels. Pygas is in the gasoline boiling range and pyrolysis gasoil is in the gasoil boiling range. The petrochemicals industry is concentrated in Antwerp, for example, and so there is an elevated production of naphtha steamcracked product with Pyrolysis gasoil and Pygas as byproduct. These cracked products have a lower sulphur level compared to several other fuel blendcomponents (200–300 ppm) which makes it – as a trader puts it – a “good diluant” for blenders (for non-European fuels). But for European distillate fuels it is much too high. Also, Pygas is a controversial product with options for the functional group. It may be a risk to blend it into a gasoline in larger quantities as the high content of aromatics in combination with the very reactive, unstable di-olefins renders the product unsuitable. Another problem is the content of benzene (a known carcinogenic). To use it as a blendstock for high-quality fuels, the products should be desulphurised, di-olefins transformed into mono-olefins, and the benzenes extracted. For African Quality gasoline that might be perceived by blenders as unnecessary. And according to a refinery expert, independent suppliers might be attracted to use it. During our research we came across examples of Swiss traders applying Pygas as a blend component for African gasoline. See also chapter 10 on the tanker High Beam and chapter 11 on the tanker Conger. Commercially it is interesting to sell benzene to the chemical industry.

42 Usually it is further processed together with other streams, desulphurised, and not present as a separate stream on the market.

43 How exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (expressed as a proportion of sulphur in crude), see Annex 5. For a thermally-cracked naphtha – considering a sweet crude of 2,000 ppm and a sour crude of 30,000 ppm – the figure mostly varies between 600 and 900 ppm.

44 Approximately half the price of gasoline.

45 Light naphtha can be used as a cheap blendstock for gasoline: it is only half the price of gasoline. But most of it is converted into higher octane isomerates. Most of the heavy naphtha is made to reform in the reclaimer unit.

46 How high exactly depends on the crude oil used and its sulphur content. For a calculation of how much sulphur can end up in different blendstocks (expressed as a proportion of sulphur in crude), see Annex 5. A straight run light naphtha – considering a sweet crude of 2,000 ppm and a sour crude of 30,000 ppm – mostly varies between 200 and 3,000 ppm.

47 Gasoline minus ~75 dollar.

48 From the naphtha that is produced in refineries around 25 percent is sold as feedstock for the petrochemical industry. Chemical plants run a thermal steam cracker to convert the naphtha into useful chemical building blocks (compare Lego) to make all sorts of chemicals. A byproduct from the naphtha steam (thermal) cracker can be further distilled into Pygas, Pyrolysis Gasoil and a residue, known as Main Column Bottom (MCB) or Steam Cracked Residue. MCB could be qualified as a waste product, because the quality of it – it is a residue – cannot be controlled. In reality, it is now used as a blendstock for marine fuels. Pygas is in the gasoline boiling range and pyrolysis gasoil is in the gasoil boiling range. The petrochemicals industry is concentrated in Antwerp, for example, and so there is an elevated production of naphtha steamcracked product with Pyrolysis gasoil and Pygas as byproduct. These cracked products have a lower sulphur level compared to several other fuel blendcomponents (200–300 ppm) which makes it – as a trader puts it – a “good diluant” for blenders (for non-European fuels). But for European distillate fuels it is much too high. Also, Pygas is a controversial product with options for the functional group. It may be a risk to blend it into a gasoline in larger quantities as the high content of aromatics in combination with the very reactive, unstable di-olefins renders the product unsuitable. Another problem is the content of benzene (a known carcinogenic). To use it as a blendstock for high-quality fuels, the products should be desulphurised, di-olefins transformed into mono-olefins, and the benzenes extracted. For African Quality gasoline that might be perceived by blenders as unnecessary. And according to a refinery expert, independent suppliers might be attracted to use it. During our research we came across examples of Swiss traders applying Pygas as a blend component for African gasoline. See also chapter 10 on the tanker High Beam and chapter 11 on the tanker Conger. Commercially it is interesting to sell benzene to the chemical industry.

49 Gasoline minus ~75 dollar.

50 Gasoline price ~50 dollar.

51 When a refiner has a modern refiner it produces low aromatic high octane reformate – with minus we mean with an octave level considerably lower than a “reformate plus”.

52 This naphtha is usually going into the steam cracker or the refiner and will be hardly available on the market as such.

53 Alkylation converts unsaturated LPG that is created during cracking process to alkanes. Alkanes are very good and expensive blendstocks: not only do they have a high octave number but it is also low in sulphur (less than 10 ppm) and free of aromatics and olefins. Ethers like Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) are high-octane organic compounds containing carbon, hydrogen and oxygen. MTBE is added to gasoline and via a chemical reaction between methanol and isobutylene, both of which can be derived from natural gas. In the United States it is associated with large-scale contamination of groundwater supplies that affects the taste and odour of water. US refiners have largely removed this additive from their supply. Ethyl Tertiary Butyl Ether is an ether produced by reacting ethanol and isobutylene with a catalyst under heat and pressure. It is widely used in Europe and Canada.

54 Ethers like Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) are high-octane organic compounds containing carbon, hydrogen and oxygen. MTBE is added to gasoline and via a chemical reaction between methanol and isobutylene, both of which can be derived from natural gas. In the United States it is associated with large-scale contamination of groundwater supplies that affects the taste and odour of water. US refiners have largely removed this additive from their supply. Ethyl Tertiary Butyl Ether is an ether produced by reactingethanol and isobutylene with a catalyst under heat and pressure. It is widely used in Europe and Canada.

55 Gasoline consists for a large part of toluene from reformate or Pygas blended into gasoline. Traders and blenders also add toluene and xylene by blending TX mixtures. BTX-mixtures also exist on the market, but are less common. If (European) refineries can separate the benzene (and also xylene), they will do so because it is more commercially interesting to sell benzene to the chemical industry. In addition, BTX-mixtures cannot be blended into European gasoline because of the maximum limits of benzene. BTX-mixtures are used to spike the gasoline – it increases the octave number.

56 Costs depend on availability as there are also other options for this component.

57 Alcohols like Ethanol are high octane blending components on the markets. Alcohols are a product of the fermentation of sugars in crops and other biological sources.

58 Costs depend on availability as there are also other options for this component.

59 Internal Trafigura email dated 28 December 2005 from James McNicol to Naeem Ahmed (rec# 5914 Yao Essaie Motto & Others v Trafigura Limited and Trafigura Beheer BV in the High Court of Justice, Queen’s Bench Division, Claim no. HQ06X03370)

60 An internal Trafigura memorandum dated 23rd September 2006 summarises in paragraphs 1–3 how much coker naphtha was unloaded to the Probo Koola by three different vessels: (1) 11 April 2006 M/T Seapura: 28,829 mt (2) 19 May 2006 M/T Mosselie: 28,130 mt (3) 18 June 2006 M/T Seavinhia: 28,284 mt. This is in total 84,245 mt equaling 115,163,293 liters.

61 Laboratory supervisors of a petrochemical lab in the Netherlands who wish to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations, we spoke on several occasions in 2014, 2015, and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors from a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands.

62 Dioxins are highly toxic and can cause cancer, reproductive and developmental problems, damage to the immune system. They can also interfere with hormones. They are dangerous even at extremely low levels. Dioxins are formed when products containing carbon and chlorine are burned. Even very small amounts of chlorine can produce dioxins. From the US Environmental Agency Website, “Epa.gov, learn about dioxin” and “Epa.gov, dioxin waste”, (Accessed 16 October 2015).


64 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a training company specialising in oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.

65 In the Netherlands organohalogens and PCB are restricted in fuels. Max 0.1 mg/kg SOX and max 0.5 mg/kg PCBe compounds. According to this legislation, “fuels and mixtures that exceed the standard may not: be used as a fuel component in the Netherlands; be imported as fuel into the Netherlands; be kept; be available; be offered for sale; be in stock for sale or sold.” Regulation BOHN (Besluit organisch halogeengehalte brandstoffen), “Belastingdienst milieugevaar-
jke stoffen bepaalde minerale olen“ and “Besluit organisch-halogeenetale van brandstoffen”. (Accessed 29.12.2015). For the determination of organic halogen, method “NEN-EN 14077:2004“ is directly applicable to the determination of low contents (2 mg/kg to 100 mg/kg) of organic halogen in petroleum products such as gasoline, middle distillates, and residual fuels. Except for fluorine (F), the organic halogens chlorine (Cl), bromine (Br) and iodine (I) that may be present in the sample are determined quantitatively. The halogen is reported as the equivalent number of chloride ions. NEN-EN 14077:2004 en Petroleum products – Determination of organic halogen content.” (Accessed 31 December 2015).

66 Email correspondence with Frank De Greve, May 2016.

67 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a training company specialising in oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.

68 Expert specialised in the on-location treatment of off-spec cargoes, operating globally and who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our research we had several talks with him in 2014 and 2015.


71 While most of the 12 chemicals covered by the Stockholm Convention were subject to an immediate ban, widespread reliance on PCB-containing equipment (notably certain electrical transformers and capacitors) has led to an exception to allow for continued use until 2025, as long as countries ensure leaks are prevented and efforts are invested towards safe management and eventual disposal of the equipment. Many national programmes are in place to safely destroy PCB oils and contaminated equipment through breaking their molecular bonds using either chemical or thermal energy. Based on the following sources: Basel Convention Website. “PCBs and other POPs in context of Basel Convention” (Accessed 28.04.2016); Stockholm Convention, United Nations Environment Programme, PCB Transformers and Capacitors – From Management to Reclassification and Disposal – First Edition, May 2002. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and other Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in the context of the Basel Convention.


73 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a specialised training company in the field of oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.


78 Saigon-Gouda, “Contaminated petrol can be cleaned but still troublesome”, 8 September 2006.


81 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a specialised training company in the field of oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.


83 Gunvor Group, Preliminary Offering Circular, 10 May 2013, pp. 83–84.

84 Oando Website, (Accessed 17 February 2016).


90 ICCT, “ICCT update mmt”, 16 February 2012 and Afton Chemical, “In 2004 Ethyl Petroleum Additives Inc. changes its name to Afton Chemical Corporation”.


92 ICCT, “ICCT update mmt”, 16 February 2012.

93 ICCT, “ICCT update mmt”, 16 February 2012.

94 Paul Deelen, oil refinery expert and founder of a training company specialising in oil products. For the purpose of our research we had several interviews with him in 2015 and 2016 in the Netherlands.


CHAPTER 11


3 This is about all outgoing cargo by commodi- ty in 2015: 24.2 million tonnes liquid and dry bulk and general cargo (where liquid bulk with “refined products” is by far the biggest commodity group). Nigeria lists 4 with 2.4 million tonnes. Togo lists 5 with 2.3 million tonnes. Ghana lists 6 with 1 million tonnes and Guinea lists 10 with 0.5 million tonnes. Port of Amsterdam, “statistics” (Accessed 26 May 2015).

4 Meeting with Rutger van der Hoeven, Port of Amsterdam Sales Manager from the Commercial Division, Cluster Energy, and Henri van der Weide, Policy Advisor in the Harbour Master’s division, in Amsterdam, 20 February 2015.

5 Meeting with Rose-Marie Pype, Commercial Manager Logistics – Oils and Chemicals at the Port of Antwerp, in Antwerp, 27 June 2014.

6 A ship engaged in the “tramp trade” is one that does not have a fixed schedule or published ports of call. In comparison to freight liners, tramp ships trade on the spot market with no fixed schedule or itinerary/ports-of-call(s).

7 Port of Rotterdam, “Port of Rotterdam throughput 2012 and 2013” and “Port of Rotterdam throughput 2014 and 2015”.

8 Meeting with Rutger van der Hoeven, Port of Amsterdam Sales Director of the Commercial Division, Cluster Energy, and Henri van der Weide, Policy Advisor in the Harbour Master’s division, in Amsterdam, 20 February 2015.
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ANNEX 1

1 “Between January and March 2006, Trafigura conducted two caustic soda washing operations at Tankemp’s premises at the port of La Skira. On 1 March 2006, or thereabouts, gases leaked from Tankemp’s facilities, causing a serious odour problem. Some of Tankemp’s workers experienced breathing difficulties, and three people were reportedly admitted to hospital following exposure to the fumes.” Trafigura then decided to undertake caustic washing on board a ship. From Report “The Toxic Truth” by Amnesty International and Greenpeace. P.27 and p. 80 "The Toxic Truth” (Accessed 9 November 2015).

2 An internal Trafigura memorandum, 23.9. 2006 to undertake caustic washing on board a ship.” (Accessed 22 February 2016).
**ANNEX 2**

1. "Concentrations of over 500 ppm mass can occur in some pentanes and up to 50 ppm in some naphthas. It should be noted that the TOL is 0.5 ppm so the toxicity hazards, and the precautions necessary, are very similar to H2S." Energy Institute (2004). HM 40 GUIDE-LINES FOR THE CRUDE OIL WASHING OF SHIPS’ TANKS AND THE HEATING OF CRUDE OIL BEING TRANSPORTED BY SEA. p.17

2. HFA is the brand name of the oil additives supplied by WRT, a major Dutch additive supplier, which has a presence on four continents. "Mercaptans can cause many problems ranging from malodours to metal corrosion. Because of the volatility of mercaptans, they tend to evolve into vapour spaces, where their offensive odours create problems in and around the storage and transportation pipelines and shipping systems used for transportation. Our additives remove mercaptans from all oil streams." wtrbv” (Accessed 3 November 2015)

3. Laboratory supervisor of a petrochemical laboratory who wishes to remain anonymous. For the purpose of our investigations we conducted several talks in 2014, 2015 and 2016 with two laboratory supervisors of a petrochemical laboratory in the Netherlands.

4. There is a European waste classification in which around 800 waste materials are mentioned and tagged with 6 digit waste codes. There is also an indication in the list when a particular waste material is hazardous. See "Commission Decision 2014/955/EU". The European list of wastes provides a common terminology throughout the Community with the purpose to improve the efficiency of waste management activities. The codes have an important impact on the transport of waste, installation permits (which are usually granted for the processing of specific waste codes), decisions about recyclability of the waste or as a basis for waste statistics.

**ANNEX 3**

1. Parts per million or ppm means out of a million. One ppm sulphur is equivalent to 1 milligram of sulphur per litre of fuel (mg/l) or 1 milligram of sulphur per kilogramme fuel (mg/kg).

2. The Angola diesel samples have also been analysed for polyaromatics. Since they were analysed by another laboratory using different testing methods, which focus on a small group of polyaromatics, the results cannot be compared with European limits or with each other. So we left these results out of this report.

3. The terms %m and %v represent respectively the mass fraction and the volume fraction.

4. The sample was tested for chlorides, meaning an organic compound containing at least one bonded atom of chlorine. The method used is to determine the presence of chloride in liquid hydrocarbons in concentrations ranging from approximately 0.3 to 1,000 ppm. The method determines the total organic chloride. Some inorganic chloride present as salts is not included. Except for fluoride, other halogens (like bromine, iodine and astatine) that may be present in the sample are defined as chloride.

5. The sample was tested for chlorides, meaning an organic compound containing at least one bonded atom of chlorine. The method used is to determine the presence of chloride in liquid hydrocarbons in concentrations ranging from approximately 0.3 to 1,000 ppm. The method determines the total organic chloride. Some inorganic chloride present as salts is not included. Except for fluoride, other halogens (like bromine, iodine and astatine) that may be present in the sample are defined as chloride.

6. Since this is a high value, the laboratory analysed for zinc again in order to exclude the possibility that this was an outlying finding. The second finding confirmed the first finding.

7. AAS stands for Atomic Absorption Spectrometry: a metal analysis technique in petroleum products, which measures a single element at a time.

8. ICP is also referred to as inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry, or ICP-OES. This is a technique to analyse for metals in petroleum products by scanning for a range of metals all at one time.

9. We also sampled a few petrol stations, aiming to establish a connection with a Swiss trading company. As this could not be done with certainty, the findings of these samples are excluded from the interpretations in the report text (chapter 6). In Mozambique we also sampled a diesel fuel sold by Petromac. Petromac is the state owned downstream company of Mozambique, (in a joint venture with Puma to build storage capacity together). Petromac was supplied by Vitol during the time of sampling (April 2014) but this evidence is not enough. In Togo, we sampled fuels sold by MRS, also possibly supplied by Vitol. For the same reason as for Mozambique, we left this sample aside.

10. Parts per million or ppm means out of a million. One ppm sulphur is equivalent to 1 milligram of sulphur per litre of fuel (mg/l) or 1 milligram of sulphur per kilogramme fuel (mg/kg).

11. The terms % m and % v represent respectively the mass fraction and the volume fraction.

12. Since this is a very high amount, we wanted to test the sample again in order to exclude any outlying finding. But, due to a shortage of sample material, this was not possible.

13. At this time this sample was taken, an interim limit of 2 mg of manganese per liter of fuel was applicable in the EU. 20.4 mg/l was reported by the lab, this is equivalent to 26 mg/kg.

14. Sample is tested for chlorides, meaning an organic compound containing at least one bonded atom of chlorine. The method used is for determining chloride in liquid hydrocarbons at concentrations ranging from approximately 0.3 to 1,000 ppm. The method determines the total organic chloride. Some inorganic chloride present as salts is not included. Except for fluoride, other halogens (like bromine, iodine and astatine) that may be present in the sample are defined as chloride.

15. In 2009, the European Union adopted amendments to its fuel quality directive that set an interim limit of 6 mg of manganese per liter of fuel, falling to 2 mg/l in 2014, and demanded the labelling of fuels that contain metallic additives. 2.1 mg/kg is equivalent to 1.6 mg/l and would fall within the European limit.

16. AAS stands for Atomic Absorption Spectrometry: a metal analysis technique in petroleum products, which measures a single element at a time.

17. At the time this sample was taken, an interim limit of 6 mg of manganese per liter of fuel was applicable in the EU. 61 mg/kg is equivalent to 47 mg/l.

18. ICP is also referred to as inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry, or ICP-OES. This is a technique to analyse for metals in petroleum products by scanning for a range of metals all at one time.

19. 2.5 mg/kg is equivalent to 1.9 mg/l.

20. We also sampled a few petrol stations, aiming to establish a connection with a Swiss trading company. Since this could not be done with any certainty, the findings of these samples are excluded from the interpretations in the report text (chapter 6). In Togo, we sampled fuels sold by MRS, possibly supplied by Vitol, but this evidence was not enough.

**ANNEX 4**

1. This appendix is based on information required from training documentation from a specialised training company in the field of oil products and subsequent meetings and correspondence with the trainers Paul Deelen and Ton Visser, refinery literature like Energy Intelligence Research, 2011, “Energy Fundamentals: Understanding The Oil & Gas Industries, 5th Edition” and talks to industry sources.

2. Oil & Gas Journal Dec 2001

3. If the refinery has processes to process the LPG fractions of the cat cracker into alkylate, MTBE or polyisobutene (all three gasoline components), it would produce the highest gasoline cup package.


**ANNEX 5**

1. Fundamentals of Petroleum Refining, by Fahim et al. (2010)
Swiss commodity trading companies take advantage of weak fuel standards in Africa to produce, deliver and sell diesel and gasoline, which is damaging to people’s health. Their business model relies on an illegitimate strategy of deliberately lowering the quality of fuels in order to increase their profits. Using a common industry practice called blending, trading companies mix cheap but toxic intermediate petroleum products to make what the industry calls “African Quality” fuels. These intermediate products contain high levels of sulphur as well as other toxic substances such as benzene and aromatics. By selling such fuels at the pump in Africa, the traders increase outdoor air pollution, causing respiratory disease and premature death. This affects West Africa in particular, because this is the region where the authorised levels of sulphur in fuels remain very high. West Africa does not have the refining capacity to produce enough petroleum and diesel for its own consumption, and so it must import the majority of its fuels from Europe and the US, where fuel standards are strict.